

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

USER'S MANUAL
I ROLE OF FORECITE
II WHAT TO EXPECT FROM FORECITE
III WHEN TO USE FORECITE
IV HOW TO USE FORECITE
V REJECTED ISSUES
VI FEDERALIZATION CAVEAT
VII NEWSLETTERS
VIII SUBSCRIBER INPUT
IX UNPUBLISHED AND DEPUBLISHED OPINIONS
X FREE BRIEF BANK SERVICE

FORECITE PRACTICE GUIDE

PG I(A) Importance Of Going Beyond CALJIC §PG- 1
PG I(B) Duty of Court To Go Beyond CALJIC PG-2
(1) Jury Instructions Are Not The Law -- They Attempt To State The Law PG-3
(2) Pattern Instructions Are Not Sacrosanct PG-4
(3) Federal Constitutional Principles Require The Judge To Look Beyond The Standard Pattern Instructions PG-5
PG I(C) Jury Instruction Statutes And Rules Of Court PG-6
PG I(D) Importance Of Considering Instructions Before Trial PG-7
PG I(E) Importance Of Preparing For Those Evidentiary Questions Which Are Governed By EC 403 PG-8
PG I(F) Strategy For Analysis And Preparation Of Jury Instructions At Trial PG-9
PG I(G) A Suggested Approach To Finding Instructional Error On Appeal PG-10
PG II(A) The Statute PG-11
PG II(B) Terms With A Specialized Or Technical Legal Meaning PG-12
PG II(C) Language From Appellate Court Opinions PG-13
PG II(D) Instructions Specifically Approved By Reviewing Court PG-14
PG II(E) Reference To Specific Facts/Witnesses PG-15
PG II(F) Identifying The Party Who Has The Burden Of Proof PG-16
PG II(G) Court Must Exercise Care In Defining Elements PG-17
PG II(H) Strategy For Dealing with Jury Instruction Language PG-18
PG II(I) Making Jury Instructions Understandable PG-19
PG II(J) Determining An Instruction's "Readability" Score PG-20
PG II(K) Empirical Evidence Of Juror Inability To Understand Instructions PG-21
PG III(A) Defendant's Right To Directly Relate The Theory Of Defense To An Element Of The Charge PG-22
PG III(B) Improper Argumentative vs. Proper Pinpoint PG-23
PG III(C) Prosecution's Pinpoint Instructions PG-24
PG III(D) Reasonable Doubt Favors Defendant -- CJ 2.90 Not Sufficient PG-25
PG III(E) Any Single Fact May Be Sufficient To Raise A Reasonable Doubt PG-26
PG III(F) The FORECITE System For Composing Pinpoint Instructions PG-27
PG III(G) The FORECITE System For Drafting Pinpoint Instructions PG-28
(1) Heat Of Passion Negates Lying In Wait PG-29
(2) Factors For Jury To Consider--e.g. Weapon Drawn In Jest PG-30
(3) Claim Of Right--Complete Defense PG-31
(4) False Report of Crime: Pinpoint Instruction As To Negation Of Knowledge PG-32
PG IV General And Specific Intent: General Rules PG-33
PG IV(A) Does Implied Malice Require Specific Intent? PG-34
PG IV(B) What Intent is Required for Assault? PG-35
PG IV(C) What is the Intent Requirement for Arson? PG-36
PG IV(D) Does Use of a Firearm Require Specific Intent? PG-37

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG IV(E) Does Aiding and Abetting Include a Specific Intent Mens Rea?	PG-38
PG V(A) Sua Sponte Duties	PG-39
PG V(A)(1) Province of the Court	PG-40
PG V(A)(2) Duty to Instruct on General Principles, Elements and Theories	PG-41
PG V(A)(2.1) Duty Of Judge To Instruct Not Dependent On Manifestation Of Confusion By Jury	PG-42
PG V(A)(3) Duty to Go Beyond Form Instructions	PG-43
PG V(A)(4) Duty to Protect Defendant’s Rights	PG-44
PG V(A)(5) Duty to Screen Out Factually Unsupported Theories	PG-45
PG V(A)(6) Duty To Instruct On Defenses	PG-46
(1) Court Must Instruct Sua Sponte On Defense Supported By Substantial Evidence And Consistent With Defendant’s Theory	PG-47
(2) Duty To Instruct On Inconsistent Defenses	PG-48
PG V(A)(7) Instruction on Lesser Included Over Defense Objection	PG-49
PG V(A)(8) Terms with Specialized/Technical Meaning: Sua Sponte Duty to Define	PG-50
PG V(A)(9) Sua Sponte Duty Governed By Substantial Evidence Without Regard To Source Or Credibility Of The Evidence	PG-51
PG V(A)(9.5) Defendant Need Not Testify To Require Instruction On Defense Theory	PG-52
PG V(A)(10) Statutorily Mandated Sua Sponte Instructions	PG-53
PG V(A)(11) Duty to Instruct on Expert Testimony	PG-54
PG V(A)(12) Limitations on Sua Sponte Duty	PG-55
PG V(A)(13) Sua Sponte Duty to Instruct Upon Lesser Included Offenses Based On Intoxication	PG-56
PG V(A)(14) Impact Of Stipulation On Duty To Instruct	PG-57
PG V(B) Requested Instructions	PG-58
PG V(B)(1) General Rules	PG-59
PG V(B)(1.1) Right To “Theory Of The Case” Instruction Upon Request	PG-60
PG V(B)(1.2) Instruction Embodying General Rule Does Not Justify Refusing More Specific Instruction Applying The Rule To The Facts Of The Case	PG-61
PG V(B)(1.3) Requested Instructions: Doubts Resolved In Favor Of Defendant	PG-62
PG V(B)(2) No Preference for CALJIC Instructions	PG-63
PG V(B)(3) Endorsement and Written Reasons for Refusal	PG-64
PG V(B)(4) Mandatory Statutory Instructions	PG-65
PG V(B)(5) Requested Instruction On Time- Or Jeopardy-Barred Lesser	PG-66
PG V(C) Correction Of Defects	PG-67
PG V(D) Sanitizing the Instructions	PG-68
PG V(D)(1) General	PG-69
PG V(D)(2) Descriptive Titles	PG-70
PG V(E) When To Instruct	PG-71
PG V(E)(1) General	PG-72
PG V(E)(2) Preinstruction Required (PC 1122(a))	PG-73
PG V(E)(3) New Or Different Instructions During Deliberations	PG-74
PG V(E)(4) Pre-Voir Dire Instruction Of The Jury	PG-75
PG V(E)(5) Instruction Before Or After Arguments	PG-76
PG V(E)(6) When To Instruct: Failure To Repeat Pre-Instructions At End Of Trial	PG-77
PG V(F) Sequence of Instructions	PG-78
PG V(G) Written Instructions to the Jury	PG-79
PG V(G)(1) Generally	PG-80
PG V(G)(2) Discrepancies Between Oral and Written Instructions	PG-81
PG V(G)(3) Preservation Of Written Instructions For The Record	PG-82
PG V(G)(4) Written Instructions In Lieu Of Oral Instructions As Reversible Error	PG-83
PG V(G)(5) Written Instructions As Inherently Prejudicial Even If Oral Instructions Are Also Given	PG-84
PG V(G)(6) Supplemental Instructions Should Be Given Orally	PG-85
PG V(H) The Court Must Advise Counsel As To Instruction Before	PG-86

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG V(I)	Record of Instruction Proceedings PG-87
PG V(I)(A)	General Rules PG-88
PG V(I)(B)	Variance Between Reporter’s Transcript And Written Instructions PG-89
PG V(I)(C)	Reporter’s Failure To Contemporaneously Record Jury Instructions PG-90
PG V(I)(D)	Waiver Of Reporter PG-91
PG V(I)(E)	Reporting By Electronic Recorder Rather Than Court Reporter PG-92
PG V(I)(F)	Record Of Instruction Proceedings: Error In Reporter’s Transcript And No Written Instructions Available PG-93
PG V(I)(G)	Making Appellate Record As To Matters Which Cannot Be Recorded By Court Reporter PG-94
PG V(J)	Instruction of the Jury -- Public Trial PG-95
PG V(K)	Instruction on Inconsistent Defenses PG-96
PG V(L)	Polling Of Jury Following Verdict PG-97
PG V(M)	Instruction To Disregard Theories Advanced During Argument For Which No Substantial Evidence Was Presented PG-98
PG V(N)	Instruction Conference: Presence Of Defendant PG-99
PG V(O)	Duty Of Court To Instruct: Separation Of Powers Precludes Legislative Infringement On Judicial Discretion PG-100
PG VI(A)	Duty To Object PG-101
PG VI(A)(1.1)	General Rules PG-102
PG VI(A)(1.2)	Duty to Obtain Ruling From The Court PG-103
PG VI(A)(1.3)	Duty Of Counsel To Object: Futile Objections Need Not Be Raised PG-104
PG VI(A)(1.4)	Duty To Object: Prosecutorial Misstatements Of Law PG-105
PG VI(A)(1.5)	Duty To Object: Misconduct Of Co-Counsel PG-106
PG VI(A)(1.6)	Duty To Object: Plain Error PG-107
PG VI(A)(1.7)	Duty To Object To Time-Barred Lesser Offenses PG-108
PG VI(A)(2)	Invited Error: General Principles PG-109
PG VI(A)(3)	Invited Error: Joining D.A.’s Request For Instruction PG-110
PG VI(A)(4)	“Defensive Act” Made In Light Of Court Ruling Does Not Waive Issue PG-111
PG VI(A)(5)	Invited Error: Inapplicable Where Requested Instruction Has Been Changed PG-112
PG VI(A)(6)	Invited Error: Error Must Be Caused By Counsel PG-113
PG VI(A)(7)	Invited Error As To Lesser Included Offenses PG-114
PG VI(A)(8)	Duty To Object To Time-Barred Lesser Offenses PG-115
PG VI(A)(9)	Invited Error/Estoppel: Applicability To Prosecution PG-116
PG VI(A)(10)	Duty To Object: Duty To Submit To Court’s Ruling; No Obligation To Pursue The Issue Or Renew The Objection PG-117
PG VI(B)	Necessity Of Objection To Lesser Related Offenses PG-118
PG VI(C)	Duty To Request Instructions PG-119
PG VI(C)(1)	General Rules PG-120
PG VI(C)(2)	Form of Request PG-121
PG VI(C)(3)	When To Request PG-122
PG VI(C)(4)	Failure to Request Necessary Jury Instructions as IAC PG-123
PG VI(C)(4.1)	Failure To Research And Present Non-Pattern Jury Instruction As IAC PG-124
PG VI(C)(4.2)	Failure To Raise Issue On Appeal As Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel (IAC) PG-125
PG VI(C)(4.3)	Failure To Object To Instruction As Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel PG-126
PG VI(C)(4.4)	Duty To Request Instructions: Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel To Make Strategic Decision Without Fully Investigating Alternatives PG-127
PG VI(C)(5)	Defendant Must Request Fuller Instructions During Jury Selection PG-128
PG VI(C)(6)	Sequence of Instructions to Promote Clarity PG-129
PG VI(C)(7)	Duty To Make Record Of Instruction Discussions PG-130
PG VI(C)(8)	Duties of Counsel in Civil Forfeiture Proceedings PG-131
PG VI(C)(9)	Request For Preinstruction Of Jury PG-132
PG VI(C)(10)	Discussing Or Reciting Legal Principles During Argument As Alternative To Refused Instruction PG-133

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG VI(C)(11)	Duty To Request Reopening Of Argument When New Instructional Theory Presented After Argument PG-134
PG VI(C)(12)	Reference To Outside Sources During Argument PG-135
PG VII	Federal Constitutional Issues PG-136
PG VII(A)	Introduction PG-137
PG VII(B)	Federalizing The Request: Procedural Issues PG-138
PG VII(B)(1)	Reasons For Federalizing Jury Instruction Requests PG-139
PG VII(B)(2)	Federal Claim Must Be Specified PG-140
PG VII(B)(2.1)	Federal Claim May Not Be Preserved By Citing State Cases Which Discussed Federal Claims PG-141
PG VII(B)(3)	Necessity Of Federalizing In Trial Court PG-142
PG VII(B)(4)	Necessity Of Federalizing In Appellate Court PG-143
PG VII(B)(4.1)	Necessity Of Federalizing In Appellate Court: Issues Raised For The First Time In Petition For Rehearing Or Reply Brief May Be Sufficient For Purposes Of Exhaustion PG-144
PG VII(B)(5)	Necessity Of Presenting Federal Issue To State’s Highest Court Even If Review Is Discretionary PG-145
PG VII(C)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues PG-146
PG VII(C)(1)	Failure To Instruct Or Directed Verdict On Element Of Charge PG-147
PG VII(C)(2)	Elimination Of Factual Considerations PG-148
PG VII(C)(3)	Failure To Properly Define The Prosecution’s Burden PG-149
PG VII(C)(4)	Instructions Which Impact The Burden Of Proof As Federal Constitutional Error PG-150
PG VII(C)(5)	Misinstruction On Element Of Charge PG-151
PG VII(C)(6)	Presumption Which Lessens The Prosecution’s Burden PG-152
PG VII(C)(7)	Permissive Inference Instructions Discouraged PG-153
PG VII(C)(8)	Improper Shifting Of The Burden Of Proof PG-154
PG VII(C)(9)	Irrational Use Of Permissive Inference PG-155
PG VII(C)(9.1)	Permissive Inference Instruction Improper As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction PG-156
PG VII(C)(10)	Contradictory Instructions On Element PG-157
PG VII(C)(11)	Instruction On Uncharged Theory Violates Due Process And Right To Effective Assistance Of Counsel: Preliminary Hearing Is Touchstone Of Due Process PG-158
PG VII(C)(11.1)	Due Process Notice: Variance Between Information And Proof At Trial PG-159
PG VII(C)(12)	Failure To Instruct On Lesser Included In Capital Case PG-160
PG VII(C)(12.1)	Federal Constitutional Right To Instruction On Lesser Included Offense In Capital Case: Defendant’s Duty To Request PG-161
PG VII(C)(13)	Failure To Instruct On Lesser Included Offenses In Noncapital Case PG-162
PG VII(C)(14)	Denial Of Instruction And Argument On Defense Theory As Violation Of Rights To Trial By Jury, Due Process, Counsel, Compulsory Process, And Confrontation PG-163
PG VII(C)(15)	Right To Present A Defense: Guaranteed By Constitutional Rights To Compulsory Process, Confrontation, Due Process And Trial By Jury PG-164
PG VII(C)(16)	Juror Unanimity PG-165
PG VII(C)(17)	Due Process Violation For Arbitrary Denial Of State Created Right PG-166
PG VII(C)(18)	Cumulative Effect Of State Errors As Violation Of Due Process PG-167
PG VII(C)(19)	Impairing Jury’s Assessment Of Witness Credibility As Violation Of Right To Trial By Jury PG-168
PG VII(C)(20)	Jury Consideration Of Highly Prejudicial Uncharged Act Evidence As Violation Of Due Process PG-169
PG VII(C)(21)	Due Process Requires Balanced Instructions That Do Not Unduly Favor The Prosecution PG-170
PG VII(C)(22)	Exercise Of One Constitutional Right Cannot Be Conditioned Upon

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
	The Denial Of Another	PG-171
PG VII(C)(23)	Disparate Treatment Of Identical Claims	PG-172
PG VII(C)(24)	Misapplication Of A State Law	PG-173
PG VII(C)(25)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues: Vagueness And Overbreadth	PG-174
	(1) Vagueness	PG-175
	(2) Overbreadth	PG-176
PG VII(C)(26)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues: Trial By Jury	PG-177
PG VII(C)(27)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues:	PG-178
	Retroactivity	PG-179
	Abatement	PG-180
	Ex Post Facto/Due Process	PG-181
	Ex Post Facto: General Rules	PG-182
	Ex Post Facto/Due Process: Where Criminal Conduct Begins Before Enactment Of Statute And Continues After Its Effective Date	PG-183
	Ex Post Facto: Statute That Changes The Rules Of Evidence	PG-184
	Ex Post Facto/Due Process: Due Process Is More Limited	PG-185
	Ex Post Facto: Removal Of Discretion	PG-186
	Right On Direct Appeal To Benefit Of Intervening Decision	PG-187
PG VII(C)(27.1)	Changing The Rules In The Middle Of The Game	PG-188
PG VII(C)(28)	Domestic Rules Of Evidence May Not Be Invoked To Abridge A Criminal Defendant’s Right To A Fair Trial	PG-189
	Due Process Balancing	PG-190
	Balancing Required On A Case-By-Case Basis	PG-191
	Right To Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt And Trial By Jury	PG-192
	Applicability of <u>Montana v. Egelhoff</u>	PG-193
PG VII(C)(29)	Substantive Due Process	PG-194
PG VII(C)(30)	Misconduct Of Co-Counsel As An Abridgement Of Defendant’s Constitutional Rights ...	PG-195
PG VII(C)(31)	Due Process On Appeal: Federal Constitutional Issues: Generally	PG-196
	Delay of Appeal As Denial of Due Process	PG-197
	Inadequate Record As Denial of Due Process on Appeal	PG-198
	Ineffective Counsel on Appeal	PG-199
PG VII(C)(32)	Applicability Of Constitutional Rights To Sentencing Decisions	PG-200
	1. Non-Recidivist Sentencing Factor Which Increases The Defendant’s Sentencing Exposure	PG-201
	2. Non-Recidivist Sentencing Factor Resulting In Great Sentencing Disparity (“Tail Wagging The Dog”)	PG-202
	3. Federal Right To Trial By Jury As To Prior Conviction	PG-203
	4. Applicability Of Double Jeopardy To Sentencing Factors	PG-204
	5. <u>Apprendi</u> : Additional Issues	PG-205
	6. Constitutional Argument That <u>Apprendi</u> Applies To The Intent/ Objective Requirement Of PC 654 / Jury Determination Of Sentencing Factors	PG-206
	7. Applicability Of Constitutional Rights To Sentencing Decisions: <u>Apprendi</u> Forecloses Use Of A Juvenile Prior As A Strike Or Five Year Enhancement	PG-207
PG VII(C)(33)	Federal Constitutional Rights: Interference With Attorney-Client Relationship As Violation Of Federal Constitution	PG-208
PG VII(C)(34)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues: Impairment Of Right To Exercise Peremptory Challenges As Federal Constitutional Violation	PG-209
PG VII(C)(35)	Substantive Federal Constitutional Issues: Public Trials	PG-210
PG VII(C)(36)	Privilege Against Self-Incrimination	PG-211
PG VII(D)	Successes On Federal Habeas	PG-212
PG VII(E)	Standard of Prejudice On Federal Habeas	PG-213

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG VII(E)(1) Standard Of Prejudice On Federal Habeas Corpus	PG-214
PG VII(E)(2) <u>Chapman</u> Applies If State Court Failed To Apply Correct Standard	PG-215
PG VII(E)(3) Standard Of Prejudice On Federal Habeas: Habeas Help Available	PG-216
PG VII(E)(4) Standard Of Prejudice On Federal Habeas: Antiterrorism Act (AEDPA)	PG-217
PG VII(E)(5) Standard Of Review On Habeas Corpus: No Deference To California Supreme Court’s Postcard Denial Of Habeas Petition	PG-218
PG VII(F) Making The Law/Fact Distinction	PG-219
PG VII(G) Burdens And Presumptions: Allocating The Burden Of Proof As To Statutory Exception To Liability	PG-220
PG VII(H) PC 1122(a) Checklist re: Preinstruction	PG-221
PG VIII(A) Special Verdicts: General Rule	PG-222
PG VIII(B) Special Verdict Language	PG-223
PG VIII(C) Special Verdicts To Prevent Retrial	PG-224
PG VIII(D) Special Verdicts To Meet Defendant’s Burden Under <u>Guiton</u>	PG-225
PG VIII(E) Sufficiency Of Verdict	PG-226
PG VIII(F) Special Verdict Form: Coercive Impact On Jury	PG-227
PG IX(A) Supplemental Jury Instructions: Right to Counsel	PG-228
PG IX(B) Record of Supplemental Instructions	PG-229
PG IX(C) General Rules For Framing Supplemental Instructions	PG-230
PG IX(C)(1) Special Care Needed	PG-231
PG IX(C)(2) Response to Jury Reinstruction Request Must Be Balanced	PG-232
PG IX(C)(3) Admonition That Supplemental Instructions Have No Greater Weight Than Original Instructions	PG-233
PG IX(C)(4) Correct Regular Instruction Does Not Cure Improper Supplemental Instruction	PG-234
PG IX(D) Response to Jury Inquiry	PG-235
PG IX(D)(1) Duty to Clarify Ambiguous Inquiry	PG-236
PG IX(D)(2) Duty To Eliminate Juror Confusion	PG-237
PG IX(D)(3) Read-Back Of Prior Instructions May Not Be Sufficient	PG-238
PG IX(D)(4) [NOTE: This entry has been renumbered PG IX(C)(3)]	
PG IX(D)(5) Withdrawal Of Confusing Instruction In Response To Jury Inquiry	PG-239
PG IX(E) Appellate Review Of Supplemental Instructions	PG-240
PG IX(F) Sample Briefing On Court’s Duty To Respond To Juror Inquiry	PG-241
PG IX(G) Jury’s Request For Read-Back Of Arguments of Counsel	PG-242
PG IX(G)(1) Presence Of Defendant At Readback	PG-243
PG IX(H) New or Different Instructions During Deliberations	PG-244
PG IX(H)(1) Instructions Inconsistent With Defendant’s Theory Of The Case	PG-245
PG IX(H)(2) New Charges of Theories	PG-246
PG IX(H)(3) Change In Definition Or Statement Of The Law	PG-247
PG IX(H)(4) Right To Reargue	PG-248
PG IX(H)(5) Mid-trial Change In Ruling Regarding Instruction	PG-249
PG IX(H)(6) Supplemental Instruction Should Not Tell Jury In What Order To Consider Issues	PG-250
PG IX(I) Substitution Of Alternate Juror	PG-251
PG IX(J) Instructions To A Deadlocked Jury	PG-252
PG IX(J)(1) General Rule	PG-253
Jurors Must Be Reminded Not To Surrender Conscientiously Held Beliefs	PG-254
Types Of Coercion: Judge’s Post-Deadlock Comment On The Evidence	PG-255
PG IX(J)(2) Inquiry Into Numerical Division Of Jury May Coerce Verdict	PG-256
PG IX(J)(3) Instruction On Lesser Included In Response To Jury Deadlock	PG-257
PG IX(J)(4) Dismissal Of Certain Charges In Response To Jury Deadlock	PG-258
PG IX(J)(5) Failure Of Jury To Agree Upon Entrapment	PG-259
PG IX(J)(6) Advice As To Consequences Of Deadlock	PG-260
PG IX(J)(7) Both Minority And Majority Must Be Encouraged To Consider The Other’s View	PG-261
PG IX(J)(8) Instructions Should Inform Jury That A Verdict Need Not Be Reached	PG-262

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG IX(J)(9)	New Instructions At The Time Of The Deadlock Heighten The Coerciveness PG-263
PG IX(J)(10)	Removal Of Holdout Juror When Jury Is Deadlocked PG-264
PG IX(K)	Jury Nullification Issues And Sanctions Against “Offending Jurors” PG-265
	Juror’s Power/Right To Nullify PG-266
	Supplemental Instruction In Response To Jury Inquiry Regarding Nullification PG-267
	Affirmative Anti-Nullification Instructions And/Or Sanctions In Response To Threatened Nullification PG-268
PG IX(L)	Juror Agreement To Disregard An Instruction PG-269
PG IX(M)	Access To Jurors’ Names And Addresses PG-270
PG X(A)	Standards of Review PG-271
PG X(A)(1)	Rules For Determining Whether The Evidence Justifies An Instruction PG-272
PG X(A)(1.1)	Substantial Evidence PG-273
PG X(A)(1.2)	Sufficiency Determination Must Be Made Without Reference To Credibility Of The Evidence PG-274
PG X(A)(1.3)	Evidence Must Be Viewed In Favor Of The Instruction PG-275
PG X(A)(1.4)	Applicability To Sua Sponte And Requested Instructions PG-276
PG X(A)(2)	De Novo Standard Of Review For Instructional Error PG-277
PG X(A)(3)	“Reasonable Likelihood” Standard Applies To Ambiguous Instructions PG-278
PG X(B) Standards Of Prejudice PG-279
PG X(B)(1)	The Three Traditional Standards Of Prejudice PG-280
PG X(B)(2)	Per Se Reversal Rule Applies To “Structural” Errors And Errors Which Preclude Meaningful Review PG-281
PG X(B)(3)	Standard Of Prejudice When Instructions Include Legally Incorrect Theory PG-282
PG X(B)(4)	Standard Of Prejudice When Instructions Include Factually Insufficient Theory: <u>Green</u> Rule Upheld as to Legal Theories and Overturned as to Factual Theories PG-283
PG X(B)(5)	Trial And Appellate Strategies Now That Defendant Has Burden To Show Prejudice From A Factually Insufficient Theory PG-284
PG X(B)(5)(a)	Distinction Between Factual and Legal Theory PG-285
PG X(B)(5)(b)	Proving a Reasonable Probability of Jury Reliance PG-286
PG X(B)(5)(c)	Strategy Ideas PG-287
PG X(B)(5)(d)	Potential Constitutional Challenges to <u>Guiton</u> PG-288
PG X(B)(6)	Standard Of Prejudice On Federal Habeas PG-289
PG X(B)(7)	No Appellate Issue Where Instructional Error Favors Defendant PG-290
PG X(B)(8)	Standard Of Prejudice: Prophylactic Reversal -- Necessity Of Reversing Conviction To Avoid Future Error PG-291
PG X(B)(9)	Prejudicial Error: The Applicable Tests And How To Satisfy Them PG-292
PG X(B)(10)	Standard Of Prejudice: Habeas Corpus -- No “Presumption Of Correctness” As To Harmless Error Analysis PG-293
PG X(C) Nature Of The Error Determines Standard Of Prejudice PG-294
PG X(C)(1)(a)	Removal Of All Elements PG-295
PG X(C)(1)(b)	Removal Of A Single Element PG-296
	Applicability Of <u>Sullivan v. Louisiana</u> To Failure To Instruct On Element Of The Charge PG-297
PG X(C)(1)(b)(I)	Removal Of A Single Element Requiring Less Than Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt PG-298
PG X(C)(1)(c)	Reduction Of Charge To Lesser Offense For Failure To Instruct On Elements Of The Greater Offense PG-299
PG X(C)(1)(d)	Reversal Per Se Applies Even If Evidence “Uncontroverted” PG-300
PG X(C)(2)	Failure to Instruct on Lesser Offense PG-301
PG X(C)(2.1)	Harmless Error Analysis Applied For Failure To Instruct Upon Lesser Included Offense Of An Alternative Charge Per <u>Guiton</u> PG-302

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
PG X(C)(3)	Failure to Instruct on Defense	PG-303
PG X(C)(3.1)	Failure To Instruct On Defense: General Instruction On Element Of Charge Is Insufficient	PG-304
PG X(C)(4)	Instruction Which Directs Verdict Against the Defendant	PG-305
PG X(C)(5)	Instruction Which Affects Prosecution’s Burden Without Totally Removing an Element of the Charge	PG-306
PG X(C)(6)	Failure to Instruct on Element of a Special Circumstance Allegation	PG-307
PG X(C)(7)	Standard Of Prejudice: Failure To Instruct On Element Of Enhancement	PG-308
PG X(C)(8)	Failure To Define A Technical Term As Reversible Error	PG-309
PG X(C)(9)	Failure To Instruct On Corroboration Requirement	PG-310
PG X(C)(10)	Failure To Give Defense Pinpoint Instruction	PG-311
PG X(C)(11)	Harmless Error Analysis For Federal Constitutional Error: Impact On Verdict In Case At Hand	PG-312
PG X(C)(12)	Juror Unanimity: <u>Sullivan v. Louisiana</u> Requires Reversal Per Se	PG-313
PG X(C)(13)	Duty To Request Instructions: When Instruction May Be Based On Defendant’s Perjured Testimony	PG-314
PG X(C)(14)	Written Instructions To The Jury As Reversible Error	PG-315
PG X(C)(15)	Whether Denial Of Right To Jury Trial On Prior Conviction Is Reversible Per Se (PC 1025)	PG-316
PG X(D)	General Rules in Evaluating Prejudicial Effect of Instructions	PG-317
PG X(D)(1)	Jurors Assumed To Be Intelligent	PG-318
PG X(D)(2)	Jurors Presumed To Follow The Instructions	PG-319
PG X(D)(3)	Specific Controls Over General	PG-320
PG X(D)(4)	Instructions Considered As Whole	PG-321
PG X(D)(5)	Applying Instructional Principle To One Aspect Of The Charge And Not To Another	PG-322
PG X(E)	Helpful Rules and Standards For Showing Prejudice	PG-323
PG X(E)(1)	Jury Instructions Are Of Critical Importance	PG-324
PG X(E)(2)	Influence Of The Judge	PG-325
PG X(E)(3)	Cumulative Prejudice	PG-326
PG X(E)(4)	Misinstruction On A Vital Issue In A Close Case	PG-327
PG X(E)(5)	Prosecutorial Exploitation Of The Error In Argument	PG-328
PG X(E)(5)(a)	Reference To Erroneous Instructions	PG-329
PG X(E)(5)(b)	Exploitation Of Erroneous Failure To Instruct	PG-330
PG X(E)(6)	Defense Counsel Forced to Make Closing Argument Without Support of Instruction	PG-331
PG X(E)(7)	Erroneous or Incomplete Instructions In Response To Jury Inquiry	PG-332
PG X(E)(8)	Correct Preinstruction Does Not Cure Error	PG-333
PG X(E)(8.1)	Conflict Between Instructions Does Not Clarify Which Applies	PG-334
PG X(E)(8.2)	Conflict Between Definition Of Elements In Preamble And Body Of The Instruction	PG-335
PG X(E)(9)	Correct Oral Instruction Does Not Cure Erroneous Or Incomplete Written Instruction	PG-336
PG X(E)(10)	Correct Supplemental Instruction Does Not Cure Error	PG-337
PG X(E)(11)	Response To Jury Re-instruction Request Must Be “Balanced”	PG-338
PG X(E)(12)	Prompt Return Of Verdict	PG-339
PG X(E)(12)(a)	After Jury Hears Erroneous Instruction	PG-340
PG X(E)(12)(b)	After Jury Hears Incomplete Charge	PG-341
PG X(E)(13)	Return Of Verdict By Previously Deadlocked Jury	PG-342
PG X(E)(14)	Cross-Examination Cannot Cure Instructional Error	PG-343
PG X(E)(15)	Jury May Accept Some Portions of a Witness’ Testimony And Reject Other Portions	PG-344
PG X(E)(16)	Errors In Irrelevant Instructions	PG-345
PG X(E)(17)	Instruction In Absence Of Counsel	PG-346
PG X(E)(18)	Juror Agreement To Disregard Court’s Instructions	PG-347
PG X(E)(19)(1)	Inability Of Limiting Instructions To Cure Error	PG-348
PG X(E)(19)(2)	Cautionary/Limiting Instructions May Emphasize The Prejudicial Matter	PG-349
PG X(E)(19)(3)	Defendant Should Decide Whether Cautionary/Limiting Instruction Is Given	PG-350
PG X(E)(20)	Erroneous Instruction As To One Charge May Be Prejudicial As To Others	PG-351

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PG X(E)(21) Consideration Of Instructions Re: Prejudice From Jury Misconduct	PG-352
PG X(E)(22) Helpful Rules And Standards For Showing Prejudice: Special Verdicts	PG-353
PG X(E)(23) Prejudicial Effect Of “Over-Instruction” When Defendant Convicted Of Lesser Offense ...	PG-354
PG X(E)(24) Failure Of Defendant To Testify	PG-355
PG X(E)(25) Credibility Contest Between Prosecution And Defense Witnesses Is Close Case	PG-356
PG X(E)(26) Failure Of Jury To Ask Questions Does Not Cure Instruction Error:	PG-357
PG X(E)(26.1) Failure To Ask Questions Does Not Demonstrate Juror Understanding Of The Instructions	PG-358
PG X(E)(26.2) Juror’s Failure To Ask Questions Does Not Establish That The Instruction Was Correct	PG-359
PG X(F) Indicia of A Close Case	PG-360
PG X(G) Effect of Argument on Instructional Error	PG-361
PG X(G)(1) Argument Of Prosecutor Heightens Prejudice	PG-362
PG X(G)(2) Jurors Presumed To Follow The Law Instead Of Argument	PG-363
PG X(G)(3) Argument Of Counsel Cannot Substitute For Instruction	PG-364
PG X(G)(4) Jury Not Constrained By Theories Advanced By Counsel	PG-365
PG X(G)(5) Counsel Should Not Be Permitted To Argue Differing Views Of The Law	PG-366
PG X(H) Inconsistent Verdicts	PG-367
PG X(I) Standard Of Review For Failure To Instruct On Element Of Special Circumstance	PG-368
PG X(J) Standard of Prejudice: Failure to instruct on LIO	PG-369
1. Failure To Instruct Sua Sponte Governed By <u>Watson</u>	PG-370
2. Refusal Of Request To Instruct On Lesser Included Offense Governed By Federal Standard Of Prejudice	PG-371
3. Does <u>Breverman</u> Apply to Lessers Which Are Actually Elements?	PG-372
4. Only One Juror Need Be Affected To Warrant Relief	PG-373
5. Making The <u>Watson</u> Standard More Objective By Utilizing “Indicia of a Close Case”	PG-374
6. Unwarranted Instruction On Lesser Is Not Reversible Error If It Was Favorable To Defendant	PG-375
PG X(K) Ineffective Assistance of Counsel On Appeal	PG-376
Inconsistent Verdicts: Not Guilty Notation on Verdict Form Does Not Void Conviction Of Greater Offense	PG-376.1
PG X(K)(1) Complete Denial Of Appeal	PG-377
PG X(K)(2) Failure To Raise Specific Issues	PG-378
PG X(K)(3) “No Merit” Briefs: <u>Anders/Wende</u>	PG-379
PG X(K)(3.1) Appellate Counsel Who Served At Trial Should Never File <u>Anders/Wende</u> Brief	PG-380
PG X(L) Juror Misconduct	PG-381
PG X(L)(1) Juror Agreement To Disregard An Instruction	PG-382
PG X(L)(2) Due Process Basis For Admissibility Of Juror Misconduct Notwithstanding EC 1150	PG-383
PG X(L)(3) Proposition 8 Basis For Admissibility Of Juror Misconduct	PG-384
PG X(L)(4) Jury Misconduct: Consideration Of Juror Declarations Or Affidavits	PG-385
PG X(L)(5) Juror Misconduct: Duty Of Inquiry	PG-386
PG X(M) Access To Jurors’ Names And Addresses	PG-387
PG X(N) Jury Nullification Issues And Sanctions Against “Offending Jurors”	PG-388
PG X(O) A Suggested Approach To Finding Instructional Error On Appeal	PG-389
PG X(P) Appeal: Remand	PG-390
Right To Peremptory Challenge Of Trial Judge Following Appeal And Remand For Resentencing	PG-391
PG X(P)(2) Remand With Instructions To Impose Judgment On Lesser Included Offense	PG-392

CALJIC PART 1 -2

F 0.10 n1	Grand Jury Instructions: Right To Discovery
F 0.25 n1	Pretrial Instructions During Voir Dire: Impact On Jury Instruction Errors At Trial

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 0.25 n2	Voir Dire Instructions: Cautionary Instruction Regarding Potential Jurors' Prejudicial Statements.
F 0.25 n3	Pretrial Admonition: Admonition Not To Converse During Voir Dire
F 0.25 n4	Voir Dire: Identification Of Parties And Crimes Charged When Victim's Identity Is Withheld (PC 293.5)
F 0.25 n5	Instructional Issues At Voir Dire: Duty Of DA To Disclose Theory Of Culpability
F 0.25 n6	Judge Conducted Voir Dire In Capital Cases
F 0.25 n7	Voir Dire: Duty Of Court To Question Regarding Racial Bias
F 0.25 n8	Voir Dire: Jury Selection Resources
F 0.25 n9	Voir Dire/Jury Not To Consider Penalty: Whether Jury Should Be Informed That Non-Capital Special Circumstance Case Does Not Involve The Death Penalty
F 0.25 n10	Voir Dire: Web Site For Jurors
F 0.25a	Cautionary Instruction Regarding Juror Anonymity Procedures
F 0.25b	Voir Dire Instructions: Jurors Must Agree To Set Aside Instructions In Previous Civil
F 0.50 n1	Pretrial Admonition
F 0.50 n2	Pretrial Admonition: Admonition Not To Converse During Voir Dire
F 0.50 n3	Pre-trial Instructions: Additional References
F 0.50 n4	Pre-trial Discovery Of Prosecution's Theory Of Culpability And Instructions
F 0.50 n5	Constitutional Challenge To Referring To Jurors By Number
F 0.50 n6	Pretrial Admonition: When Victim's Identity Is Withheld (PC 293.5)
F 0.50a	Pre-trial Admonition: Note-taking Regarding Jury Instructions
F 0.50b	Reference To Juror By Number Without Showing Of Good Cause Under CCP 237(Los Angeles County Procedure)
F 0.50c	Reference To Juror By Number Based On Showing Of Good Cause Under CCP 237
F 0.50d	Pre-trial Admonition: Improper To Refer To Prosecution As "The People"
F 1.00 n1	Partial Omission Of Introductory Instruction Does Not Violate Due Process
F 1.00 n2	Admonition Against Consumption Of Alcohol
F 1.00 n3	Written Instructions To The Jury
F 1.00 n4	Duties Of Judge/Jury: Jury Nullification
F 1.00 n5	Pretrial Instruction Re Jury's Duty (PC 1122(a))
F 1.00 n6	Preliminary and Introductory Instruction Regarding Juror Note Taking
F 1.00 n7	Questions By Jurors: Whether Judge Or Attorney Should State The Question
F 1.00 n8	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 1.00a	Respective Duties Of Judge And Jury: Questions By Jurors
F 1.00b	Duties of Judge and Jury: Guilt vs. Innocence
F 1.00c	Pretrial Introduction And Definitions (PC 1122(a); CJ 1.00)
F 1.00d	Pretrial Instruction Re: Charges And Burden Of Proof (PC 1122(a); CJ 1.00)
F 1.00e	Preliminary Instructions: Order Of Trial (PC 1122(a); CJ 1.00)
F 1.00f	Duty To Keep An Open Mind (PC 1122(b))
F 1.00g	Duty Not to Receive Payment for Information About the Trial (PC 1122(a); CJ 1.00)
F 1.00h	Pretrial Instruction: Conclusion And Key Principles (PC 1122(a); CJ 1.00)
F 1.00i	Introductory Instruction: Reactions To Evidence Must Be Disregarded
F 1.00j	No Bias Against The Disabled
F 1.00k	Jury Does Not Have Duty To Reach A Verdict
F 1.00l	Counsel's Argument That A Specific Rule Is Included In A General Instruction
F 1.00m	Reference To Availability Of Written Instructions Should Be Made At The End Of The Instructions
F 1.00n	Counsel's Argument That Jury Should Utilize Common Dictionary Meaning Of A Term
F 1.00o	Jury Not To Be Influenced By Bias
F 1.00p	Jury Not To Consider Indictment As Evidence
F 1.02 n1	Stipulation: Impact On Duty To Produce Evidence
F 1.02a	Judicial Notice
F 1.02b	Stipulated Facts

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 1.02c	Jury Not To Consider Stricken Arguments Of Counsel
F 1.02d	Cautionary Instruction Regarding Charts, Graphs, Summaries, Etc.
F 1.03 n1	Interpreter Need Not Be Certified If Competent
F 1.03a	Admonition To Report Juror Misconduct or Personal Knowledge of Fact in Controversy
F 1.03b	Admonitions Regarding Publicity Before And During Trial
F 1.03c	Duty Not To Converse
F 1.03d	Jury To Be Guided By Official English Translation/Interpretation
F 1.03e	Interpreted Proceedings: Instructing Interpreters On Procedure
F 1.03f	Interpreted Proceedings: Instructing Non-English Speaking Parties On Procedure To Be Followed When The Witness Is Testifying
F 1.03g	Interpreted Proceedings: Instructing Non-English Speaking Parties On Procedure To Be Followed When The Witness Is Not Testifying
F 1.03h	Interpreted Proceedings: Instructing Counsel On Procedure
F 1.04 n1	Defendant May Make Record Showing Prejudice From Shackling
F 1.04 n2	Cautionary Instruction On Shackling Should Not Be Given Over Defendant's Objection
F 1.04 n3	Decision Regarding Shackling Must Be Made By The Court
F 1.04 n4	Shackling: Potential For Prejudice Even When Jury Doesn't See Shackles
F 1.04 n5	Defendant Must Object To Security Measures At Trial
F 1.04 n6	Physical Restraints May Abridge Defendant's Right To Attend Side Bar Conferences
F 1.04 n7	Failure to Instruct Upon Shackling: Standard Of Prejudice: Restraints Visible
F 1.04 n8	Shackling: Nature Of Crime Does Not Justify Shackling
F 1.04 n9	Shackling: Prejudice Is Heightened In Capital Trial
F 1.04 n10	Courtroom Security: Use Of Electric Shock Belt As Compromising Defendant's Ability To Participate In His Or Her Own Defense
F 1.04a	Cautionary Instruction Regarding Physical Restraints
F 1.05 n1	Juror Note Taking: Research Notes
F 1.05 n2	Juror Note Taking: Required Upon Request
F 1.05 n3	Juror Note Taking: Destruction Of Notes At End Of Trial
F 1.05 n4	Excessive Note Taking By Juror: Potential Prejudice
F 1.05 n5	Court Must Inform Jury It May Request Readback Of Testimony
F 1.05a	Juror Note Taking: Advisement That Argument Of Counsel May Not Be Read-Back To The Jury
F 1.05b	Juror Note Taking: Confidentiality
F 1.05c	Juror Note Taking: Jury Notes On The Jury Instructions
F 1.05d	Juror Note Taking: Juror's Right To Take Notes On Counsel's Argument
F 1.06 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 1.06a	Identification Of Parties And Crimes Charged: When Victim's Identity Is Withheld (PC 293.5)
F 1.12 n1	Anonymity Of Alleged Victim: Defense Objection Precludes Instruction Which Benefits Defendant
F 1.12 n2	Anonymity Of Victim/Witness: Unnecessary Anonymity As Violation Of Federal Constitution
F 1.12 n3	Anonymity Of Victim/Witness: Propriety Of Withholding Identity Of Witness From Defense
F 1.12a	Identification Of Parties And Crimes Charged: When Victim's Identity Is Withheld (PC 293.5)
F 1.12b	Identification Of Parties And Crimes Charged: When Victim's Identity Is Withheld (PC 293.5)
F 1.20 n1	Willfully Defined: Mens Rea
F 1.20 n2	Willfully Defined: Intent To Violate The Law
F 1.20a	Willfully Defined: Knowledge Element
F 1.20b	Willfully: Negation Of Knowledge Element
F 1.20c	Criminal Liability For Omission Of Statutory Duties Requires Knowledge Of That Duty

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 1.21 n1	Knowingly Defined: General Versus Specific Intent
F 1.21 n2	Knowingly, As Included In Specific Intent
F 1.21 n3	Knowledge Is Not Identical To Intent
F 1.22 n1	Improper To Give CJ 1.22 (Definition Of Malice) In A Murder Case
F 1.23.1 n1	Consent Defined: No Need To Instruct On Prior “Dating” Relationship
F 1.23.1 n2	Consent Defined: PC 261.6 Violates Due Process As Contrary To The Common Law
F 1.23.1 n3	Consent Defined: PC 261.6 Violates Due Process As Irrebuttable Presumption
F 1.23.1a	Prior “Dating” Relationship (PC 261.6)
F 1.24 n1	Possession: Intoxication As Defense To Knowledge Element
F 1.24 n2	Possession: Juror Unanimity
F 1.24 n3	Constructive Possession: Immediate Accessibility In Place Under Defendant’s Control
F 1.24 n4	Possession: Inability To Escape With The Contraband Does Not Negate Possession
F 1.24 n5	Possession: Duty To Define “Right To Control”
F 1.24 n6	Possession: Defendant Permitted To Comment On Lack Of Fingerprint Evidence
F 1.24 n7	Constructive Possession: Participation In Drug Transaction Does Not Prove Possession Of Drugs Or Money Possessed By Others
F 1.24a	Constructive Possession Defined: Agreement Or Contract To Purchase Not Sufficient
F 1.24b	Constructive Possession: Access Alone Is Insufficient
F 1.24c	Constructive Possession: Premises Shared By More Than One Person
F 1.24d	Possession: Accidental Possession Negates Intent
F 1.24e	Possession: Definition Of Control
F 1.24f	Possession: Right To Control Must Be Knowing
F 1.30a	General Instructions: Definitions -- “Substantially” Or “Substantial”
F 2.01 n1	Lesser Standard Argument Rejected
F 2.01 n2	Unconstitutional Inference Argument Rejected
F 2.01 n3	Instruction Required When Direct Evidence May Be Rejected Or Prosecution Relies On Circumstantial Evidence
F 2.01 n4	Giving Of Circumstantial Evidence Instructions When Not Warranted By The Evidence As Harmless Error
F 2.01 n5	Applicability Of Circumstantial Evidence Principles To Direct Evidence
F 2.01 n6	Limitation Of Reasonable Doubt/Burden Of Proof Principles To Circumstantial Evidence Improperly Implies That Such Principles Do Not Apply To Direct Evidence
F 2.01a	Circumstantial Evidence: Guilt vs. Innocence
F 2.01b	When Defense Is Based Upon The Defendant Acting Unreasonably
F 2.02 n1	Lesser Standard Argument Rejected
F 2.02 n2	Unconstitutional Inference Argument Rejected
F 2.02 n3	Circumstantial Evidence Instruction Applicable When Circumstantial Evidence Relates To Intent
F 2.02 n4	Difference Between “Specific Intent” And “Mental State”
F 2.02a	Circumstantial Evidence To Prove Intent Or Mental State: Inculpatory Versus Exculpatory Mental States
F 2.03 n1	False Statements: Arbitrary Inference As Denial Of Due Process
F 2.03 n2	False Statements Must Occur After The Charged Crime
F 2.03 n3	Inapplicable To False Statements Which Violate <u>Miranda</u>
F 2.03 n4	False Statements Must Relate To Defendant’s Criminal Liability
F 2.03 n5	CJ 2.03 Inappropriate Where Defendant Later Confesses
F 2.03 n6	Only Applicable To Collateral Facts Or Incredible Statements
F 2.03 n7	Not Applicable Where Defendant’s Statements Are Consistent
F 2.03 n8	False Statement Instruction As Prosecution Pinpoint Instruction
F 2.03 n9	Defense Objection Precludes Instruction Which Benefits Defendant
F 2.03 n10	Applicability Of Falsehood Instruction When Defendant Does Not Contest Identity
F 2.03 n11	False Statements Insufficient To Support Missing Elements
F 2.03 n12	Lack Of Consciousness Of Guilt Required By Due Process

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.03 n13	Constitutionality Of CJ 2.03 Upheld by 9th Circuit
F 2.03 n14	False Statement Instruction Not Permissible Unless Substance Of The Statement Is Presented In Evidence
F 2.03 n15	Name Change As Explanation For Providing False Name To Police
F 2.03 n16	Defendant's Testimony Consistent With Pretrial Statements
F 2.03 n17	Introduction Of Un-Mirandized Statement For Exculpatory Value
F 2.03 n18	Un-Mirandized Statement: Introduction By Prosecution To Impeach
F 2.03 n19	False Statements: Inference Of Guilt
F 2.03 n20	Challenge To CJ 2.03 As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction
F 2.03a	Consciousness Of Guilt: Falsehood – Proof Of Necessary Preliminary Facts
F 2.03b	Falsehood: Inapplicable To Nature Or Degree Of Guilt
F 2.03c	False Statements Must Relate To Charged Crime
F 2.03d	Falsehood -- Application To Third Party
F 2.03e	Falsehood -- By The Police Or Prosecution
F 2.03f	False Statement Must Suggest No True Exculpatory Explanation
F 2.04 n1	Fabrication of Evidence: General Note
F 2.04 n2	Lack Of Consciousness Of Guilt Required By Due Process
F 2.04 n3	Fabrication: Judicial Proceedings Need Not Be Actually In Progress
F 2.04 n4	Fabrication Instruction Inapplicable To The Manufacture Of An Alibi Before Defendant Is Charged
F 2.04 n5	Challenge To CJ 2.04 As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction
F 2.04a	Efforts By Defendant To Fabricate Evidence: Inapplicable To Nature Or Degree Of Guilt
F 2.04b	Fabrication Of Evidence Must Relate To Charged Crime
F 2.04c	Fabrication of Evidence By Third Party
F 2.04d	Fabrication Of Evidence By Police Or Prosecution
F 2.05 n1	Lack Of Consciousness Of Guilt Required By Due Process
F 2.05 n2	Challenge To CJ 2.05 As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction
F 2.05a	Fabrication Of Evidence: Inapplicable To Nature Or Degree Of Guilt
F 2.06 n1	Suppression of Evidence: Improper Pinpoint Instruction
F 2.06 n2	Consideration Of Witness's Fear
F 2.06 n3	Suppressing Evidence: Change of Appearance
F 2.06 n4	Consciousness of Guilt From Defendant's Refusal to Submit to Blood Test A. Fifth Amendment B. Fourth Amendment C. Defendant's Mistaken Reliance On Rights To Negate Consciousness Of Guilt
F 2.06 n5	Suppression of Evidence: Refusal to Participate in Lineup
F 2.06 n6	Suppression of Evidence: When Defendant Doesn't Contest Identity
F 2.06 n7	Lack Of Consciousness Of Guilt Required By Due Process
F 2.06 n8	Instruction Regarding Jury's Consideration Of Evidence Not Timely Disclosed By The Defense
F 2.06 n9	False Statement May Provide Basis For Both Suppression Of Evidence (CJ 2.06) And False Statement (CJ 2.03) Instructions
F 2.06a	Suppression of Evidence: Not Relevant To The Degree Or Nature Of Guilt
F 2.06b	Suppression Of Evidence By The Police Or Prosecution
F 2.06c	Attempted Suppression Of Evidence Or Witness Intimidation By The Police Or Prosecution
F 2.06d	Suppression Of Evidence By Third Party
F 2.06e	Suppression of Evidence Must Relate To Charged Crime
F 2.06f	Efforts By Others Than Defendant To Suppress Evidence
F 2.06g	Suppression Of Evidence Must Relate to Charged Crime
F 2.06h	Suppression Evidence Must Be Willful
F 2.09 n1	Specification Of Limited Evidence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.09 n2	Timing Of Limiting Instruction
F 2.09a	Evidence Limited As to Purpose
F 2.11a	Production of All Evidence Not Required: Modification When The Prosecution Deprives The Defense Of Evidence
F 2.11b	Inference From Fact That Witness Not Called
F 2.11c	Weaker Or Less Satisfactory Evidence
F 2.11d	Production of All Evidence: Availability Of Witness To Prosecution
F 2.11e	Failure Of Prosecution To Have Informant Witness Available
F 2.11.5 n1	Unjoined Perpetrator Instruction Improper When A Non-Prosecuted Participant Testifies
F 2.11.5 n2	Non-Prosecuted Participant: Accomplice Distinguished
F 2.11.5 n3	Modification Where Case Involves Both Prosecuted and Non-Prosecuted Participants
F 2.11.5 n4	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 2.11.5 n5	Unjoined Accomplice Testifying Under Grant Of Immunity Or Plea Bargain
F 2.11.5a	Unjoined Perpetrators: Expanded Instruction
F 2.11.5b	Unjoined Perpetrators: Consideration Of Third Party Guilt
F 2.12 n1	Weighing Transcript Testimony: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct
F 2.12 n2	Unavailability of Witness
F 2.12 n3	Witness Credibility: Inability To Cross-Examine Out-Of-Court Declarant’s Statements
F 2.13 n1	Preliminary Factual Finding As To Timing Of Prior Consistent Statement
F 2.13a	Prior Consistent Or Inconsistent Statements: If Witness No Longer Remembers Events, Then Jury Should Disregard Extrajudicial Statements Regarding Those Events
F 2.13.1 n1	Un-Mirandized Statement: Sua Sponte Limiting Instructions
F 2.13.1 n2	Coerced or Involuntary Statements Not Admissible for Impeachment
F 2.13.1 n3	Un-Mirandized Statements: Admissible For Impeachment
F 2.13.1 n4	Un-Mirandized Statement: Introduction By Prosecution To Impeach
F 2.13.1a	Prior Inconsistent Statement Of Defendant In Violation Of <u>Miranda</u>
F 2.15 n1	Possession Of Property: Improper Pinpoint Instruction
F 2.15 n2	Possession: Agreement To Purchase Not Sufficient
F 2.15 n3	Recent Possession As A Preliminary Fact To Be Proved By The Prosecution
F 2.15 n4	False Explanation Of Possession Of Stolen Property Sufficient To Sustain Finding Of Theft
F 2.15 n5	Federal Constitutional Challenge To CJ a. Inference Of Theft As To Opposed To Robbery b. Possession Of Property: “Slight Corroboration” Requirement Violates Due Process c. Improper Presumption Based On Insufficient Foundational Facts
F 2.15 n6	Possession Of Property: Slight Corroboration Requirement Violates Due Process
F 2.15 n7	Possession: Insufficient To Show Guilt As To Related Non-Larcenous Offense
F 2.15 n8	Conscious Possession: Definition Of Possession Required
F 2.15 n9	Objection By Defendant Precludes Instruction Which Benefits Defendant
F 2.15 n10	Possession Of Recently Stolen Property: “Recently Stolen” As Imprecise And Inconsistent With The Prosecution’s Burden Of Proof
F 2.15 n11	CJ 2.15 Is Only Properly Given In Theft-Related Cases
F 2.15 n12	Challenge To CJ 2.15 As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction
F 2.15a	Possession Of Property: Impermissible To Infer Robbery Rather Than Theft
F 2.15b	Possession Of Recently Stolen Property Must Be “Unexplained”
F 2.15c	Prosecution Must Prove That Property Was Stolen And In Possession Of Defendant
F 2.15d	Lack Of Possession As Evidence To Negate Guilt
F 2.15e	Conscious Possession: Consideration Of Intoxication And/Or Mental Impairment
F 2.15f	Possession Of Stolen Property Not Alone Sufficient To Sustain Finding Of Theft Or Burglary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.16 n1	Dog Tracking Evidence: Alternative Form
F 2.16 n2	Dog Tracking Instruction: Inapplicable To Dog Sniffing
F 2.20 n1	Witness For Honesty Or Truthfulness
F 2.20 n2	Bias Of Police Witness Re: Forfeiture And Possession For Sale
F 2.20 n3	Witness Credibility: Understanding of Questions
F 2.20 n4	Witness Credibility: Pretrial Silence as to Exculpatory Evidence--Foundational Facts
F 2.20 n5	Unavailability of Witness
F 2.20 n6	Witness Bias: Test For Violation Of Sixth Amendment Right To Confrontation
F 2.20 n7	Factors Affecting Credibility Of Witness: Other Deals Besides Immunity
F 2.20 n8	Failure To Request Modification Of CJ 2.20 To Include Consideration Of Immunity May Be Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel
F 2.20 n9	Witness Credibility: Coerced Testimony Of Third Party
F 2.20a	Immunized Witness To Be Viewed With Distrust
F 2.20b	Credibility of Witness: Applicability To Out-Of-Court Statements
F 2.20c	Credibility Of Witness: Use Of Drugs, Alcohol Or Other Mental Impairment Of Witness At The Time Of The Events
F 2.20d	Credibility Of Witness: Consideration Of Reasonableness Of The Testimony
F 2.20e	Interest Of Witness In Outcome Of Proceeding
F 2.20f	Witness Credibility: Inability To Cross-Examine Out-Of-Court Declarant's Statements
F 2.20g	Believability Of Witness: Jury May Believe A Portion Of Witnesses' Testimony
F 2.20h	Confessions And Admissions By Witness/Defendant: Consideration of Coercion, Etc.
F 2.20i	Believability Of Witness: Post-Miranda Pretrial Silence – Evidence Presented Or Prosecutorial Comment Made
F 2.20j	Believability Of Witness: Post-Miranda Pretrial Silence -- No Evidence Presented
F 2.20k	Testimony Of Witness Who Was Under The Influence Of Drugs Or Alcohol When Testifying Should Be Viewed With Greater Caution Than Other Witnesses
F 2.20l	Jury Must Only Consider Testimony Based On The Personal Knowledge Of A Witness
F 2.20m	Witness Credibility: Witness Capacity To Perceive And Recollect As Preliminary Finding Of Fact
F 2.20.1 n1	Child Witness Instruction: Due Process And Equal Protection Challenges
F 2.20.1a	Testimony Of A Child: Cautionary Instruction
F 2.20.1b	Evaluation Of Witness Believability: Applicability To Out-Of-Court Declarant
F 2.21.1a	Evaluation Of Witness Believability: Applicability To Out-Of-Court Declarant
F 2.21.2 n1	Various Due Process And Eighth Amendment Claims Rejected As To CJ
F 2.21.2 n2	Failure To Give "Witness Willfully False" Instruction As Reversible Error
F 2.21.2a	Witness Wilfully False: Definition of "Material Part"
F 2.21.2b	"Probability Of Truth" Confuses Burden As To Prosecution Witness
F 2.22 n1	Weighing Conflicting Testimony: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct
F 2.22 n2	Failure To Give CJ 2.22: Prejudicial Error
F 2.22a	Evaluation Of Witness Believability: Applicability To Out-Of-Court Declarant
F 2.23 n1	Defendant's Testimony: Impeachment By Prior Conviction -- Ineffective Counsel For Failure To Request
F 2.23 n2	Witness Credibility: Jury Consideration Of The Fact Defendant Attended The Trial
F 2.23 n3	CJ 2.23 Must Be Given Sua Sponte
F 2.23 n4	Uncharged Act: Relevance Must Be Specified When Case Also Involves Impeachment With Prior
F 2.23a	Defendant's Testimony: Impeachment By Prior Conviction
F 2.23b	Evaluation Of Witness Believability: Applicability To Out-Of-Court Declarant
F 2.23c	Impeachment Of Defendant By Prior Conviction: Explanatory Instruction Regarding Defendant's Failure To Reveal The Conviction On Direct Examination
F 2.23.1 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.25 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 2.25a	Cautionary Instruction When Witness Exercises Privilege Outside Of The Presence Of The Jury
F 2.25b	When Witness Seeks To Conceal Falsity Of Prior Testimony
F 2.25c	Exercise Of Privilege Against Self-Incrimination: Guilt vs. Innocence
F 2.25d	Exercise Of Privilege: No Presumption As To Any Matter At Issue
F 2.25e	Exercise Of Privilege: Compliance With Legislative Intent
F 2.26 n1	When Witness Refuses To Testify Outside The Presence Of The Jury
F 2.26 n2	Witness Refusal To Testify: Informing The Jury
F 2.26 n3	Privilege Against Self-Incrimination: Applicability To Those Who Claim Innocence
F 2.26a	Exercise Of Privilege Other Than Self-Incrimination: Guilt vs. Innocence
F 2.26b	Exercise Of Privilege: No Presumption As To Any Matter At Issue
F 2.26c	Exercise Of Privilege: Compliance With Legislative Intent
F 2.26.1 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED
F 2.27 n1	Testimony Of Single Witness: When Corroboration Required
F 2.27 n2	Corroboration Of Dog Tracking Evidence
F 2.27 n3	Out-Of-Court Identification Must Be Corroborated
F 2.27 n4	Single Witness: Insufficient To Sustain Perjury Charge
F 2.27 n5	Corroboration: Defendant's Statements May Be Used As To Degree
F 2.27a	Sufficiency Of Single Witness Testimony
F 2.27b	Single Witness: When Corroboration Required
F 2.28 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 2.40 n1	Character Evidence: Right to Expert Testimony
F 2.40 n2	Admission Of Irrelevant Character Evidence Violates Federal Due Process
F 2.40 n3	Character Evidence: Good Character Evidence May Not Be Rebutted With Prior Convictions
F 2.42 n1	Assaultive Character Of Victim
F 2.50 n1	Bifurcation When Other Crimes Evidence Prejudicial
F 2.50 n2	Ineffectiveness Of Limiting Instruction As To Other Crimes Evidence
F 2.50 n3	Other Crimes Instruction Dilutes Prosecution's Burden And CJ 2.01 / CJ 2.02
F 2.50 n4	Admissible Only To Prove Contested Issues
F 2.50 n5	Admission Of Irrelevant Character Evidence Violates Federal Due Process
F 2.50 n6	Error To Instruct That Other Crimes May Be Used To Show Criminal Propensity
F 2.50 n7	No Modification Of Prior Acts Instruction Necessary In Light of <u>Ewoldt</u>
F 2.50 n8	Other Crimes Limiting Instruction: No Sua Sponte Duty
F 2.50 n9	Uncharged Offenses: Specification Of Instructions Applicable To Determination Of Uncharged Offense
F 2.50 n10	Uncharged Offenses: Prior Sexual Offenses As Character Evidence (EC 1108)
F 2.50 n11	Uncharged Offenses: Corpus Delicti Rule Not Applicable
F 2.50 n12	Uncharged Offenses: EC 352 Analysis
F 2.50 n13	Flight During Prior Unrelated Offense Not Admissible To Show Intent Or Motive
F 2.50 n14	Prior Uncharged Offenses: Distinction Between Motive And Common Plan Or Design
F 2.50 n15	Improper To Permit Expert Opinion As To Defendant's Criminal Propensity Or Predisposition
F 2.50 n16	Uncharged Act: Relevance Must Be Specified When Case Also Involves Impeachment With Prior
F 2.50 n17	Uncharged Acts: Relevance To Cause Of Death
F 2.50 n18	Uncharged Acts: Admissibility Of Third Party Guilt Evidence
F 2.50 n19	Reverse 1101(b): Using Uncharged Acts Or Misconduct To Bolster The Defense
F 2.50a	Uncharged Acts: Limited To Special Circumstances
F 2.50b	Uncharged Criminal Acts: Proof Of Preliminary Facts
F 2.50c	Uncharged Offenses: Jury Must Find That Defendant Committed the Prior Offense
F 2.50d	Clarification Of Preponderance Burden As To Consideration Of Other

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Crimes Evidence And Prosecution's Burden To Prove Guilt Beyond A Reasonable Doubt	
F 2.50e	Other-Crimes Evidence As To Associate Of The Defendant	
F 2.50f	Gang Evidence Modification	
F 2.50g	Other Uncharged Crimes: Must Be Limited To Applicable Count	
F 2.50h	Uncharged Crime: Common Plan Theory Evidence Admissible Only To Show Charged Act(s)	
F 2.50i	Uncharged Crime: Common Plan Theory Evidence: Requirement Of Characteristic Design	
F 2.50j	Uncharged Crime: Common Plan Theory Evidence: No Suggestion That Ultimate Fact Is Proven	
F 2.50.01 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"	
F 2.50.01 n2	Evidence Of Other Sexual Offenses (EC 1108/EC 1109): Informing Jury That Prior Offenses Resulted In Acquittal Or Hung Jury	
F 2.50.01 n3	Other Sexual Offenses: Constitutional Challenge Based On Inequity In Precluding Evidence Of Victim's Prior Consensual Sexual Encounters	
F 2.50.01 n4	Other Sexual Offenses: Constitutional Challenge To Proof Of Propensity By Other Acts	
F 2.50.01 n5	Other Sexual Offenses: Constitutional Challenge To Preponderance Of Evidence Standard	
F 2.50.01 n6	Uncharged Crimes To Show Propensity: Analogy To Improper Joinder As Illustrative Of Prejudice	
F 2.50.01 n7	Prior Acts To Show Criminal Disposition: Admissibility Of Prior Acts Of <u>Good</u> Conduct Offered By Defendant	
F 2.50.01 n8	Improper To Permit Expert Opinion As To Defendant's Criminal Propensity Or Predisposition	
F 2.50.01 n9	Instruction On Other Sexual Offense: Need For Clarification From California Supreme Court	
F 2.50.01 n10	Constitutional Challenge To Propensity Evidence Based On Inability Of Jurors To Follow Limiting Instructions	
F 2.50.01 n11	Other Sexual Offenses: Limiting Instruction Must Be Requested	
F 2.50.01 n12	Improper Instruction Regarding Substantive Use Of Prior Offense: Standard Of Prejudice	
F 2.50.01 n13	Improper Propensity Instruction May Be Prejudicial Even If Jury Considered Evidence	
F 2.50.01 n14	Uncharged Acts As Propensity Evidence	
F 2.50.01 n15	Challenge To 1999 CJ Revision	
F 2.50.01 n16	Other Sexual Offenses: Definition Of Sex Offense	
F 2.50.01a	Evidence Of Other Sexual Offenses (EC 1108): Jury Must Find Defendant Guilty Of Charged Offense Beyond A Reasonable Doubt	
F 2.50.01b	Uncharged Sexual Offenses: Defects In 1999 Revision	
F 2.50.01c	Uncharged Act Proven By Preponderance Of Evidence Insufficient To Convict	
F 2.50.02 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"	
F 2.50.02 n2	Evidence Of Other Domestic Violence Offenses (EC 1108/EC 1109): Informing Jury That Prior Offenses Resulted In Acquittal Or Hung Jury	
F 2.50.02 n3	Other Domestic Violence Offenses: Constitutional Challenge To Proof Of Propensity By Other Acts	
F 2.50.02 n4	Other Domestic Violence Offenses: Constitutional Challenge To Preponderance Of Evidence Standard	
F 2.50.02 n5	Uncharged Crimes To Show Propensity: Analogy To Improper Joinder As Illustrative Of Prejudice	
F 2.50.02 n6	Evidence Of Other Domestic Violence Offenses: Admissibility As To Rape Charge	
F 2.50.02 n7	Prior Acts To Show Criminal Disposition: Admissibility Of Prior Acts Of <u>Good</u> Conduct Offered By Defendant	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.50.02 n8	Other Domestic Violence Offenses: Limiting Instruction Must Be Requested
F 2.50.02 n9	Improper Instruction Regarding Substantive Use Of Prior Offense: Standard Of Prejudice
F 2.50.02 n10	Improper Propensity Instruction May Be Prejudicial Even If Jury Considered Evidence
F 2.50.02 n11	Uncharged Acts As Propensity Evidence
F 2.50.02 n12	Challenge To 1999 CJ Revision
F 2.50.02 n13	EC 1109 Does Not Apply Unless The Elements Of The Charged Offense Involve Domestic Violence
F 2.50.02a	Evidence Of Other Domestic Violence Offenses (EC 1109): Jury Must Find Defendant Guilty Of Charged Offense Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 2.50.02b	Uncharged Domestic Violence: Defects In 1999 Revision
F 2.50.02c	Uncharged Act Proven By Preponderance Of Evidence Insufficient To Convict
F 2.50.03a	Evidence Of Other Elder Abuse Offenses (EC 1109): Jury Must Find Defendant Guilty Of Charged Offense Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 2.50.03b	Uncharged Act Proven By Preponderance Of Evidence Insufficient To Convict
F 2.50.1 n1	Other Crimes Evidence: No Juror Unanimity As To Foundational Fact
F 2.50.1 n2	Uncharged Offenses Issues
F 2.50.2 n1	Appellate Alert Issue: Pre-1997 Version
F 2.51 n1	Motive: Distinguished From Intent And Malice
F 2.51 n2	Child Annoyance: Improper To Give CJ 2.51 re: Motive (PC 647.6)
F 2.51a	Motive: Guilt and Innocence
F 2.51b	Motive: Application To Third Party Suspect
F 2.51c	Motive Alone Insufficient To Establish Guilt
F 2.52 n1	Improper Flight Instruction May Violate Federal Constitution
F 2.52 n2	Knowledge of Accusation As Prerequisite To Flight Instruction
F 2.52 n3	Flight Instruction Improper Where No Intent To Avoid Observation Or Arrest
F 2.52 n4	Improper Factual Basis For Flight Should Be Stricken
F 2.52 n5	Applicability Of Flight Instruction To Escape Charge
F 2.52 n6	Prejudicial Impact Of Erroneous Instruction On Flight
F 2.52 n7	Defendant Should Be Able To Waive Giving Of Flight Instruction Since Its Purpose Is To Protect The Defendant
F 2.52 n8	Flight: Instruction As Impermissible Inference
F 2.52 n9	Challenge To Other Consciousness Of Guilt And Other Permissive Inference Instructions As Duplicative Of Circumstantial Evidence Instruction
F 2.52a	Flight: Required Preliminary Facts
F 2.52b	Flight: Limitation When Not Applicable To All Charged Offenses
F 2.52c	Flight: Inapplicable To Nature Or Degree Of Guilt
F 2.52d	Flight: Limitation To Applicable Co-Defendant
F 2.52e	Significance of Flight For Jury to Determine
F 2.52f	Flight Must Relate to Charged Crime
F 2.52g	Flight After Crime: Guilt vs. Innocence
F 2.52h	Absence Of Flight
F 2.52i	Subsequent Flight: Knowledge Of Accusation Required By Due Process
F 2.52j	Inapplicability Of Flight Instruction To Crimes Where Flight Is An Element
F 2.52k	The Foundational Facts For Flight Should Be Proven Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 2.60 n1	Failure Of Defendant To Testify: No Sua Sponte Duty; Waiver For Strategic Purposes
F 2.60 n2	Failure Of Defendant To Testify: Whether Omission Of Instruction Can Be Harmless Error
F 2.60 n3	Failure Of Defendant To Testify: 5th Amendment Privilege Against Self-Incrimination Not Available As To Prosecution By A Foreign Nation
F 2.60 n4	Consideration Of Defendant's Medication, Culture, Etc. In Evaluating Demeanor At Trial

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.60 n5	Self-Representation On Appeal
F 2.60 n6	Self-Representation: Self-Representation At Trial Does Not Preclude Representation By Counsel At Motion For New Trial
F 2.60 n7	Whether Instructions Against Drawing Inference From Defendant's Failure To Testify Should Be Given When Defendants Disagree
F 2.60 n8	Self-Representation: Waiver Must Be Obtained At Time Defendant Is Bound Over For Trial In Superior Court
F 2.60 n9	Prosecutorial Misconduct: Improper Comment On Willingness Of Witness To Testify As Opposed To Defendant
F 2.60 n10	Self-Representation: Right To Advisory Counsel In Non-Capital Cases
F 2.60 n11	Self Representation On Appeal: Denial Of Access To Law Library Not Sufficient Basis For Denial
F 2.60 n12	Self-Representation: Advisal Of Right Against Self-Incrimination
F 2.60 n13	Self-Representation: Failure To Allow Defendant To Proceed Pro Per As Reversible Error
F 2.60 n14	Self-Representation: Mental Competency Of Defendant
F 2.60 n15	Self-Representation: Waiver Must Be Knowing And Voluntary
F 2.60a	No Adverse Inference From Self-Representation By The Defendant
F 2.60b	Jurors To Disregard Non-Testifying Defendant's Courtroom Appearance, Conduct And Demeanor
F 2.60c	Jury May Not Consider Non-Testifying Defendant's Courtroom Appearance, Conduct Or Demeanor To Find Lack Of Remorse In Capital Trial
F 2.60d	Jury May Only Consider Testifying Defendant's Demeanor, Conduct Or Appearance While He/She Is Testifying And Only As To Matters At Issue
F 2.61 n1	Failure Of Defendant To Testify: Waiver Of Instruction For Strategic Purposes
F 2.61 n2	Failure Of Defendant To Testify: Whether Omission Of Instruction Can Be Harmless Error
F 2.61 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 2.61a	Defendant May Rely On State Of Evidence
F 2.62 n1	Failure To Explain Or Deny: Implausible Explanation
F 2.62 n2	Contradiction Is Not Failure To Explain
F 2.62 n3	Failure To Deny Or Explain Applies To "Important" Evidence
F 2.62 n4	Failure To Explain Or Deny: CJ 2.62 Is Constitutional
F 2.62 n5	Defendant Testifying: Privilege Against Self-Incrimination Is Not Waived As To Unrelated Pending Criminal Charges
F 2.62a	Failure To Deny Or Explain: Applicability To All Witnesses
F 2.62b	Failure To Deny Or Explain: Limitation To Testifying Defendant
F 2.70 n1	No Cautionary Instruction If The Precise Nature Of Defendant's Statement Is Undisputed
F 2.70 n2	Admissions/Confessions: Informing Jury As To Reasons For Caution
F 2.70 n3	Applicability To Admissions Not Offered For The Truth Of The Matter Asserted
F 2.70 n4	Definition Of Confession And Admission Should Be Deleted
F 2.70 n5	Prejudicial Effect Of Failure To Caution About Admissions/Confessions
F 2.70 n6	When Some Statements Are Recorded Or Written And Others Are Not
F 2.70 n7	Cautionary Instruction Applies To Statements Made Before, During, Or After The Crime
F 2.70 n8	Defense Objection To Preclude Cautionary Instruction As To Admissions/Confession
F 2.70 n9	Confession Of Co-Defendant: Inability Of Instruction To Limit Or Cure Prejudice
F 2.70 n10	Confessions And Admissions: Expert Testimony Regarding Defendant's Language/Communication Difficulties To Explain Discrepancies Between Statements To Police And Defendant's Version
F 2.70 n11	Exclusion Of Inculpatory Statement By Third Party As Hearsay: Due Process Violation
F 2.70a	Confessions And Admissions: When Exculpatory Statements Included
F 2.70b	Admissions Or Confessions May Be Disregarded

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.70c	Confessions And Admissions: Factors To Consider
F 2.70d	Confessions And Admissions: Consideration of Coercion, Etc.
F 2.70e	Jury Need Not Give All Parts Of Defendant's Statement Equal Weight
F 2.70f	Confession Or Admission To One Charge, But Not Another
F 2.70g	Inculpatory Statement Of One Defendant In Multi-Defendant Trial
F 2.71 n1	No Cautionary Instruction If The Precise Nature Of The Defendant's Statement Is Undisputed
F 2.71 n2	Admissions/Confessions: Informing Jury As To Reasons For Caution
F 2.71 n3	Applicability To Admissions Not Offered For The Truth Of The Matter Asserted
F 2.71 n4	Definition Of Confession And Admission Should Be Deleted
F 2.71 n5	Prejudice Effect Of Failure To Caution About Admissions/Confessions
F 2.71 n6	When Some Statements Are Recorded Or Written And Others Are Not
F 2.71 n7	Cautionary Instruction Applies To Statements Made Before, During, Or After The Crime
F 2.71 n8	Defense Objection To Preclude Cautionary Instruction As To Admissions/Confession
F 2.71a	Confessions And Admissions: When Exculpatory Statements Included
F 2.71b	Admissions Or Confessions May Be Disregarded
F 2.71c	Confessions And Admissions: Factors To Consider
F 2.71d	Confessions And Admissions: Considerations of Coercion, Etc.
F 2.71e	Jury Need Not Give All Parts Of Defendant's Statement Equal Weight
F 2.71f	When Defendant's Statement Is A Confession Or Admission To One Charge, But Not Another
F 2.71g	Confession Or Admission of One Defendant In Multi-Defendant Trial
F 2.71.5 n1	Adoptive Admission: Distinction Between Pre-Arrest And Post-Arrest Silence
F 2.71.5 n2	Adoptive Admissions: <u>Doyle</u> Error Predicated On Inference Or Innuendo
F 2.71.5 n3	Comment On Defendant's Post-Arrest, Pre- <u>Miranda</u> Silence As <u>Doyle</u> Error
F 2.71.5 n4	Comment On Defendant's Post-Arrest Demeanor As <u>Doyle</u> Error
F 2.71.5a	Adoptive Admission: Circumstances Must Have Warranted A Response
F 2.71.5b	Inference From Pretrial Silence: Preliminary Fact Determination
F 2.71.7 n1	Pre-Offense Statement By Defendant: Sua Sponte Requirement
F 2.72 n1	Proof Of Corpus Delicti: Prior Offenses
F 2.72 n2	Corpus Delicti: Error To Refuse CJ
F 2.72 n3	Failure To Require Corroborating Evidence Of Corpus Reversible Error
F 2.72 n4	Applicability of Corpus Rule to Felony Which Underlies a Felony Murder Charge
F 2.72 n5	Corpus Delicti: Impact Of Proposition 8
F 2.72 n6	Corpus Rule Not Applicable To Administrative Proceeding
F 2.72 n7	Corpus Rule Not Applicable To Statements Which Are Part Of The Crime
F 2.72 n8	Corpus Instruction: Inapplicable To Sentencing Enhancements
F 2.72a	Corpus Delicti: Modification To Avoid Confusion Regarding Whether Identity And Degree Of Crime Are Elements Which Must Be Proven By The Prosecution
F 2.80 n1	Duty To Instruct Even If Witness Not Formally Designated An Expert
F 2.80 n2	Sua Sponte Duty To Limit Child Abuse Expert Testimony
F 2.80 n3	Expert Witnesses: Expert Qualification
F 2.80 n4	Expert Testimony: When Permissible
F 2.80 n5	Expert Witnesses: Bias Of Police Witness Re: Forfeiture
F 2.80 n6	Limitations On Expert Testimony Regarding Gangs: Admissibility Of Gang Expert Evidence
F 2.80 n7	Expert Testimony As To Combined Effects Of Alcohol And Cocaine
F 2.80 n8	Expert Witnesses: DNA Issues And Instructions
A.	Admissibility Of DNA Evidence
B.	Challenging DNA Evidence
C.	Post-Conviction Testing
D.	DNA Jury Instructions And Motions
E.	DNA Research Notes

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.80 n9	Expert Witnesses: Impact Of <u>Daubert</u>
F 2.80 n10	Failure To Give Expert Instruction Held Harmless
F 2.80 n11	Opinion Testimony: Inadmissible as to Definition of the Crime or Defendant's Guilt or Innocence
F 2.80 n12	"Drug Involvement Profile" Evidence Inadmissible As Substantive Proof Of Guilt
F 2.80 n13	Expert Witnesses: <u>Kelly-Frye</u> Analysis Inapplicable To Expert Psychiatric Or Psychological Testimony
F 2.80 n14	Expert Testimony: Improper Prosecutorial Comment On Failure Of Expert To Testify Regarding Whether Defendant Did Or Did Not Have Required Mental State As Misconduct
F 2.80 n15	Whether <u>Kelly</u> Rule Of Admissibility Is Applicable To Psychiatric And Psychological Testimony
F 2.80 n16	Expert Witness: Work Product Privilege -- Improper To Comment On Defendant's Failure To Call Expert
F 2.80 n17	Challenging Admission Of Recovered Memory (Repressed Memory Syndrome)
F 2.80 n18	Confessions And Admissions: Expert Testimony Regarding Defendant's Language/Communication Difficulties To Explain Discrepancies Between Statements To Police And Defendant's Version
F 2.80 n19	Expert Testimony: Improper Profile Evidence
F 2.80 n20	Improper To Characterize Prosecution Witness As Fingerprint "Expert"
F 2.80a	Court-Appointed Expert Should Be Treated The Same As Any Other
F 2.80b	Testimony of Expert Witness
F 2.80c	Expert Witness: Consideration Of The Foundation Of The Opinion
F 2.80d	Deletion Of The Term "Expert" From Expert Witness Instruction
F 2.82 n1	Hypothetical Questions: Improperly Placing Burden On Defendant To Prove Hypotheticals
F 2.83a	Expert Witness: Weighing One Expert Against Another
F 2.90 n1	Definition Of "Beyond Reasonable Doubt"
F 2.90 n2	Due Process Challenge To CJ 2.90 Rejected; CJ 2.90 Revised; PC 1096 Amended
F 2.90 n3	Erroneous Reasonable Doubt Instruction As Reversible Per Se
F 2.90 n4	Alternative Definition Of Reasonable Doubt
F 2.90 n5	Due Process/Ex Post Facto Challenge To CJ 2.90 (1994 Revision)
F 2.90 n6	Arbitrary Denial Of State Created Right As Due Process Violation
F 2.90 n7	Error To Characterize Reasonable Doubt As "Doubt For Which Some Good Reason Can Be Given"
F 2.90 n8	Improper To Relate Reasonable Doubt To "Every Day" Decisions
F 2.90 n9	Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt: Instruction That Absolute Certainty Is Not Required
F 2.90 n10	No Duty To Instruct Sua Sponte On Presumption Of Innocence Or Prosecutorial Burden At Penalty Phase
F 2.90 n11	Error To Instruct Jury That Defendant Is Presumed Not Guilty
F 2.90 n12	Reversible Error For Failure To Define Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 2.90 n13	Counsel Has Duty To Challenge CJ 2.90 Notwithstanding View Of Intermediate California Appellate Court That The Issue Is Frivolous
F 2.90 n14	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 2.90 n15	Pretrial Instructions During Voir Dire: Impact On Jury Instruction Errors At Trial
F 2.90 n16	Equal Protection Challenge To CJ 2.90 Based On <u>Bush v. Gore</u>
F 2.90a	Burden Of Proof: Definition Of Burden
F 2.90b	Rejection or Disbelief Does Not Satisfy Prosecution's Burden
F 2.90c	Prosecution Bound by Defendant's Statement Unless Contrary Evidence Presented
F 2.90d	Duty To Presume Defendant Innocent: No Necessity For Defendant To Produce Evidence
F 2.90e	"Abiding Conviction," Must Be Defined To Avoid Confusion With

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	The Clear And Convincing Evidence Standard
F 2.90f	Definition Of “Abiding” As Lasting And Permanent
F 2.90g	Abiding Conviction Requires More Than “Strong And Convincing Belief”
F 2.90h	Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt Requires That Jurors Be More Than “Firmly Convinced” Of The Defendant’s Guilt
F 2.90i	Jury Must Find All Required Elements Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 2.90j	Clarification of the Burden of Proof When One Defendant Points the Finger at Another
F 2.90k	Constitutional Challenge To CJ 2.90: Defendant Presumed Innocent “Unless” Contrary Is Proven
F 2.91 n1	Burden Of Proving Identity: No Sua Sponte Duty
F 2.91 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 2.91a	Uncorroborated Eye Witness
F 2.91b	Eyewitness Identification: When Defendant Present At Scene
F 2.92 n1	Eyewitness Factors: Suggestive ID Procedure
F 2.92 n2	Eyewitness Factors: “Weapon Focus Effect”
F 2.92 n3	Eyewitness Factors: Due Process Challenge Rejected
F 2.92 n4	Eyewitness Factors: Age Differential
F 2.92 n5	Eyewitness Factors Must Be Requested
F 2.92 n6	Eyewitness Factors: Dog Tracking
F 2.92 n7	Corpus Instruction: Inapplicable To Sentencing Enhancements
F 2.92 n8	Eyewitness Factors To Consider: Voice Identification
F 2.92 n9	Eyewitness Testimony Subject To <u>Daubert</u> Rule
F 2.92 n10	Applicability Of Eyewitness Instruction When Defendant Present At Crime Scene
F 2.92 n11	Eye Witness Instruction Should Not Explain Factors
F 2.92 n12	Resource For Eyewitness Testimony Material
F 2.92 n13	Does Specific Instruction On Identification Increase The Rate Of Conviction?
F 2.92 n14	Eyewitness Factors: Reference To Psychological Studies During Argument
F 2.92 n15	Department Of Justice Guidelines On Eyewitness Identification Procedures
F 2.92 n16	Failure To Request Expanded Eyewitness Instructions As Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel
F 2.92 n17	Juror Misconceptions Regarding Cross-Racial Identification
F 2.92a	Eyewitness Failing To Attend Pretrial Lineup
F 2.92b	Factors Not Specified in CALJIC 2.92
F 2.92c	Identification: Dog Tracking
F 2.92d	Factors to Consider In Proving Identity By Voice Identification
F 2.92e	Identification: Alternative Form
F 2.92f	Eyewitness Factors: Prior Failure To Identify Defendant
F 2.92g	Eyewitness Identification Must Be Viewed With Caution
F 2.92h	Eyewitness Identification: How Jury Should Consider The Factors
F 2.92i	Eyewitness Identification: Consideration Of Whether The Witness Changed His Or Her Mind About The Identification

Non-CALJIC Evidentiary

F 2.001 n1	Evidence Dependent Upon Proof Of A Preliminary Fact: General Note
F 2.001a	Defendant’s Right To An Instruction That The Jury Is Entitled To Disregard Certain Evidence When A Sufficient Foundation Has Not Been Shown
F 2.002a	Sample Cautionary Instruction
F 2.003a	Cautionary Instruction: Eyewitness Failing To Attend Pretrial Lineup
F 2.004 n1	Checklist Of Procedures Relating To Jury View
F 2.004 n2	Factors In Determining Whether To Allow Jury View
F 2.004 n3	Presence Of Judge At Jury View
F 2.004 n4	Ex Parte View Of Crime Scene By Trial Judge
F 2.004 n5	Presence Of Accused At Jury View

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.004 n6	Presence Of Counsel At Jury View
F 2.004 n7	Comments By Counsel During Jury View
F 2.004 n8	Importance Of Jury View In Identification Case
F 2.004 n9	Jury View: Record Of Proceedings
F 2.004 n10	Jury View: Research Notes
F 2.004 n11	Motion For Jury View
F 2.004a	Cautionary Instruction: Authorized View Of The Scene By The Jury
F 2.004b	Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Jury Should Be Instructed As To Whether Or Not The View Of The Scene Is Evidence
F 2.004c	Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Jury View -- Unavoidable View Of Scene
F 2.004d	Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Jury View -- Cautionary Instruction Regarding Changed Conditions
F 2.004e	Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Jury Should Be Admonished Against Talking During Jury View
F 2.004f	Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Jury To Remain Together And With The Judge Or Court Officer During Jury View
F 2.005a	Cautionary Instruction: Dismissed Defendant
F 2.006a	Cautionary Instruction: Dismissed Counts
F 2.007a	Cautionary Instruction: Relationship Of Exhibits To Testimony
F 2.008a	Cautionary Instruction: Courtroom Security
F 2.009a	Cautionary Instruction: Defendant's Absence
F 2.010a	Witness Afraid To Testify: Limiting Instruction
F 2.011a	Jurors To Disregard Defendant's Courtroom Behavior
F 2.012a	Child Abuse Accommodation/Delayed Reporting Syndrome Expert: Limiting Instruction
F 2.013a	When Ex-Felon Status Is Proven By Specific Evidence
F 2.014 n1	When Prosecution Loses Or Destroys Evidence: Bad Faith Destruction Of Known Exculpatory Evidence
F 2.014 n2	When Prosecution Loses Or Destroys Evidence: Trial Court's Discretion To Instruct When Due Process Showing Is Insufficient
F 2.014 n3	Propriety Of Instructions When Prosecution Loses Or Destroys Evidence: Jury Instruction As Sanction For Prosecutorial Loss Or Destruction Of Evidence
F 2.014a	Destruction Or Failure To Preserve Evidence
F 2.015a	Discharge of Defense Counsel During Trial
F 2.016 n1	When Prosecution Asserts Privilege Of Non-Disclosure: Admonition Required (EC 1040)
F 2.017a	Hypnosis Testimony
F 2.018a	Defendant's Previous Trial: Jury Not To Consider
F 2.019 n1	Examples Of Prosecutorial Misconduct (1) Delivering Soliloquy In Victim's Voice (2) Invoking Religious Authority (3) Asking Jury To Make Inferences Prosecution Knew Were False
F 2.019a	Admonition To Counteract Prosecutor Misconduct
F 2.020a	Instruction Concerning Character Of Evidence: "Negative" Testimony
F 2.021a	Cautionary Instruction: Use Of Interpreter By Defendant
F 2.022a	Cautionary Instruction: Exhibits
F 2.023a	This instruction has been renumbered. See FORECITE F 17.30b
F 2.024a	Jury To Be Guided By Official English Translation/Interpretation
F 2.025 n1	Evaluation Of Fingerprint Evidence
F 2.025 n2	Defendant Permitted To Comment On Lack Of Fingerprint Evidence
F 2.025 n3	Improper To Characterize Prosecution Witness As Fingerprint "Expert"
F 2.025 n4	Challenge To Admission Of Fingerprint Evidence
F 2.025a	Evaluation Of Fingerprint Evidence
F 2.025b	Fingerprint Evidence: Expert Testimony Not Binding
F 2.026a	Cautionary Instruction: When Defendant Testifies In Narrative Fashion

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 2.026b Non-CALJIC Cautionary Instructions: Cautionary Instruction Regarding
Defendant's Misconduct In Court

CALJIC Part 3-4

F 3.00 n1	After Acquired Intent To Aid And Abet Robbery (PC 31)
F 3.00 n2	Aiding/Abetting Unavailability Of Procuring Agent Defense
F 3.00 n3	No Murder Liability for Aider And Abettor Acting In Heat Of Passion
F 3.00 n4	Aiding And Abetting: No Affirmative Duty To Act
F 3.00 n5	Lesser Offense Liability For Aider And Abettor
F 3.00 n6	Aiding and Abetting Includes A Specific Intent Mens Rea
F 3.00 n7	Special Circumstance For Aiders/Abettors
F 3.00 n8	Aider And Abettor Liability When Perpetrator Has Been Acquitted
F 3.00 n9	Aider And Abettor Liability For Person Incapable Of Committing the Substantive Crime As A Perpetrator
F 3.00 n10	Minor Not Criminally Liable As Aider And Abettor To Statutory Rape
F 3.00 n11	Buyer-Seller Transaction Insufficient To Establish Aiding And Abetting
F 3.00 n12	Minor Not Criminally Liable As Aider And Abettor To Incest
F 3.00a	Aiding and abetting: Application of Elements to Defendant
F 3.00b	Aiding And Abetting: "Equally Guilty" Language Improper When Accomplice May Be Convicted Of Lesser Offense Than Perpetrator
F 3.00c	Buyer-Seller Transaction Insufficient To Establish Aiding And Abetting
F 3.00d	Buyer-Seller Relationship: On Factors To Consider
F 3.00e	Aiding And Abetting: Requirement That Act, Knowledge And Intent Elements Occurred Prior To Or During The Commission Of The Offense (PC 31)
F 3.01 n1	Aider and Abettor: Constructive Presence
F 3.01 n2	Gang Membership Insufficient To Prove Aider and Abettor Liability
F 3.01 n3	Applicability Of Aiding And Abetting When Single Element Is Committed By Another
F 3.01 n4	CJ 3.01 Must Be Given With CJ 3.00
F 3.01 n5	Jurisdiction Of California For In-State Aiding And Abetting Of Out-Of-State Offense
F 3.01a	Defendant Must Advise <u>And</u> Encourage To Be An Aider And Abettor
F 3.01a.1	Aiding And Abetting Defined And Distinguished (PC 31)
F 3.01b	For Aider/Abettor To Be Guilty Of Murder Perpetrator Must Harbor Express Malice (PC 31)
F 3.01c	Post-Crime Assistance Is Not Aiding and Abetting (PC 31)
F 3.01d	Post-Crime Assistance Is Not Aiding and Abetting (PC 31)
F 3.01e	Perpetrator Must Be Found Guilty Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 3.01f	No Legal Duty To Report Crime
F 3.01g	Aiding And Abetting Defined And Distinguished (PC 31)
F 3.01h	"Standing By" During The Offense Is Not Aiding and Abetting
F 3.01i	Mere Presence Which Assists Commission Of The Crime Is Not Aiding And Abetting
F 3.01j	Aiding And Abetting: Clarification Of Actus Reus and Mens Rea
F 3.01k	Aiding And Abetting: "Feigned Accomplice" Defense
F 3.01l	Jury Required To Find More Than Mere Presence
F 3.01m	Aiding And Abetting: "Feigned Perpetrator" Defense
F 3.01n	Aider And Abettor Must Separately Form Intent Or Mental State Elements Of Charged Offense
F 3.01o	Aider And Abettor: Self-Defense Or Defense Of Others
F 3.01p	Applicability Of Imperfect Self-Defense And/Or Heat Of Passion To Person Charged With Aiding And Abetting Murder
F 3.01q	Aiding And Abetting Requires Substantial Participation
F 3.01r	For Aider/Abettor To Be Guilty Of First Degree Murder, Perpetrator Must Harbor Express Malice and Premeditation and Deliberation
F 3.01s	Aiding And Abetting: Mere Presence At The Scene And Intimate Knowledge Of The Crime Are Not Sufficient To Convict

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 3.02 n1	No Murder Liability For Aiding And Abetting Misdemeanor
F 3.02 n2	Natural and Probable Consequences: Objective Standard
F 3.02 n3	Instruction On Uncharged Elements Of Target Offense
F 3.02 n4	Requirement That Jury Find Target Offense To Have Been Committed
F 3.02 n5	Jury Must Unanimously Agree Upon The Acts Constituting The Target Offense
F 3.02 n6	Homicide Not A Natural And Probable Consequence Of A Gang Attack
F 3.02 n7	Homicide Not A Natural And Probable Consequence Of Robbery Or Burglary
F 3.02 n8	No Aider And Abettor Liability When Defendant Is Perpetrator Of “Target” Offense
F 3.02 n9	Aider And Abettor Liability: Guilt As To Target Crime
F 3.02 n10	Aider And Abettor’s Liability For Consequences: Application Of Merger (Ireland) Doctrine
F 3.02 n11	Constitutional Challenge To Natural And Probable Consequences Rule A. Negligence Standard Violates Fundamental Common Law Principles B. Objective Standard Permits Liability Without Any Actual Awareness Or Intent C. Mental State Is Improperly Presumed
F 3.02 n12	Killing Of Third Party Not A Natural And Probable Consequence Of Plan To Kill Another Person
F 3.02 n13	Foreseeability Rule Inapplicable to Felony Murder
F 3.02 n14	Aiding And Abetting Liability Where The Target Offense Consists Of The Same Act As The Actual Offense
F 3.02 n15	Aiding And Abetting: Consideration Of All Circumstances
F 3.02 n16	Natural and Probable Consequences Sua Sponte Instruction on Target Offense
F 3.02 n17	Natural and Probable Consequence: Requirement Of “Close Connection” Between Target Crime And Charged Crime
F 3.02 n18	Relevance Of Intoxication To Natural And Probable Consequences
F 3.02 n19	Natural And Probable Consequences Must Not Be Based On Target Offense In Abstract
F 3.02 n20	Assault With Force Likely To Produce Great Bodily Injury Is Not A Natural And Probable Consequence Of Simple Battery
F 3.02a	Natural And Probable Consequences: Objective Standard (PC 31)
F 3.02b	No Aider And Abettor Liability When Perpetrator Acquitted (PC 31)
F 3.02c	Definition Of Natural And Probable Consequence
F 3.02d	Natural And Probable Consequences: Permits Consideration Only Of Circumstances Known To The Aider And Abetter
F 3.02e	When Is First Degree Murder A Natural And Probable Consequence Of The Target Offense
F 3.02f	Vicarious Liability of Perpetrator for Acts Committed by Another Person
F 3.02g	Lesser Offense Liability For Aider And Abettor
F 3.02h	Natural And Probable Consequences Limited To Offenses Committed During The Commission Of The Target Offense
F 3.02i	Natural And Probable Consequences: Improper To Define “Natural” As “In Accordance With Human Nature, Not Abnormal,” And “Probable” As “Not Unlikely”
F 3.03 n1	Termination Of Aider And Abettor Liability: Duty Of Defendant To Prevent Commission Of The Crime
F 3.03 n2	Termination Of Aider And Abettor Liability: Abortion Of The Agreement Terminates Liability
F 3.03a	Termination Of Liability For Aider And Abettor: No Duty To Act Unreasonably In Preventing Commission Of The Crime
F 3.03b	Termination Of Liability For Aider And Abetter: Defendant Need Only Raise A Reasonable Doubt
F 3.10 n1	Must Accomplice Directly Commit The Crime?
F 3.10 n2	Failure To Instruct On Accomplice Corroboration: Standard Of Prejudice
F 3.10 n3	Accomplice Corroboration: Inapplicable To Accomplice Testimony In Probation Revocation Proceedings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 3.10a	Jury Not To Consider Guilty Plea Of Accomplice
F 3.10b	Accomplice Is Person Subject To Prosecution At The Time The Acts Were Committed
F 3.11 n1	Accomplice Corroboration: Out-Of-Court Statements
F 3.11 n2	Accomplice Corroboration: Must Satisfy Jury That Accomplice Is Telling The Truth
F 3.11 n3	Accomplice Corroboration: Limited To “Incriminating” Evidence
F 3.11 n4	Failure To Give Accomplice Instructions Held Harmless Error
F 3.11 n5	Failure To Require Accomplice Corroboration: Reversible Error
F 3.11 n6	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 3.11a	Accomplice Corroboration: Applicability To Statements And Testimony
F 3.11b	Non-Accomplice Out-Of-Court Statements Must Be Corroborated
F 3.11c	Accomplice Testimony: No Reference To The Term “Accomplice”
F 3.11.1 n1	Corroboration Rules Apply To Any Unconfirmed Extrajudicial Statement
F 3.12a	Accomplice Corroboration: Applicability To Statements And Testimony
F 3.12b	Sufficiency Of Evidence To Corroborate: No Reference To The Term “Accomplice”
F 3.13a	Accomplice Corroboration: Applicability To Statements And Testimony
F 3.13b	Accomplice Corroboration: No Reference To The Term “Accomplice”
F 3.16 n1	Accomplice As Matter Of Law: Improper Implication Of Conspiracy
F 3.16 n2	Accomplice As A Matter Of Law: Test For Determining
F 3.16 n3	Accomplice As A Matter Of Law: Directed Verdict That Defendant Is Perpetrator
F 3.16 n4	Accomplice As A Matter Of Law: CJ 3.16 Must Be Given
F 3.16a	Accomplice Corroboration: Applicability To Statements And Testimony
F 3.16b	No Reference To The Term “Accomplice”
F 3.18 n1	Testimony Of Co-Defendant Accomplice To Be Viewed With Caution
F 3.18 n2	Accomplice Corroboration: Limited To “Incriminating” Evidence
F 3.18 n3	Whether Failure To Give Accomplice Instruction Constitutes Structural Error Under The Federal Constitution
F 3.18 n4	Accomplice Corroboration: Objection At Trial Required
F 3.18 n5	Informing Jurors As To Why Accomplice Testimony Should Be Viewed With Caution
F 3.18 n6	Due Process Underpinnings Of Accomplice Cautionary Instruction
F 3.18a	Testimony Of Accomplice Cautionary Instruction: Applies To Incriminating Evidence Only
F 3.18b	Jury Must Treat Accomplice Testimony With Greater Care and Caution Than Ordinary Witness
F 3.18c	Accomplice Corroboration: No Reference To The Term “Accomplice”
F 3.19 n1	Burden Of Proving Corroborating Witness Is An Accomplice: Constitutional Challenge
F 3.19a	Burden Of Proving Corroborating Witness Is An Accomplice: Proof Of Accomplice As To One Crime Is Sufficient
F 3.19b	Burden To Prove Corroborating Witness As An Accomplice: Jury May Rely On Prosecution Evidence
F 3.20 n1	Meaning Of “In-Custody” Informant (PC 1127a)
F 3.20 n2	In-Custody Informant: Actual Imprisonment Not Required (PC 1127a)
F 3.20 n3	In-Custody Informant: Modification Of CJ 17.42 (PC 1127a)
F 3.20 n4	In-Custody Informant: Payments Limited To \$50 (PC 1127a)
F 3.20 n5	Informant Not In Custody (PC 1127a)
F 3.20 n6	Juvenile Informants: Limitation On Use
F 3.20 n7	Inquiry Into Nature Of Informant’s Sentence
F 3.20a	Cautionary Instruction: Benefits Conferred Upon Informer/ Testimony Of Addict Informer
F 3.20b	Cautionary Instruction: Testimony Of Paid Informer
F 3.20c	Cautionary Instruction: Information Received From Sources Who Are The Focus Of Charges Or Investigation
F 3.26a	Corporation Officers And Agents
F 3.30 n1	Concurrence Of Act And General Intent
F 3.30 n2	Does Due Process Require Consideration Of Intoxication And/Or Mental

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Impairment In Determining All Mental Elements Of The Charge?
F 3.30 n3	Concurrence Of Act and Intent: Specific Intent And Murder
F 3.30 n4	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 3.30 n5	Conflict Between CJ 3.30 And Knowledge Requirement For Controlled Substance Offenses
F 3.30a	General Intent: Knowledge Element
F 3.30b	Felony Murder: Specific Intent To Commit General Intent Offense
F 3.31 n1	Delineation Of Specific Intent Must Be Requested (PC 20)
F 3.31 n2	Concurrence Of Act And Intent Requirement Of Causal Connection
F 3.31 n3	Act-Intent/Mental State Concurrence: Standard Of Prejudice
F 3.31a	Felony Murder: Specific Intent To Commit General Intent Offense
F 3.31.5 n1	Concurrence Of Act And Mental State Is An Element Of The Charge
F 3.31.5 n2	Mental State -- Intoxication To Negate Malice
F 3.31.5 n3	Act-Intent/Mental State Concurrence: Standard Of Prejudice
F 3.32 n1	Mental Disease Or Defect: Effect Of Physical Trauma
F 3.32 n2	Victimization: As Mental State Defense
F 3.32 n3	Mental Disease Or Defect: Post Partum Psychosis
F 3.32 n4	Mental Disease Or Defect To Negate Malice
F 3.32 n5	Mental Disease Or Defect: Confusional Arousal Syndrome
F 3.32 n6	Expert Testimony Regarding Defendant's Formation Of Mental State
F 3.32 n7	Mental Disease Or Defect To Negate Knowledge
F 3.32 n8	Defense Theory: PTSD
F 3.32 n9	Mental Disease Or Defect: Presumption Of Sanity Violates Due Process
F 3.32 n10	Mental Disease Or Defect: Necessity Of Medical Diagnosis
F 3.32a	Evidence Of Mental Disease: Should Not Be Phrased As A Limitation (PC 28)
F 3.32b	Mental Disease Or Defect: When Combined With Intoxication (PC 28)
F 3.32c	Mental Disease To Negate Mental State Elements (PC 28)
F 3.36a	Criminal Or Gross Negligence: Defined (PC 26(5))
F 3.36b	Adaption Of CJ 3.36 (Criminal Negligence) For Use In Battery Prosecution
F 3.40 n1	Proximate Cause: "Substantial Factor" Test
F 3.40 n2	The Term "Proximate" Is Unduly Confusing
F 3.40 n3	Pre-1992 Causation Instructions Created Improper Mandatory Presumption
F 3.40 n4	Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct On Causation
F 3.40 n5	Causation: Specific Reference To Foreseeability Not Necessary
F 3.40 n6	Subsequent Medical Treatment As Intervening Cause
F 3.40 n7	Negligence Of Third Party Is Not Intervening Cause
F 3.40a	Causation: Objective Reasonableness Element
F 3.40b	Causation/Natural and Probable Consequences: Permits Consideration Only Of Circumstances Known To The Defendant
F 3.41 n1	Juror Confusion Over The Meaning Of "Substantial"
F 3.41 n2	Superseding Cause: Prejudicial Effect Of Omission
F 3.41 n3	Intervening Cause: Need Not Be Committed By Third Party
F 3.41a	Causation: Intervening Cause
F 3.41b	Intervening Cause: Negligence Of Third Party
F 4.00 n1	Insanity: Involuntary Drugging At Trial Violates Right To Present A Defense (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.00 n2	Legal Definition Of Insanity Not Impermissibly Vague (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.00 n3	Outline Of Defenses To Assault Crimes
F 4.00 n4	Insanity Instruction Regarding Demeanor Altering Medication
F 4.00 n5	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 4.00 n6	Insanity Defense: Availability To Juveniles
F 4.00 n7	Insanity: Improper To Dismiss Or Direct Verdict Against Defendant Without Trial
F 4.00 n8	Defense Theory Of Genetic Brain Disorder: Frontal Lobe Brain Abnormalities As Defense Theory

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 4.00a	Insanity: Must Be Defined In Legal and Moral Terms (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.00b	Defense Of Insanity: Definition Of Mental Illness (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.00c	Insanity: Consideration of Mental Disease <u>And</u> Mental Defect (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.01 n1	Consequences Of Insanity Verdict (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.01 n2	Jurors May Not Consider Sympathy, Etc. At Sanity Trial (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.01 n3	Consequences Of Insanity Verdict: Waiver For Strategic Reasons
F 4.01 n4	Error To Appoint Same Experts For Both The Competency And Sanity Hearings
F 4.02 n1	Insanity: Special Instruction Based On PC 25.5 Improper Unless Defendant Contends Insanity Was Solely Caused By Intoxication Or Mental Defect Resulting From Substance Abuse
F 4.02a	Insanity From Intoxication: Definition Of Right And Wrong (PC 1026, et seq.)
F 4.10 n1	Competence To Stand Trial
F 4.10 n2	Present Mental Competence: Allocation Of Burden When Co-Counsel, But Not Defendant, Claims Incompetence (PC 1367; PC 1368; PC 1369)
F 4.10 n3	Mental Competence: Allocation Of Burden When Defendant Is Involuntarily Medicated
F 4.10 n4	Competency: Burden Of Proving Continued Incompetence
F 4.10 n5	Competency: Duty Of Court To Order Evaluation
F 4.10a	Doubt of Present Mental Competence Guilt vs. Innocence
F 4.10b	Mental Competence: Specification Of Standard
F 4.15 n1	Restoration Of Sanity: Burden And Elements (PC 1026.2 and PC 1026.5)
F 4.15 n2	Restoration Of Sanity: Self-Medication (PC 1026.2 and PC 1026.5)
F 4.15 n3	Restoration Of Sanity: Defendant's Burden As To Out-Patient Status (PC 1026.2 and PC 1026.5)
F 4.15 n4	Restoration Of Sanity: Due Process And Equal Protection Challenges Rejected
F 4.15 n5	Restoration Of Sanity: Jury Must Decide Factual Issue Regarding "Mental Disease, Defect or Disorder"
F 4.15 n6	Restoration Of Sanity: Dismissal Of Sanity Phase Pursuant To PC 1385(a)
F 4.17 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 4.18 n1	MDSO: Burden Of Proof (WI 6316.2)
F 4.18 n2	MDSO: Instruction Regarding Prior Commitment And Circumstantial Evidence (WI 6316.2)
F 4.18 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 4.19 n1	Sexually Violent Predator: Constitutional Challenge
F 4.19 n2	Sexually Violent Predator: Requirement Of Present Dangerousness
F 4.19 n3	Sexually Violent Predator: Instruction On Consequences Of Finding
F 4.19 n4	Sexually Violent Predator: Full Evidentiary Hearing Required For A Probable Cause Determination
F 4.19 n5	Sexually Violent Predator: Applicable To Persons Convicted Under The Pre-1980 Rape Statute
F 4.19 n6	Sexually Violent Predator: Instruction On Mental Disorder
F 4.19 n7	Sexually Violent Predator: Instruction On Reasonable Doubt
F 4.19 n8	SVP: Right To Call And Cross-Examine Witnesses At Show-Cause Hearing
F 4.19 n9	SVP: Inapplicable To Military Court Martial Convictions
F 4.19 n10	SVP: Reference To Determinate Sentencing Should Be Deleted
F 4.19 n11	Sexually Violent Predator: Prosecution May Call Defendant As Witness
F 4.19 n12	Sexually Violent Predator: Petition Requirements
F 4.19 n13	Sexually Violent Predator: Out-Of-State Convictions
F 4.19 n14	Sexually Violent Predator: Lawful Custody Not A Prerequisite To Filing Petition Under SVP Act
F 4.19 n15	Sexually Violent Predator: Use Of Hearsay To Prove Details Underlying Prior Sex Offenses
F 4.19 n16	Sexually Violent Predators: Elements And Burden Of Proof
F 4.19 n17	Sexually Violent Predators: Failure To Define "Likely" As Used In SVP Act
F 4.19 n18	Sexually Violent Predator/Mentally Disordered Offender (SVP/MDO):

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	California State Prisoners Handbook	
F 4.19 n19	Sexually Violent Predator: Impact Of Defense Stipulation To Prior Convictions	
F 4.19a	Sexually Violent Predator (WI 6600 et seq.)	
F 4.19b	Sufficiency Of Circumstantial Evidence That Respondent Is A Sexually Violent Predator	
F 4.19c	Sexually Violent Predator: Prior Offenses Need Not Be “Predatory” (WI 6600 et seq.)	
F 4.19d	Sexually Violent Predator: Diagnosed Mental Disorder Must Render Person Unable To Control Dangerous Behavior	
F 4.19e	Sexually Violent Predator: DSM-IV Diagnosis Is Not, Standing Alone, Sufficient	
F 4.19f	Sexually Violent Predator: Defendant Must Be Likely To Engage In Sexually Violent Predatory Behavior	
F 4.19g	Sexually Violent Predator: Definition Of Mental Disorder -- Requirement Of Serious Difficulty In Controlling Behavior	
F 4.20 n1	Intoxication: “No Excuse” And “Negation Of Intent” Instructions Should Not Be Given Together Without Explanation	
F 4.20 n2	Expert Testimony As To Combined Effects Of Alcohol And Cocaine	
F 4.20 n3	Intoxication Not A Defense: Error To Give In Specific Intent/Malice Cases	
F 4.20 n4	Error To Give CJ 4.20 In Specific Intent Crime	
F 4.21 n1	Drunk Driving Murder: Intoxication Applies To All Acts	
F 4.21 n2	Does Implied Malice Require Specific Intent?	
F 4.21 n3	May Intoxication Negate Implied Malice?	
F 4.21 n4	Intoxication Or Mental Impairment: Negation Of Knowledge Element	
F 4.21 n5	Intoxication Instruction Required When Appropriate	
F 4.21 n6	Evidence Must Show Effect Of Intoxication	
F 4.21 n7	Expert Testimony Required For Drug Intoxication	
F 4.21 n8	Intoxication: Impact Of Physical Trauma	
F 4.21 n9	Counsel Ineffective For Failure To Request Instruction Pinpointing Intoxication And Mental State	
F 4.21 n10	Mental State -- Intoxication To Negate Malice	
F 4.21 n11	Does Due Process Require Consideration Of Intoxication In Determining All Mental Elements Of the Charge?	
F 4.21 n12	Difference Between “Specific Intent” And “Mental State”	
F 4.21 n13	Failure To Request Pinpoint Instruction On Intoxication As IAC	
F 4.21 n14	Expert Testimony Regarding Defendant’s Formation Of Mental State	
F 4.21 n15	Arson: Voluntary Intoxication As A Defense	
F 4.21 n16	Mental Disease Or Defect: Presumption Of Sanity Violates Due Process	
F 4.21 n17	Idiocy: Affirmative Defense (PC 26)	
F 4.21a	Effect Of Intoxication Upon Special Circumstance Or Enhancement Findings	
F 4.21b	Intoxication: When Combined With Mental Defect, Etc.	
F 4.21c	Effect Of Intoxication On Aiding and Abetting	
F 4.21d	Intoxication Or Mental Impairment: Negation Of Knowledge Element	
F 4.21e	Voluntary Intoxication: Jury “Must” Consider	
F 4.21.1 n1	Voluntary Intoxication: General And Specific Intent Crimes	
F 4.21.1 n2	Instructing Jury That Intoxication Cannot Negate Malice As Reversible Error	
F 4.21.1 n3	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Jury Should Consider Defendant’s Intoxication	
F 4.21.2 n1	Effect Of Intoxication On Aiding and Abetting: Relevance Of Intoxication To Natural And Probable Consequences	
F 4.21.2a	Effect Of Intoxication On Aiding and Abetting: Pinpoint Instruction Specifying The Elements	
F 4.21.2b	Effect Of Intoxication On Aiding and Abetting: Jury “Must” Consider Intoxication	
F 4.21.2c	Effect Of Intoxication On Aiding And Abetting: Reasonable Doubt Favors Defendant	
F 4.22 n1	Voluntary Intoxication--Defined: Should Not Be Given Unless There Is An Issue As To Voluntariness Of Intoxication	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 4.22a	Voluntary Intoxication: Inapplicable To Prescription Drugs
F 4.22b	Involuntary Intoxication: Actual Or Constructive Knowledge Of Potential Intoxicating Effect
F 4.23a	Involuntary Intoxication: Applies To Prescription Medicine
F 4.23b	Involuntary Intoxication: Actual Or Constructive Knowledge Of Potential Intoxicating Effect
F 4.30 n1	Unconsciousness: Evidence Justifying Instruction (PC 26)
F 4.30 n2	Unconsciousness: Failure To Instruct Upon Unconsciousness As Reversible Error (PC 26)
F 4.30 n3	Unconsciousness As Technical Term Requiring Sua Sponte Definition (PC 26)
F 4.30 n4	Availability Of Unconsciousness When Defendant Was Aware Before And After The Killing (PC 26)
F 4.30 n5	Hit And Run: Unconsciousness As Complete Defense To Duty To Render Aid
F 4.30 n6	Unconsciousness: Improper To Instruct On Unconsciousness In Terms Of General Criminal Intent
F 4.30a	Ingestion Of Prescription Medicine As Involuntary Intoxication
F 4.30b	Unconsciousness: No Limitation Of Cause
F 4.31a	Presumption Of Consciousness: Prosecution's Burden Of Proof
F 4.35 n1	Unreasonable Mistake May Negate Specific Intent
F 4.35 n2	Mistake Of Fact Resulting From Mental Defect
F 4.35 n3	Mistake As To Ex-Felon Status
F 4.35 n4	Mistake As To Immunity From Prosecution
F 4.35 n5	Mistake Of Fact: Definition Of Good Faith
F 4.35a	Mistake Of Fact: Reasonable Doubt Favors Defendant
F 4.35b	Reasonable Belief Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant's Position
F 4.35c	Unlawful Sexual Intercourse: Mistake Of Fact As Defense
F 4.35d	Mistake: Negation Of Knowledge Element
F 4.35e	Bigamy: Mistake Of Fact As Defense
F 4.36 n1	Giving Of CJ 4.36 In Specific Intent Case As Reversible Error
F 4.36 n2	Error To Give CJ 4.36 In Sex Offender Registration (PC 290) Cases
F 4.36a	Mistake Of Law: Negates Specific Intent
F 4.40 n1	Duress: Effect Of Mental Delusion (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n2	Duress As Defense To Non-Capital Murder (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n3	Duress: Battered Woman Syndrome Evidence Relevant (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n4	Imperfect Duress As Defense To Murder (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n5	Honest Belief Negates Specific Intent (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n6	Duress As Defense To Non-Capital Murder (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n7	Duress Applies When Others Are Threatened (PC 26(6))
F 4.40 n8	Duress As Defense To Drunk Driving
F 4.40 n9	Necessity: Inapplicable To Robbery When Other Options Available
F 4.40 n10	Duress: Threatened Hardship
F 4.40 n11	Duress Compared To Necessity
F 4.40a	Threats And Menaces: Defendant Need Only Raise A Reasonable Doubt (PC 26(6))
F 4.40b	Honest Duress Relevant To Mental Elements (PC 26(6))
F 4.43 n1	Necessity As Defense To Needle Exchange Charge
F 4.43 n2	Medical Necessity As Defense To Marijuana-Related Charges
F 4.43 n3	Necessity: Homelessness As Defense To Charge Of Vagrancy
F 4.43 n4	Necessity Compared To Duress
F 4.44 n1	Duress/Necessity As Justification For Escape
F 4.45 n1	Accident: Brandishing In Self-Defense
F 4.45 n2	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 4.45 n3	Accident: Duty To Instruct When Defendant Testifies That Victim's Injuries Were Caused Accidentally

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 4.45a	Accident: Reasonable Doubt Favors Defendant (PC 26(5))	
F 4.51 n1	Aider And Abettor Alibi: CJ 3.01 Also Required	
F 4.51 n2	Failure To Give Alibi Instruction Is Reversible Error	
F 4.51 n3	Alibi: Prosecution’s Introduction Of False Alibi Evidence Necessitates Alibi Instruction	
F 4.51 n4	Failure To Request Alibi Instruction As Ineffective Assistance Of Counsel	
F 4.51 n5	Alibi: Improper For Prosecutor To Convince Alibi Witness Not To Testify By Informing The Witness That Perjury Charges Could Be Filed	
F 4.60 n1	Entrapment: Failure To Instruct As Reversible Error Per	
F 4.60 n2	Entrapment: Corollary Defense Of Outrageous Government Misconduct	
F 4.60 n3	Entrapment: “Normal Law-Abiding Person” Language Is Proper	
F 4.60 n4	Entrapment: Federal Test	
F 4.60 n5	Entrapment: Single Witness	
F 4.60 n6	Entrapment: Procedure When Jury Fails To Agree	
F 4.60 n7	Entrapment: Only Slight Evidence Necessary To Present The Defense To The Jury	
F 4.60 n8	“Sentencing Entrapment”	
F 4.60 n9	Entrapment: Not Available Vicariously	
F 4.60 n10	Entrapment: Sex Offenses	
F 4.60 n11	Entrapment: Defendant Need Not Admit Guilt	
F 4.60 n12	Entrapment: Test	
F 4.60a	Derivative Entrapment	
F 4.60b	Entrapment: Definition Of Government Agent	
F 4.60c	Entrapment: Even If Defense Not Proven; Prosecution Must Still Prove Elements Of Charge And Jury Must Consider Evidence Of Entrapment As To The Elements	
F 4.61.5 n1	Entrapment: Permissible And Impermissible Conduct	
F 4.70 n1	Limitation Of Action/ <u>Ex Post Facto</u> : Extension Of Statute For Continuing Sex Offenses	
F 4.70 n2	Unlawful Sale Of Securities: Tolling Of Statute Of Limitations Based On Lack Of Discovery	
F 4.70 n3	Ex Post Facto: Statute Of Limitations. [Reserved.]	
F 4.71 n1	CJ 4.71 Improper If Defense Relies On Alibi	
F 4.71 n2	Time of Crime: Effect Of Accusatory Pleading And Proof	
F 4.71 n3	Time of Crime: Request For Continuance Necessary To Preserve Variance Issue	
F 4.71 n4	Specification of Distinction Between CJ 4.71 and CJ	
F 4.71.5 n1	Challenges To CJ 4.71.5 Rejected (PC 288.5)	
F 4.71.5 n2	Resident Child Molester Statute Held Constitutional (PC 288.5)	F 4.71.5
n3	Juror Unanimity	
F 4.71.5 n4	Failure To Instruct On Unanimity As To Multiple Acts Of Child Molesting As Reversible Error	
F 4.71.5a	Juror Unanimity Multiple Acts Of Child Molestation (PC 288.5)	
F 4.71.5b	When Proof Must Show Specific Act: Alibi Defense (PC 288.5)	
F 4.71.5c	Juror Unanimity: Specific Act Or Group Of Acts As Alternatives	F 4.72
n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People” Statute of Limitations Preponderance Standard: Limiting Instruction	F 4.74 n1
F 4.74 n2	Statute of Limitations Preponderance Standard: Constitutional Challenge	
F 4.74 n3	Statute Of Limitations: Constitutionality Of Amendment To PC 803	
F 4.74 n4	Statute of Limitations: Prosecution Must Prove If Challenged By Defense	
F 4.74 n5	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 4.74 n6	Incorrect Instruction On Statute Of Limitations For Lesser Included Offense Violates Defendant’s Right To Due Process And Fair Trial	
F 4.74 n7	Statute Of Limitations: Failure To Instruct	
F 4.74 n8	Statute Of Limitations: Disposition Of Cases Dismissed Prior To <u>People v. Frazer</u> (99) 21 C4th 737 [88 CR2d 312] (PC 803(g))	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 4.74 n9	Statute Of Limitations: Sufficient Corroboration Under PC 803(g)
F 4.74 n10	Statute Of Limitations: Defining “Clear And Convincing” Proof
F 4.74 n11	Statute Of Limitations: Burden Of Proof
F 4.74a	Statute of Limitations: Tolling From Failure to Discover
F 4.80 n1	Parent’s Right To Discipline Child: Definition Of “Force And Violence”
F 4.80 n2	Parents’ Right To Discipline Child: Jury Must Make Determination Of Objective Reasonableness
F 4.80 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 4.80a	Parent’s Right To Discipline Child: Consideration Of The Circumstances
F 4.80b	Parent’s Right To Discipline Child: Reasonable Physical Force
F 4.80c	Parent’s Right To Discipline Child: Factors To Consider As To Whether Force Was Excessive or Unreasonable

Non-CALJIC Defenses

F 4.000 n1	Non-CALJIC Defenses: Common Law Defenses Apply In California
F 4.000 n2	Outline Of Defenses To Assault Crimes
F 4.000a	Affirmative Defense Where Defendant Has Burden: Prosecution Must Prove Underlying Elements; Evidence Relating To Defense Must Be Considered Even If Defense Is Not Proven
F 4.001 n1	Claim Of Right: Inapplicable To Ransom Demand
F 4.001 n2	Claim Of Right: Improper To Define As A Defense
F 4.001a	Claim Of Right
F 4.001b	Claim Of Right on Behalf of Another
F 4.002a	Duress To Negate Criminal Intent
F 4.003a	Mistake Of Law May Negate Specific Intent
F 4.004a	Aiding And Abetting Culpability: “Equal Partner” In Drug Purchase Is Not An Aider And Abettor Of The Seller
F 4.005a	Antecedent Threats Made To The Defendant Justify Quicker And Harsher Measures In Self-Defense
F 4.006a	Equitable Estoppel
F 4.007 n1	Former Jeopardy: Proposition 115 Update
F 4.007 n2	Former Jeopardy: Fundamental Nature of the Right
F 4.007 n3	Former Jeopardy: Whether Jury’s Rejection Of Enhancement Constitutes An Express Acquittal And Forecloses Any Retrial
F 4.007 n4	Former Jeopardy: PC 1157 Reduction Bars Retrial
F 4.007 n5	Former Jeopardy: Conviction Of Substantive Offense Not A Bar To Subsequent Conspiracy Charge
F 4.007 n6	Former Jeopardy: No Requirement Of Personal Waiver
F 4.007 n7	Former Jeopardy/Collateral Estoppel: Effect Of Finding Gun Use Untrue
F 4.007 n8	Former Jeopardy: <u>Grady v. Corbin</u> Overruled
F 4.007 n9	Former Jeopardy: No Bar To Conviction As Accessory And Perpetrator
F 4.007 n10	Collateral Estoppel Precludes Re-litigation Of Underlying Felony And Felony Murder Convictions
F 4.007 n11	Collateral Estoppel Bars Relitigation Only If All Elements Were Resolved
F 4.007 n12	Former Jeopardy: Does Conviction Of Lesser Offense Constitute Implied Acquittal Of Greater Offense?
F 4.007 n13	Forfeiture: Double Jeopardy Defense
F 4.007 n14	Former Jeopardy: Inapplicable To Premeditated Attempted Murder
F 4.007 n15	Former Jeopardy: Lesser Offense Distinguished From Penalty Enhancement
F 4.007 n16	New Charges After Appeal: No Retrial For Same Act Under Different Statute Following Successful Appeal Of Original Conviction Based On Insufficiency Of Evidence
F 4.007 n17	Double Jeopardy: Prosecutor Misconduct -- Argument Intended To Provoke A Mistrial

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 4.007 n18	Double Jeopardy: Continuing Offenses
F 4.007a	Defense Of Former Jeopardy
F 4.008a	Good Faith Practice Of Medicine (HS 11153 & HS 11154)
F 4.009a	Concealed Or Loaded Firearm: Justification -- Grave Danger (PC 12025, PC 12025.5 & PC 12031)
F 4.010a	Physical Trauma May Negate Mens Rea
F 4.011a	Defense Of Home: Presumption Of Reasonable Fear (PC 198.5)
F 4.012a	Improper Venue (<u>Locus Delicti</u>)
F 4.013a	Illegal Possession Of Specified Deadly Weapons: Lack Of Knowledge As Affirmative Defense
F 4.014 n1	Multiple Crimes: Territorial Jurisdiction
F 4.014 n2	Territorial Jurisdiction: Crimes Committed Within 500 Yards Of Prosecuting County
F 4.014a	Territorial Jurisdiction
F 4.015 n1	Outrageous Government Misconduct: Due Process Doctrine
F 4.015 n2	Outrageous Government Misconduct: Outrageous Prosecutorial Misconduct
F 4.015 n3	Outrageous Government Misconduct: Not Available Vicariously
F 4.016 n1	Idiocy: Affirmative Defense (PC 26) A. General Principles B. Idiocy: Test
F 4.017a	Immunity of "Police Agent" In Narcotics Deal (HS 11367)
F 4.018a	No Aider and Abettor Or Conspiracy Liability When Perpetrator or Co-Conspirator Is Not Guilty
F 4.019a	A Previously Battered Person Has The Right To Act More Quickly And Harshly in Self-Defense
F 4.020 n1	Third Party Culpability: Sua Sponte Duty
F 4.020 n2	Uncharged Acts: Admissibility Of Third Party Guilt Evidence
F 4.020a	Third-Party Culpability As Defense Theory
F 4.020b	Application Of Reasonable Doubt Rule To Choice Between Guilt Of Defendant And Third Party
F 4.020c	Reasonable Interpretations Regarding Guilt Of Defendant And Third Party Must Be Resolved In Favor Of Defendant
F 4.021a	Burglary: Defense Of Consent
F 4.022a	Battery: Defense Of Consent (PC 242)
F 4.023a	Bigamy: Mistake Of Fact As Defense (PC 281)
F 4.024a	Unlawful Sexual Intercourse: Mistake Of Fact As Defense (PC 261.5)
F 4.025a	Receiving Stolen Property: Innocent Intent Defense
F 4.026a	Embezzlement: Claim Of Right As Defense
F 4.027a	Non-CALJIC Defenses: Medical Marijuana Initiative (Prop. 215)
F 4.028a	Consciousness Of Innocence Based On Rejection Of Immunity Offer
F 4.029a	Sale Of Alcohol To Minors: Failure To Make ID Of Seller As Defense (BP 25658(a))
F 4.030a	Epilepsy As Defense Theory Even If Crime Did Not Occur During A Seizure
F 4.031 n1	Culture/Cultural Background As Relevant To Defense Theories: Briefing Available
F 4.031 n2	Culture/Cultural Background As Relevant To Premeditation/Deliberation
F 4.031 n3	Culture/Cultural Background As Relevant To Heat Of Passion (PC 192(a))
F 4.031 n4	Native American Cultural Defense
F 4.031a	Heat Of Passion: Consideration Of Defendant's Culture
F 4.032 n1	Defense Theory: Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS)
F 4.033 n1	Defense Of Impossibility
F 4.034 n1	Defense Theories: Desire to Be Arrested As Negating Intent

CALJIC Part 5-8

F 5.00a	Excusable Homicide: Explanation Of The Degree Of Care And Caution Required
F 5.00b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 5.01a	Excusable Homicide: Definition Of Deadly Weapon
F 5.01b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 5.12 n1	Applicability Of Self-Defense To Felony Murder (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n2	Definition Of Great Bodily Injury (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n3	Self-Defense: When Self-Defense May Be Used Against Arrest By Private Citizen (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n4	Self-Defense: Battered Woman/Child Syndrome (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n5	Self-Defense: Vulnerability Of Defendant To Show Honest Belief In Peril (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n6	Self-Defense: Abnormal Fear As Evidence Of Honest Belief Of Peril (PC 197 & PC 198)
F 5.12 n7	Duty To Instruct On Self-defense Or Imperfect Self-defense When Defendant Has Not Testified
F 5.12 n8	Antecedent Threat Doctrine Applies To Recent Threats Or Violence By Victim
F 5.12a	Antecedent Threats To The Defendant Justify Quicker And Harsher Measures In Self-Defense
F 5.12b	Transferred Self-Defense
F 5.12c	A Previously Battered Woman Has The Right To Act More Quickly And Harshly in Self-Defense
F 5.12d	Assaultive Character To Show Victim Acted In Conformity With Character
F 5.12e	Evidence Of Assaultive Acts To Show Victim Acted In Accordance With Character And To Show Reasonableness Of Defendant's Belief
F 5.12f	"Reasonably Should Know" Standard: Consideration Of Physical Disabilities
F 5.12g	Antecedent Threats By A Third Party Or Group Justify Quicker And Harsher Measures In Self-Defense
F 5.12h	Reasonable Person Standard: Consideration Of All Relevant Circumstances In Which The Defendant Is Placed
F 5.12i	Antecedent Threats: Existence Of Threat To Be Determined In Light Of All The Surrounding Circumstances
F 5.12j	Self-Defense: Driving By Person Who May Initiate An Assault Does Not Negate Driver's Right To Self-Defense
F 5.12k	Justifiable Homicide In Self-Defense Or Defense Of Others
F 5.12l	Failure To Request Antecedent Threats Instruction As IAC
F 5.12m	Self-Defense: Applicability To Aider And Abettor
F 5.13 n1	Justifiable Homicide--Defense Of Self Or Another
F 5.15 n1	Self-Defense: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct
F 5.15a	Self-Defense: Specification Of Prosecution's Burden
F 5.17 n1	Imperfect Self-Defense Reaffirmed By California Supreme Court
F 5.17 n2	Imperfect Self-Defense: Instruction Warranted If Ordinary Self-Defense Instruction Is Warranted
F 5.17 n3	Imperfect Self-Defense: Inconsistency With CJ
F 5.17 n4	Application Of Imperfect Self-Defense To Attempted Murder: Adaption Of CALJIC Instructions
F 5.17 n5	Imperfect Self-Defense: Requirement That Defendant Believe Lethal Force Is Necessary
F 5.17 n6	Imperfect Self-Defense: Inapplicable To Enhancements
F 5.17 n7	Is Imperfect Self-Defense Applicable To Reduce Mayhem To Assault Or Battery?
F 5.17 n8	Applicability Of Imperfect Self-Defense To Aggravated Mayhem
F 5.17 n9	Imperfect Self-Defense As Lesser Included Offense Rather Than Affirmative Defense
F 5.17 n10	Duty To Instruct On Self-defense Or Imperfect Self-defense When Defendant Has Not Testified
F 5.17 n11	Imperfect Self-Defense As Defense To Drunk Driving Murder
F 5.17 n12	Appellate Issue Alert: 1995 Pocket Part Definition Of "Imminent Peril" Prejudicially Defective
F 5.17 n13	Imperfect Self-Defense Inapplicable To Felony Murder

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 5.17 n14	Imperfect Self-Defense Without Intent To Kill: Requires Sua Sponte Instruction On Voluntary Manslaughter
F 5.17 n15	Imperfect Self-Defense Instruction Should Be Included With Manslaughter Instructions
F 5.17a	Imperfect Self-Defense: Definition Of Imminent Danger
F 5.17b	Imperfect Self-Defense: Applicability To Mental Defect Or Intoxication
F 5.17c	Imperfect Self-Defense: Definition Of Imminent Danger
F 5.17d	Imperfect Self-Defense Applies To Implied Malice
F 5.17e	Imperfect Self-Defense: Definition Of “Wrongful Conduct”
F 5.17f	Belief In Need To Defend
F 5.17g	Imperfect Self-Defense: Applicability To Aider And Abettor
F 5.30 n1	Self-Defense Against Assault: Applicability Of Homicide Self-Defense Principles
F 5.30 n2	Self-Defense Against Assault
F 5.30a	Non-Homicidal Defense Of Self Or Others: Burden Of Proof
F 5.30b	Reasonable Person Standard: Consideration Of All Relevant Circumstances In Which The Defendant Is Placed
F 5.30c	Non-Homicidal Defense Of Self Or Others: Consideration Of Physical Disabilities
F 5.30d	Self-Defense Against Assault Or Any Battery: Applicable To Battery Without Bodily Harm
F 5.30e	Self-Defense Or Defense Of Others Against Assault
F 5.31 n1	Non-Homicidal Self-Defense: Burden Of Proof
F 5.32 n1	Non-Homicidal Self-Defense: Burden Of Proof
F 5.32 n2	Defense Of Others Applies To Fetus
F 5.32 n3	Use Of Force In Defense Of Another: Duty To Instruct
F 5.32a	Use Of Force In Defense Of Another: Applicable To Battery Without Bodily Harm
F 5.32b	Self-Defense: Defense Of Others Applies To Attack On Person Trying To Prevent Rescue
F 5.32c	Self-Defense: Applicability To Aider And Abettor
F 5.40a	Ejection Of Civil Trespasser As Defense To Criminal Battery
F 5.42 n1	Defense Of Habitation: Definition Of “Habitation”
F 5.42 n2	Defense Of Home: Not Applicable To Non-Resident
F 5.42a	Defense Of Home: Presumption Of Reasonable Fear (PC 198.5)
F 5.50 n1	Self-Defense: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct
F 5.50a	Assailed Person Need Not Retreat From His Or Her Residence
F 5.50b	Brandishing A Deadly Weapon In Self-Defense
F 5.51 n1	Self-Defense: Consideration Of Antecedent Threats
F 5.51 n2	Self-Defense: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct
F 5.51a	Self-Defense: Applicable To Battery Without Bodily Harm
F 5.52a	Self-Defense: When Danger Ceases
F 5.54a	Self-Defense By An Aggressor: Non-Deadly Aggressor Need Not Withdraw From Deadly Counter-Attack
F 5.54b	Self-Defense By An Aggressor: No Requirement That Aggressor Verbally Notify Adversary Of Withdrawal
F 5.56a	Mutual Combat
F 5.56b	Mutual Combat: Non-Deadly Combatant Need Not Withdraw From Deadly Counter-Attack
F 6.00 n1	Whether Factual Impossibility Precludes Conviction For Attempt (PC 21a & PC 664)
F 6.00 n2	Attempt: Distinction Between Solicitation And Attempt
F 6.10 n1	Conspiracy: General Principles Regarding Proof (PC 182)
F 6.10 n2	Conspiracy: Whether Actual Impossibility Is A Defense (PC 182)
F 6.10 n3	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Act (PC 182)
F 6.10 n4	Propriety Of Multiple Convictions For Conspiracy To Commit Multiple Criminal Acts (PC 182)
F 6.10 n5	Conspiracy: Instruction On Degree Of Target Crime(PC 182)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 6.10 n6	Conspiracy: Requirement Of Knowledge (PC 182)
F 6.10 n7	No Conspiracy With Government Agent Or Feigned Participant (PC 182)
F 6.10 n8	No Conspiracy If Co-Conspirator Is Acquitted (PC 182)
F 6.10 n9	Conspiracy To Commit Murder: Jury Need Not Determine Degree (PC 182)
F 6.10 n10	Conspiracy: Miscellaneous Arguments Rejected (PC 182)
F 6.10 n11	Conspiracy To Commit Murder: Intent To Kill Requirement (PC 182)
F 6.10 n12	Conspiracy: Retroactivity Of Sentencing Amendment During Pendency Of The Conspiracy
F 6.10 n13	Jurisdiction Of California For In-State Conspiracy To Commit Out-Of-State Offense
F 6.10 n14	Conspiracy: Determination Of Whether Lesser Offense Is Within Charge Of Conspiracy
F 6.10 n15	Conspiracy To Commit Second Degree Express Malice Murder
F 6.10 n16	Commentary Criticizing The Crime Of Conspiracy
F 6.10 n17	Conspiracy: Gang Members' General Agreement To Support Each Other In Fights Insufficient To Prove Conspiracy To Commit Assault
F 6.10 n18	Conspiracy To Commit Prostitution (PC 647): Overt Act May Precede Agreement
F 6.10 n19	Conspiracy: Jurisdiction In County Where Overt Act Committed
F 6.10 n20	Conspiracy: Arming Enhancement (PC 12022(a)(1)) Permissible If During Course Of The Conspiracy
F 6.10 n21	Conspiracy: Overt Act Must Be Independent Of The Agreement Itself
F 6.10 n22	Conspiracy: Desired Or Wanted Result Is Not An Overt Act
F 6.10a	Conspiracy: Timing Of Overt Act – Must Be Committed After Agreement But Before Target Offense (PC 182)
F 6.10b	Specification Of Conspiracy's Object (PC 182)
F 6.10c	Requirement That Co-Conspirator Be Found Guilty Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 6.10d	Multiple Conspiracy: Jury Determination
F 6.10e	Conspiracy: Specification Of Elements, Intent And Knowledge
	A. Enumeration Of Elements
	B. Specification Of The Defendant's Intent
	C. Knowledge Requirement
	D. Overt Acts
	E. Timing Of Overt Act
F 6.10f	Conspiracy: Efforts To Conceal Do Not Extend The Duration Of The Conspiracy And Are Not Overt Acts
F 6.10g	Conspiracy: Approval Or Acquiescence In The Object And Purpose Does Not Prove Membership In Conspiracy
F 6.10h	Conspiracy To Obstruct Justice (PC 182(5))
F 6.10i	Conspiracy: Buyer-Seller Instruction
F 6.10j	Conspiracy: Decision Must Be As To Each Defendant
F 6.10k	Conspiracy To Commit A Public Welfare Offense: Requirement Of Specific Intent To Violate The Law
F 6.10l	Buyer-Seller Relationship: On Factors To Consider
F 6.10.5 n1	Conspiracy: Overt Act Must Be Independent Of The Agreement Itself
F 6.10.5a	Specification Of Conspiracy's Objective (PC 182)
F 6.10.5b	Conspiracy: Specification Of Elements, Intent and Knowledge
F 6.11 n1	Liability For Crimes Committed By Other Conspirators (PC 182)
F 6.11 n2	Conspiracy: Uncharged Conspiracy May Not Be Basis Of A Conviction For A Charged Substantive Offense
F 6.11a	Collateral Estoppel Precludes Conviction Of Conspiracy When The Other Alleged Conspirators Have Been Acquitted (PC 182)
F 6.11b	Conspiracy -- Joint Responsibility: Requirement Of Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 6.11c	Multiple Conspiracies: Limitation Of Joint Responsibility
F 6.11d	Charged Crime Must Be Natural And Probable Consequence Of Original Object Of The Conspiracy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 6.11e	Natural And Probable Consequences: Objective Standard
F 6.11f	Natural And Probable Consequences: Improper To Define “Natural” As “ In Accordance With Human Nature, Not Abnormal,” And “Probable” As “Not Unlikely”
F 6.12a	Conspiracy: Nature Of Agreement --“Jointly Conceived Plan”
F 6.13 n1	Association Alone Does Not Prove Membership In Conspiracy (PC 182)
F 6.13a	Conspiracy: Knowing Association Insufficient
F 6.13b	Conspiracy Must Be Based On Acts and Statements Of The Defendant
F 6.14a	Adoption Of Criminal Design And Intent Requires Defendant To Actually Form The Requisite Specific Intent
F 6.15 n1	Applicability Of CJ 6.15 (5th Edition) To Aiding And Abetting (PC 182)
F 6.15a	Liability For Independent Acts Of Co-Conspirators
F 6.18 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 6.18a	Knowing Commission Of Act In Furtherance Of Conspiracy Does Not Prove Membership In Conspiracy
F 6.19a	Joining Conspiracy After Its Formation: Requisite Elements
F 6.20 n1	Withdrawal From Conspiracy Required Before Commission Of Overt Act (PC 182)
F 6.20a	Withdrawal From Conspiracy: Sufficiency Of Showing (PC 182)
F 6.20b	Withdrawal From Conspiracy: Defendant Need Only Raise A Reasonable Doubt (PC 182)
F 6.21a	Conspiracy Normally Terminates When The Criminal Object Has Been Obtained Or Defeated (PC 182)
F 6.22 n1	Conspiracy: Case Must Be Considered As To Each Defendant (PC 182) CJ 6.22 Inapplicable In Separate Trials
F 6.22a	Consider Conspiracy Case As To Each Defendant: Specification Of Elements
F 6.24 n1	Co-Conspirators: Hearsay Exception
F 6.24a	Admissibility Of Co-Conspirator Statements: When Not Admissible Against All Co-Defendants (PC 182)
F 6.24b	Admissibility Of Co-Conspirator’s Statements (PC 182)
F 6.25 n1	Propriety Of Multiple Conspiracy Convictions For Conspiracy To Commit Multiple Acts (PC 182)
F 6.35 n1	Propriety Of Multiple Convictions For Single Solicitation Against Multiple Victims
F 6.35 n2	Solicitation: Preemption Of Prosecution For Attempted Possession
F 6.35 n3	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 6.35 n4	Solicitation: Communication Must Be Received By Intended Recipient (PC 653f)
F 6.35 n5	Solicitation (PC 653f): Person Solicited Must Be Asked To Commit A Crime
F 6.35a	Solicitation Requires Both Request And Specific Intent
F 6.35b	Solicitation To Commit Murder
F 6.36 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 6.40 n1	Accessories: Instruction Upon Definition Of Underlying Felony
F 6.40 n2	No Bar To Conviction As Accessory And Perpetrator
F 6.40 n3	Accessories: Consciousness Of Guilt Evidence Insufficient
F 6.40 n4	Accessory After The Fact: Locus Delicti
F 6.40 n5	Collateral Estoppel As Defense To Accessory Charge
F 6.40 n6	Accessories: Unreasonable Belief In Duress Does Not Negate Intent
F 6.40 n7	Accessories: Failure To Plead Underlying Offense
F 6.50 n1	Gang Statute Held Constitutional
F 6.50 n2	Gangs: Homicide Not Foreseeable Risk
F 6.50 n3	Limitations On Expert Testimony Regarding Gangs
F 6.50 n4	Gangs: Grand Theft Of Vehicle Added To Statute
F 6.50 n5	Admissibility Of Gang Affiliation Evidence
F 6.50 n6	Federal Constitution Requires Unanimity As To Predicate Offenses
F 6.50 n8	Gang Offense: Pattern Of Criminal Activity Must Occur Prior To The Charged Offense
F 6.50 n9	Gang Offense: No Requirement Of Threat Of Continued Criminal Activity

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 6.50 n10	Gangs: Expert’s Testimony Must Be Based On Personal Knowledge Or Actual Evidence
F 6.50 n11	May Expert Testimony Be Used To Prove “Primary Activity” Element Of Criminal Gang Offense?
F 6.50 n12	Single Incident To Prove “Pattern” Of Gang Activity
F 6.50 n13	Prior Juvenile Adjudications Inadmissible Re: Gang Activity
F 6.50 n14	Gang Offense: PC 186.22(c) Convictions Cannot Stand
F 6.50 n15	Pattern Of Criminal Gang Activity: Propriety Of Relying Exclusively On Currently-Charged Offenses
F 6.50 n16	Use Of Documentary Evidence To Prove Gang Offense
F 6.50 n17	Active Gang Participation Must Be Current
F 6.50 n18	Gang Evidence As Rebuttal To Third Party Defense
F 6.50 n19	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 6.50 n20	Distinction Between Substantive Gang Offense (PC 186.22(a)) And Gang Enhancement (PC 186.22(b))
F 6.50 n21	Gang Statute Applies To Perpetrator As Well As Aider And Abettor
F 6.50 n22	Gangs: Whether Proposition 21 Violates Separation Of Powers
F 6.50 n23	Gangs: PC 186.22(d) Is Not A Separately Chargeable Crime
F 6.50 n24	Gang Offender Registration (PC 186.30)
F 6.50 n25	Gangs: Ten-Year Gang Enhancement Improper Where Defendant Already Sentenced To Life For Attempted Second Degree Murder
F 6.50a	Criminal Street Gangs (PC 186.22(a))
F 6.50b	Criminal Street Gangs: Definition Of “Actively Participated”
F 6.50c	“Primary Activity” Of Gang: Must Be Proven Beyond A Reasonable Doubt (PC 186.22)
F 6.50d	Prior Statement Of Deceased Declarant In Gang Case
F 6.50e	Gangs: Definition Of “Primary Activity”
F 7.02 n1	Asking For/Receiving Bribe By Public Official: Proof And Intent (PC 68)
F 7.02 n2	Asking For/Receiving Bribe By Public Official: Unanimity
F 7.15 n1	Witness Intimidation (PC 136.1)
F 7.15 n2	Intimidation Of A Witness: Misdemeanor Intimidation As Lesser Included Offense Of Felony Intimidation
F 7.16 n1	Witness Intimidation: Specific Intent To Affect Testimony (PC 136.1)
F 7.16 n2	Witness Intimidation: Battery As Lesser Offense (PC 136.1)
F 7.20 n1	Perjury: Materiality In Reform Act Cases (PC 118)
F 7.20 n2	Perjury: Materiality In PC 118 Cases
F 7.20 n3	Perjury: Statement Need Not Affect Proceeding Nor Be Directly Material (PC 118)
F 7.20 n4	Perjury: Requirement Of Juror Unanimity (PC 118)
F 7.20 n5	Perjury: Definition Of “Material Matter”
F 7.20 n6	Perjury: Applicability Of <u>Gaudin</u> To Other Crimes In Which Materiality Is An Element
F 7.20 n7	Perjury: False Declaration Is Perjury Only If Delivered With Intent To Be Uttered Or Published As True
F 7.20 n8	Perjury Based On Deposition: Transcript Must Be Executed By Deponent
F 7.20a	Perjury: Improper To Presume Materiality Element (PC 118)
F 7.20b	Perjury: Clarification Of Necessary Intent
F 7.21a	Perjury: Clarification Of Necessary Intent
F 7.23 n1	Perjury: Prejudicial Error For Failure To Instruct On The More-Than-One Witness Requirement
F 7.30 n1	Escape: Lawful Custody As A Legal Question (PC 4532)
F 7.30 n2	Escape: Failure to Return From Furlough (PC 4532)
F 7.30 n3	Escape: Definition of “Force and Violence” (PC 4532)
F 7.30 n4	Escape: Flight From Officer Is Not Escape From Custody
F 7.30 n5	Escape: Preemption Precludes Prosecution Of Juvenile For Felony Escape Based on Escape From Juvenile Hall
F 7.30 n6	Escape: Duress/Necessity As Justification

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 7.30 n7	Escape: Applicability To Home Detention Program (PC 4532)
F 7.30 n8	Escape: Merely Being “Missing” Is Insufficient To Prove Escape (PC 4530(b))
F 7.31 n1	Escape: Applicability To Home Detention Program (PC 4532)
F 7.31a	Escape By Force Or Violence: Defendant Must Personally Use Force Or Violence Or Aid And Abet Or Conspire With The Person Who Used Force Or Violence
F 7.32 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version: Directed Verdict
F 7.32a	Escape Following Remand Or Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Positio
F 7.40 n1	Threatening A Judge: Constitutionality of PC
F 7.40 n2	Threatening A Judge: Whether Threat Must Be Unconditional (PC 76)
F 7.40 n3	Threatening Certain Officials: “Apparent” Ability Not “Present” Ability Required
F 7.40 n4	PC 422 Threat Requirements Do Not Apply To PC
F 7.40 n5	Threats Communicated To Third Parties
F 7.40 n6	Threats Against Judge: Requirement That Threats Caused Judge To Fear For His Or Her Safety (PC 76)
F 7.50 n1	Resisting Executive Officer (PC 69): Means Of Violating The Statute
F 7.50 n2	Resisting Executive Officer (PC 69): Error To Instruct Jury That Prison Guards Are Executive Officers
F 7.50a	Resisting Executive Officer (PC 69): Requirement Of Specific Intent
F 7.61 n1	Tax Evasion: Willful Failure To File Tax Return With Intent To Evade Taxes
F 7.61 n2	Tax Evasion: Failure To File Tax Return
F 7.61a	Tax Evasion: Knowledge And Intent Elements
F 7.62a	Tax Evasion: Knowledge And Intent Elements
F 7.66a	Tax Evasion: Knowledge And Intent Elements
F 7.67a	Tax Evasion: Knowledge And Intent Elements
F 7.68a	Tax Evasion: Knowledge And Intent Elements
F 7.76 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.79 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.90 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.91 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.92 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.93 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.94 n1	Tax Evasion: Improper Reference To “The People”
F 7.96 n1	Reliance On Advice Of Tax Counsel: Term “Defense” Should Be Deleted
F 7.96a	Reliance On Advice Of Tax Counsel: Burden On Prosecution To Disprove Beyond A Reasonable Doubt
F 8.00a	Malice: Adaption To Include Fetus Murder
F 8.10 n1	Prosecution Burden To Prove No Irreversible Cessation Of Circulatory, Respiratory And Brain Functions (PC 187)
F 8.10 n2	Sua Sponte Instruction On Legal Definition Of Death (PC 187)
F 8.10 n3	Accessory To Murder As Lesser Related Offense (PC 187)
F 8.10 n4	Gross Vehicular Manslaughter Is Not LIO Of Murder (PC 191.5)
F 8.10 n5	Fetus Murder: Manslaughter Is Not A Lesser Offense (PC 187)
F 8.10 n6	Admissibility Of Prior Spousal Abuse By Defendant
F 8.10 n7	Witness Murder Special: No Requirement That Victim Be An Eyewitness To A Prior Crime
F 8.10 n8	Murder And Manslaughter: Rebuttable Presumption
F 8.10 n9	Fetal Murder: PC 12022.9 Enhancement Is Not Lesser Offense
F 8.10 n10	Mental Disease Or Defect: Post Partum Psychosis
F 8.10 n11	Murder: GBI Enhancement Improper
F 8.10a	Murder: Definition Of Viable Fetus (PC 187)
F 8.10b	Murder: Killing Of Another Human Being
F 8.11 n1	May Intoxication Negate Implied Malice? (PC 188)
F 8.11 n2	Improper To Pinpoint Evidence Of Intoxication (PC 188)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.11 n3	Implied Malice: Requirement Of Base Antisocial Motive
F 8.11 n4	Implied Malice: Negation By Imperfect Self-Defense (PC 188)
F 8.11 n5	Implied Malice: “Wanton Disregard” Requirement
F 8.11 n6	Malice: No Unanimity As To Theory Of Malice
F 8.11 n7	Definition Of Implied Malice
F 8.11 n8	Implied Malice: Mother’s Failure To Care For Newborn Child
F 8.11 n9	Implied Malice: Duty To Instruct
F 8.11a	Implied Malice: Requirement Of “High Probability Of Death” (PC 188)
F 8.11b	No Aider and Abetter Liability Unless Perpetrator Harbored Express Malice (PC 188)
F 8.11c	Express Malice Cannot Be Presumed From Commission Of A Dangerous Crime (PC 188)
F 8.11d	Implied Malice Requires Actual Knowledge Of Danger (PC 188)
F 8.11e	Malice: Adaption To Include Fetus Murder
F 8.11f	Implied Malice: Conscious Disregard — Benefit Of Reasonable Doubt Should Be Given To Defendant
F 8.12 n1	Liability For Death Of An Accomplice: Not Required For Attempted Murder
F 8.12 n2	Provocative Act Murder: Shooting Of Gang Member As “Provocative Act”
F 8.12 n3	Provocative Act Murder: Conviction Based On Provocative Act Of Deceased Accomplice Who Is Not The Alleged Murder Victim
F 8.12 n4	Provocative Act Of Murder: Causation Requirement – Actual Killer Must Have Been Responding To The Provocative Act
F 8.12 n5	Provocative Act Of Murder: Applicability Of Concurrent Causation And Transferred Intent
F 8.12 n6	Provocative Act Doctrine: No Juror Unanimity As To Provocative Act
F 8.12a	Liability For Death Of Accomplice: Definition Of “Provocative Act” And Specification Of Causation Requirements (PC 187 & PC 189)
F 8.12b	Liability For Death Of An Accomplice: Instruction On Causation Principles
F 8.12c	Provocative Act Murder: Shooting In Response To “Drive-by”
F 8.12d	Provocative Act Doctrine: Victim’s Response Must Be Measured By A Reasonable Person In The Same Circumstances
F 8.12e	Provocative Act Doctrine: Victim’s Response Must Be Objectively Reasonable In Light Of All The Circumstances
F 8.20 n1	Cultural Background Relevant As To Premeditation/ Deliberation
F 8.20 n2	Premeditation/Deliberation: Purposeful Planning, Even If Delusional, Is Sufficient
F 8.20 n3	Premeditation/Deliberation: Consciousness Of Guilt: Does Not Prove Degree Of Crime
F 8.20 n4	Error To Instruct Jury That First Degree Murder Does Not Require Proof That Defendant Maturely And Meaningfully Reflected Upon The Gravity Of His Or Her Act
F 8.20 n5	Premeditation And Deliberation: Impulsive Behavior Caused By Mental Disorder Is Proper Subject Of Expert Opinion
F 8.20a	Definition Of Deliberation
F 8.20b	Premeditated and Deliberated Murder: Specification of Prosecution’s Burden
F 8.20c	Deliberate And Premeditated Murder: Deletion Of The Term “Precluding”
F 8.20d	Premeditated And Deliberated Murder: Requires More Than Specific Intent To Kill
F 8.20e	Deliberate And Premeditated Murder: Jury Consideration Of Lack Of Motive, Furtiveness Or Relationship Between Defendant And Victims
F 8.21 n1	Felony Murder: Rape Victim Must Be Alive (PC 189)
F 8.21 n2	Felony Murder: Court Must Instruct On Misdemeanor As LIO Of Burglary (PC 189)
F 8.21 n3	Felony Murder: Failure To Request Lesser Related Misdemeanor As IAC (PC 189)
F 8.21 n4	Felony Murder: Proposition 115 Due Process/Ex Post Facto Issues: “Yoshiato Window” (PC 189)
F 8.21 n5	Instruction Upon Felony Murder Improper Unless Charged (PC 189)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.21 n6	Duty To Instruct On Termination Of Underlying Felony (PC 189)
F 8.21 n7	Felony Murder: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct Upon Defenses, Etc. When Underlying Felony Is Not Substantively Charged (PC 189)
F 8.21 n8	Felony Murder: “Drive-By” Murder (PC 189)
F 8.21 n9	Carjacking As Predicate For First Degree Felony Murder (PC 189)
F 8.21 n10	Felony Murder: No Lesser Instruction Required For Felony Which Is Basis For Special Circumstance Allegation But Is Not Separately Charged
F 8.21 n11	Reduction Of Degree
F 8.21 n12	Felony Murder Requirement That Jury Be Instructed On Lesser-included Offense Of The Underlying Felony
F 8.21 n13	Felony Murder: Double Jeopardy Violated By Reliance On Predicate Felony For Which Prior Juvenile Conviction Had Previously Been Returned
F 8.21 n14	Felony Murder: Intent To Steal Upon Entry Does Not Make Homicide And Burglary One Continuous Transaction
F 8.21 n15	Felony Murder: Intent To Commit Misdemeanor Not Sufficient (PC 189)
F 8.21 n16	Felony Murder May Not Be Predicated On Intent To Evade Police Officer Resulting In Great Bodily Injury (GBI) (VC 2800.3)
F 8.21 n17	Felony Murder: Applicability Of Duress/Coercion To Underlying Felony
F 8.21a	Felony Murder: After-Acquired Intent Of Aider And Abetter (PC 189 & PC 459)
F 8.21b	Robbery Felony Murder After Acquired Intent Of Aider And Abettor (PC 189 & PC 211)
F 8.21c	First Degree Felony Murder: Inapplicable To Burglary (PC 189 & PC 459)
F 8.21d	Felony Murder: Not To Be Predicated Upon An Assault
F 8.21e	Felony Murder:Causation Requirement
F 8.21f	Felony Murder: Killing Must Be For An Independent Felonious Purpose
F 8.21g	No Felony Murder Liability Based On After-Acquired Intent To Commit Felony
F 8.21h	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.21i	Felony Murder: Specific Intent To Commit General Intent Offense
F 8.21.1 n1	Felony Murder Inapplicable To Pre-Proposition 115 Kidnapping For Robbery (PC 189, PC 209 & PC 211)
F 8.21.1 n2	Robbery Continues While Presence Of Victim Places Robber’s Safety In Jeopardy (PC 189, PC 209 & PC 211)
F 8.21.1 n3	“Temporary Safety” Rule Applicable To Burglary
F 8.21.1 n4	Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements
F 8.21.1 n5	Receiving Stolen Property: Applicability Of Temporary Safety Rule
F 8.21.1a	Robbery: When Still In Progress (PC 189 & PC 211)
F 8.21.1b	Robbery: When Still In Progress – Temporary Safety Defined (PC 189 & PC 211)
F 8.21.1c	Robbery: When Still In Progress – Momentary Safety (PC 189 & PC 211)
F 8.21.2 n1	Temporary Safety Rule: Factors To Consider
F 8.22 n1	Definition Of Bomb Or Explosive Device (PC 189)
F 8.22 n2	Murder By Destructive Device: Components Must Be An Explosive (PC 189)
F 8.22a	Murder By Destructive Device: Definition Of Explosive (PC 189)
F 8.23 n1	Murder By Poison: No Intent To Kill Required (PC 189)
F 8.23 n2	Murder By Poison: Consciousness Of Guilt: Does Not Prove Degree Of Crime (PC 189)
F 8.24 n1	Murder By Torture: Research Notes
F 8.24 n2	Murder By Torture: Consciousness Of Guilt: Does Not Prove Degree Of Crime (PC 189)
F 8.24 n3	Murder By Torture: “Sadistic Purpose” As Unconstitutionally Vague (PC 189)
F 8.24 n4	2nd Degree Torture Murder As LIO Of 1st Degree Torture Murder (PC 189)
F 8.24 n5	Murder By Torture: Legislative Alert
F 8.24a	Murder By Torture: Requirement That Victim Be Alive (PC 189)
F 8.24b	Torture: Definition Of Premeditation And Deliberation (PC 189)
F 8.24c	Sadistic Purpose: Definition (PC 189)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.24d	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.25 n1	Lying In Wait: Research Notes (PC 189)
F 8.25 n2	Lying In Wait: CJ 8.25 Approved (PC 189)
F 8.25 n3	Lying In Wait: Only Concealment Of Purpose Is Required (PC 189)
F 8.25 n4	8th Amendment Challenge To Lying In Wait Special (PC 189)
F 8.25 n5	Lying In Wait: No Need To Instruct On Substantial Period Of Waiting (PC 189)
F 8.25 n6	Lying In Wait: No Intent To Injure Is Required (PC 189)
F 8.25 n7	Lying In Wait: Premeditation And Deliberation Not Required (PC 189)
F 8.25 n8	Lying In Wait: Clarification Of Causal Relationship (PC 189)
F 8.25 n9	Lying In Wait: Consciousness Of Guilt: Does Not Prove Degree Of Crime (PC 189)
F 8.25 n10	Lying In Wait: No Requirement Of Temporal Proximity
F 8.25a	“Hot Anger” May Negate Mens Rea Of Lying In Wait (PC 189)
F 8.25.1 n1	Drive-by Murder: CJ 8.25.1 Relates To PC 189 Only
F 8.25.1 n2	Drive-By Murder: Not A Form Of Felony Murder (PC 189)
F 8.25.1 n3	Drive-By Murder: By Failing To Define Premeditation And Deliberation, CJ 8.25.1 Improperly Directs Verdict On First Degree Murder
F 8.25.1a	Drive-by Murder
F 8.26 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-July ‘98 Version
F 8.26 n2	Felony Murder Rule Inapplicable To Conspiracy To Commit Assault With A Deadly Weapon
F 8.26 n3	CJ 8.26 Improperly Expanded The California Felony Murder Rule
F 8.27 n1	Accomplice Felony Murder: Killing In Furtherance Of Common Design
F 8.27 n2	Accomplice Felony Murder Liability Violates Procedural And Substantive Due Process
F 8.27 n3	Felony Murder: After-Acquired Intent Of Aider and Abettor (PC 189 & PC 459)
F 8.27 n4	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 8.27 n5	Felony Murder: Aider And Abettor -- Failure To Give CJ 8.27 Sua Sponte As Reversible Error
F 8.27a	First Degree Felony-Murder: Limiting the Liability of Late Aiders and Abettors (PC 189)
F 8.27b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.30 n1	Unpremeditated Murder Of The Second Degree: Strong Evidence Of Premeditation Does Not Preclude Instruction On Second Degree As LIO
F 8.30 n2	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 8.30 n3	Second-Degree Murder Perpetrated By Shooting From Vehicle Is A Penalty Not A Substantive Crime
F 8.30a	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.31 n1	Intoxication May Negate Implied Malice
F 8.31 n2	Implied Malice Cross-Reference
F 8.31 n3	Implied Malice Theory Must Be Limited To The Perpetrator
F 8.31 n4	Improper To Instruct That Implied Malice May Be Inferred From Defendant’s Willful Consumption Of Alcohol With Knowledge That He/She Would Be Driving
F 8.31a	Second Degree Murder: High Probability Of Death
F 8.31b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.32 n1	Second Degree Felony Murder: Research Notes
F 8.32 n2	Second Degree Felony Murder: Inherent Dangerousness
F 8.32 n3	Second Degree Felony Murder: Substantial Risk vs. High Probability
F 8.32 n4	Second Degree Felony Murder: Retroactivity Of <u>Patterson</u>
F 8.32 n5	Inherent Dangerousness: Factual vs. Legal Question
F 8.32 n6	Second Degree Felony Murder: Duty To Instruct On Termination Of Underlying Felony
F 8.32 n7	Second Degree Felony Murder: Merger Doctrine
F 8.32 n8	May Intoxication Negate Implied Malice? (PC 188)
F 8.32 n9	Second Degree Felony Murder: Specific Offenses

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	a.	Felony Child Endangerment Insufficient For Felony Murder
	b.	Simple Kidnap Not Inherently Dangerous
	c.	Kidnapping for Robbery Is Inherently Dangerous
	d.	Commercial Burglary Not Inherently Dangerous
	e.	Furnishing PCP Is Not Inherently Dangerous
	f.	Reckless Or Malicious Possession Of A Bomb Is Inherently Dangerous
	g.	Driving With “Wanton Disregard” For Human Life Per VC 2800.2 Is An Inherently Dangerous Felony
	h.	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling (PC 246)
	i.	Manufacture Of Methamphetamine Is Inherently Dangerous
	j.	Extortion Not Inherently Dangerous
	k.	Poisoning Food, Drink or Medicine With Intent To Injure Is Inherently Dangerous
	l.	Willful and Malicious Burning Of Automobile Is Inherently Dangerous
	m.	False Imprisonment Not Inherently Dangerous
	n.	Possession of Concealable Firearm By Ex-Felon Not Inherently Dangerous
	o.	Escape From City Or County Penal Facility
	p.	Shooting At Occupied Vehicle (PC 246)
	q.	Grossly Negligent Discharge Of A Firearm That Could Result In Injury Or Death (PC 246.3) Is An Inherently Dangerous Felony
	r.	Evading Peace Officer Not Inherently Dangerous
F 8.32 n10		Second Degree Felony Murder: Definition Of Administer
F 8.32 n11		Conspiracy: Specification Of Elements And Intent -- Conspiracy To Commit Murder Requires Intent to Kill
F 8.32 n12		Second-Degree Felony Murder: Retroactive Judicial Determination Of Applicable Felony Violates Due Process
F 8.32a		Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.34 n1		“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 8.34a		Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.35 n1		Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.35.1 n1		Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.36 n1		Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.37a		Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.40 n1		Availability Of Intoxication/Mental Defect To Reduce Express Malice Murder To Voluntary Manslaughter (PC 192)
F 8.40 n2		Voluntary Manslaughter: Renewed Scrutiny Of Intent To Kill (PC 192)
F 8.40 n3		Mercy Killing As Voluntary Manslaughter (PC 192)
F 8.40 n4		Voluntary Manslaughter: Sua Sponte Instruction On Legal Definition Of Death (PC 192)
F 8.40 n5		Voluntary Manslaughter: Definition Of Viable Fetus (PC 192)
F 8.40 n6		<u>Saille</u> ’s Abolition Of Non-statutory Manslaughter Is Not A “New Rule of Law” (PC 192)
F 8.40 n7		Voluntary Manslaughter: Battered Woman/Child Syndrome (PC 192)
F 8.40 n8		Involuntary Manslaughter Not LIO Of Voluntary Manslaughter (PC 192)
F 8.40 n9		Unlawful Act Manslaughter As Lesser-Included Of Murder
F 8.40 n10		Voluntary Manslaughter: Consecutive Terms For Multiple Offenses During Single Transaction
F 8.40 n11		Provocation/Heat Of Passion Can Reduce Unintentional Implied Malice Murder To Manslaughter
F 8.40 n12		No Right To Voluntary Manslaughter Instruction When Defendant Denies Killing Under Oath
F 8.40 n13		Unreasonable Self-Defense With No Intent To Kill Is Voluntary Manslaughter (PC 192(b))
F 8.40 n14		Voluntary Manslaughter: Where Only Voluntary Manslaughter Is Charged

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Prosecution Need Not Disprove Heat Of Passion Or Imperfect Self-Defense	
F 8.40a	Homicide: Causation	
F 8.40b	Voluntary Manslaughter--Defined	
F 8.40c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim	
F 8.42 n1	Cultural Background Relevant As To Heat Of Passion (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42 n2	Heat Of Passion: Battered Woman/Child Syndrome (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42 n3	Heat Of Passion: Objective Standard In Light Of Defendant's Past Experience (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42 n4	Heat Of Passion: Evidence Necessary	
F 8.42 n5	Heat Of Passion: Defense Theory Of Accident Does Not Preclude Instruction On Provocation	
F 8.42 n6	Heat Of Passion: Provocation From A Party Other Than The Victim	
F 8.42 n7	Improper To Instruct On "Homicidal Reaction"	
F 8.42 n8	Duty To Instruct On Heat Of Passion When Defendant Has Not Testified	
F 8.42 n9	Failure To Instruct On Heat Of Passion In A Voluntary Manslaughter Case Is Not Prejudicial	
F 8.42a	Heat Of Passion: Sufficiency Of A Series Of Events Over A Period Of Time (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42b	Heat Of Passion: Burden Of Proof (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42c	Heat Of Passion: No Presumption Of Murder (PC 192(a))	
F 8.42d	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim	
F 8.42e	Heat Of Passion: Consideration Of Defendant's Culture	
F 8.42f	Heat Of Passion: Applicability To Aider And Abettor	
F 8.43a	Heat Of Passion: No Presumption Of Murder (PC 192(a))	
F 8.45 n1	Involuntary Manslaughter: Effect Of Treatment By Spiritual Means (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45 n2	Involuntary Manslaughter Based Upon Non-Inherently Dangerous Felony (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45 n3	Unreasonable Self-Defense With No Intent To Kill Is Voluntary Manslaughter (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45 n4	Aider And Abettor Must Intend To Aid And Abet The Act Committed By The Perpetrator (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45 n5	Involuntary Manslaughter As LIO Of Murder Based On Assault Or Battery Without Malice	
F 8.45 n6	Involuntary Manslaughter As LIO Of Murder Based On Heat Of Passion	
F 8.45 n7	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version	
F 8.45a	Involuntary Manslaughter: Causal Requirement (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45b	Involuntary Manslaughter: Defined – Non-Inherently Dangerous Felony (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45c	Involuntary Manslaughter: Dangerousness And Gross Negligence Elements (PC 192(b))	
F 8.45d	Involuntary Manslaughter: Elements of Unlawful Act Manslaughter	
F 8.45e	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim	
F 8.45f	Involuntary Manslaughter--Defined	
F 8.45g	Accidental Killing Resulting From Firing Of Weapon With Intent Only To Frighten	
F 8.45h	Involuntary Manslaughter: Elements Of Lawful Act Manslaughter	
F 8.46 n1	"Due Caution" Is Lesser Standard Than "Gross Negligence"	
F 8.47 n1	Failure To Sua Sponte Instruct On Intoxication Short Of Unconsciousness As Federal Constitutional Violation	
F 8.47 n2	Killing While Unconscious: Necessity Of Definition Of Unconscious	
F 8.47 n3	Involuntary Manslaughter When Defendant Has No Memory Of The Killing	
F 8.47 n4	Involuntary Manslaughter When Defendant Intentionally Commits An ADW Without Intent To Kill	
F 8.47 n5	Availability Of Unconsciousness When Defendant Was Aware Before And After The Killing	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.47a	Involuntary Manslaughter: Voluntary Intoxication Short Of Unconsciousness (PC 192)
F 8.47b	Negation Of Implied Malice By Intoxication
F 8.47c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.50 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.51 n1	Involuntary Manslaughter: Unlawful Act Must Be Dangerous Under The Circumstances
F 8.51a	Murder And Manslaughter Distinguished: Application Of Manslaughter To Dangerous Acts Whether Lawful Or Unlawful
F 8.51b	Improper Theory of Murder
F 8.55 n1	Pre-1992 Causation Instruction Created Improper Mandatory Presumption
F 8.55 n2	Instruction Required Sua Sponte Where Causation Is At Issue
F 8.55a	Homicide: Causation
F 8.55b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.58a	Homicide
F 8.65 n1	Transferred Intent Inapplicable When Equally Serious Crime Completed Against Intended Victim
F 8.65 n2	Transferred Intent: GBI Enhancement Distinguished
F 8.65 n3	Self-Defense May Be Transferred
F 8.65 n4	Transferred Intent Allows Conviction Of Attempted Murder As To Intended Victim And Murder As To Unintended Victim Who Is Killed
F 8.65 n5	Is Transferred Intent Applicable To Assault?
F 8.65 n6	Intent To Kill Multiple Victims: Inapplicability Of Transferred Intent
F 8.65a	Transferred Self-Defense
F 8.66 n1	Attempted Murder: No Special Verdict Form Required (PC 664 & PC 187)
F 8.66 n2	Attempted Murder By Aider/Abettor: Perpetrator Must Harbor Malice (PC 664 & PC 187)
F 8.66 n3	Voluntary Intoxication As A Complete Defense To Attempted Murder
F 8.66 n4	Degree Of Attempted Murder (PC 664 & PC 187)
F 8.66 n5	Attempted Murder: Failure To Charge Premeditation Is Jurisdictional Defect Requiring Reversal Even Without Objection At Trial (PC 664 and PC 187)
F 8.66 n6	Instruction On Impact Of Provocation Upon Premeditation
F 8.66 n7	Derivative Liability Not Applicable To Premeditation Enhancement Of Attempted Murder (PC 664(a))
F 8.66 n8	Assault With Intent To Commit Murder (PC 217) As A Form Of Attempted Murder
F 8.66 n9	Attempted Murder: Inconsistent With Attempt To Induce Witness To Give False Testimony (PC 137(b))
F 8.66 n10	Attempted Murder: Heat Of Passion Or Imperfect Self Defense Warrant Lesser Of Attempted Voluntary Manslaughter
F 8.66 n11	Enhancement For First Degree Murder -- Aider And Abettor Must Personally Premeditate And Deliberate
F 8.66 n12	Attempted Murder: Whether Absence Of Intended Victim Is A Defense
F 8.66 n13	Conspiracy To Commit Attempted Murder: Offense Does Not Exist
F 8.66a	Express Malice Cannot Be Presumed From Commission Of A Dangerous Crime (PC 664 & PC 187)
F 8.66b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.67 n1	Improper Instruction That Second Degree Attempted Murder Is A Lesser-Included Of First Degree Attempted Murder
F 8.67 n2	Federal Constitution Requires Treatment Of Premeditated Attempted Murder As Separate Offense Rather Than Enhancement
F 8.67 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.67 n4	Provocation May Negate Premeditation And Deliberation As To Attempted Murder
F 8.67 n5	Attempted Murder: Whether Absence Of Intended Victim Is A Defense
F 8.67 n6	Board Of Prison Terms Regulations Changed To Comply With <u>People v. Bright</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.67a	Attempted Murder: Premeditated Enhancement – Defendant Must Premeditate (PC 664(a))
F 8.67b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.68 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.69 n1	Conspiracy To Commit Murder
F 8.69a	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.72 n1	Doubt Whether Murder or Manslaughter (PC 187)
F 8.72a	Doubt Whether Voluntary Or Involuntary Manslaughter (PC 187)
F 8.73 n1	Heat Of Passion May Negate Lying In Wait (PC 187)
F 8.73 n2	Provocation And Degree Of Murder: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct (PC 187)
F 8.73 n3	Provocation And Degree Of Murder: “Requiring” Consideration (PC 187)
F 8.73a	Determining Degree of Murder: Relationship Of Provocation To Burden Of Proof (PC 187)
F 8.73b	Evidence Of Honest Duress May Be Considered In Determining Degree of Murder (PC 187)
F 8.73c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim (PC 187)
F 8.73d	Provocation May Negate Premeditation And Deliberation As To Attempted Murder
F 8.74 n1	Agreement As To Degree Of Murder Must Be Given Sua Sponte (PC 187)
F 8.74 n2	Murder: Specification Of Degree Not Necessary When Only Theory Is Felony Murder
F 8.75 n1	Partial Verdict: Discretion As To When To Instruct (PC 187)
F 8.75 n2	Partial Verdict: CJ 8.75 As Overly Confusing (PC 187)
F 8.75 n3	Partial Verdict: Verdict On Lesser Included Offense (PC 187)
F 8.75 n4	Partial Verdict: Dismissal Of Greater Offense In Response To Deadlock (PC 187)
F 8.75 n5	Partial Verdict: Whether CJ 8.75 Violates Due Process By Undermining CJ 8.71 And PC 1097 (PC 187)
F 8.75 n6	Constitutional Challenge To Acquittal First Rule (PC 187)
F 8.75 n7	Partial Verdict: Order of Deliberation May Influence Verdict (PC 187)
F 8.75 n8	Specification Of Degree Of Murder On Verdict Form (PC 187)
F 8.75a	Return Of Partial Verdict: Requirement That Jury Consider Lesser Offenses (PC 187)
F 8.75b	Jury Deadlock: Jurors Not To Surrender Honest Belief For Purpose Of Returning Verdict (PC 187)
F 8.80 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.80a	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.80.1 n1	Special Circumstances Requiring Intent To Kill (PC 190.2)
F 8.80.1 n2	“Major” Participant Special: Constitutional Challenge
F 8.80.1 n3	Ex Post Facto Limitations To “Major” Participant Special
F 8.80.1 n4	Special Circumstances: Relationship Of Causation To “Major” Participant Special
F 8.80.1 n5	“Major” Participant Special Circumstance: Applicable To Both Death And LWOP Cases
F 8.80.1 n6	“Major” Participant Special Circumstance: Requires Subjective Appreciation Of The Life-Threatening Risk, Even If Defendant Was A Major Participant
F 8.80.1 n7	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: Definition Of Reckless Indifference
F 8.80.1 n8	Constitutional Challenges To “Drive-By” Special Circumstance
F 8.80.1 n9	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.80.1 n10	Major Participant Special: Standing By Is Insufficient
F 8.80.1 n11	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: Phrase “Major Participant” Not Unconstitutionally Vague
F 8.80.1a	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: Definition Of “Major Participant”
F 8.80.1b	Definition Of Reckless Indifference Requires Knowledge That The Felony Carries A Higher Probability Of Death Than Normally Attends Such Crime (PC 190.2(d))
F 8.80.1c	Reckless Indifference: Factors For The Jury To Consider
F 8.80.1d	Requirement that Actual Killer Be Major Participant In Predicate Felony and Have Reckless Indifference to Human Life

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.80.1e	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: Elements Should Be Specified And Included In CJ 8.81.17
F 8.80.1f	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.81.1 n1	Financial Gain Need Not Be the Dominant Motive But <u>Green</u> Rule May Apply (PC 190.2(a)(1))
F 8.81.1 n2	Financial Gain Special: No Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct As To Concurrence Of Intent (PC 190.2(a)(1))
F 8.81.1 n3	Financial Gain Special: Role Of Motive Instruction (CJ 2.51) (PC 190.2(a)(1))
F 8.81.1 n4	Victim's Death Must Be Essential Prerequisite Of The Financial Gain (PC 190.2(a)(1))
F 8.81.1 n5	Financial Gain Special: Imputation Of Motive To Aider And Abettor
F 8.81.2 n1	Prior Murder Special: Defense Of Duress Should Apply (PC 190.2(a)(2))
F 8.81.2 n2	Prior Murder Special Circumstance (PC § 190.2(a)(2)): Overbreadth Constitutional Challenge
F 8.81.2 n3	Prior Murder Special Circumstance: Applicability To Prior Juvenile Murder Conviction
F 8.81.2 n4	Prior Murder Special: Offender's Age At Time Of Prior Offense Not Relevant For Purposes Of Sentencing Under PC 190.2(a)(2)
F 8.81.3 n1	Multiple Murder Special: Defense Of Duress Should Apply (PC 190.2(a)(3))
F 8.81.3 n2	Multiple Murder Special: Overbreadth Constitutional Challenge
F 8.81.4 n1	Murder By Destructive Device, Etc. (PC 190.2(a)(4) & PC 190.2(a)(6))
F 8.81.4 n2	Murder By Destructive Device, Etc. (PC 190.2(a)(4) & PC 190.2(a)(6))
F 8.81.4a	Special Circumstances: Murder By Bomb Or Explosive -- Definition Of Explosive (PC 190.2(a)(4) & PC 190.2(a)(6))
F 8.81.4b	Definition Of Destructive Device (PC 12301/PC 12303.2/ PC 12303.3/PC 12303.6/PC 12312)
F 8.81.4c	Destructive Device: Definition Of Delivery
F 8.81.4d	Explosive Special: "Should Know" Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant's Position
F 8.81.4e	Destructive Device Special Circumstance: Improper To Direct Verdict On Element
F 8.81.4f	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.81.5a	Special Circumstances -- Murder of Peace Officer: Required Specific Intent To Avoid Arrest Or To Escape
F 8.81.5b	Special Circumstances: Avoidance-Of-Arrest
F 8.81.7 n1	Killing A Peace Officer: (PC 190.2 (a)(7) Is Included In Killing To Avoid Arrest (PC 190.2 (a)(5))
F 8.81.7 n2	Peace Officer Special Requires Actual Knowledge
F 8.81.7a	Peace Officer, Etc. Special: "Should Know" Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant's Position
F 8.81.7b	Killing Peace Officer In Retaliation For Performance Of Duties: Subjective Standard (PC 190.2(a)(7); PC 190.2(a)(8))
F 8.81.7c	Federal Law Enforcement Office or Agent Special Circumstance: Improper To Direct Verdict On Element
F 8.81.8 n1	Peace Officer Victim: "Performance Of Duties Defined" (PC 190.2(a)(7)/PC 190.2(a)(8)/PC 190.1(a)(9))
F 8.81.8 n2	Federal Officer Special Requires Actual Knowledge
F 8.81.8 n3	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 8.81.8a	Peace Officer Special Circumstance: Proper Warrant Service As Factual Issue (PC 190.2(a)(7))
F 8.81.10 n1	Witness Murder Special: ("Yoshiato Window" (Prop 115)) (PC 190.2(a)(10))
F 8.81.10 n2	Witness Murder Special: Definition Of "Juvenile Proceeding" (PC 190.2(a)(10))
F 8.81.10 n3	Witness Murder Special: Elements Of CJ 8.81.10 Approved (PC 190.2(a)(10))
F 8.81.10 n4	Witness Murder Special: Prevention of Testimony Need Not Be Predominant Purpose Of The Murder
F 8.81.10 n5	Witness Murder Special: Not Limited To Eyewitnesses

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.81.10 n6	Witness Murder Special: No Requirement That Victim Be An Eyewitness To A Prior Crime
F 8.81.10 n7	Witness Murder Special: Content Of Testimony Irrelevant
F 8.81.11a	Murder Of Judge/Prosecutor, Etc: Intent To Kill Required (PC 190.2(a)(11) & PC 190.2(a)(12))
F 8.81.15 n1	Lying In Wait Special: Constitutional Challenge
F 8.81.15 n2	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 8.81.15 n3	Lying In Wait Special Circumstance: Requirement That Time Concealed Be “Substantial”
F 8.81.15a	Lying-In-Wait Special Circumstance: Lethal Acts Must “Flow Continuously”
F 8.81.17 n1	Felony Murder Special: Independent Felonious Intent (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n2	Felony Murder Special: “Merely Incidental” Not Ambiguous (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n3	Felony Murder Special: <u>Green</u> Applies To Arson (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n4	Felony Murder Special: Whether <u>Green</u> Instruction Must Be Given Sua Sponte (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n5	Felony Murder Special: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct Upon Defenses, Etc. When Underlying Felony Is Not Substantively Charged (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n6	Felony Murder Special: Intent To Kill For Aider And Abettor (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n7	Felony Murder Special: When Intent To Kill Required Per <u>Carlos</u> (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n8	Felony Murder Special: Disjunctive Instruction As To Paragraphs 1 And 2 Is Error (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n9	Felony Murder Special: Reckless Indifference As Unconstitutionally Vague (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n10	Felony Murder Special: “ <u>Yoshiato Window</u> ” (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n11	Felony Murder Special: All Felony Murders Subject To Special Circumstance Liability (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n12	Overbreadth Constitutional Challenge To Felony Murder Special Circumstance (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n13	Felony Murder Special: No Lesser Instruction Required For Felony Which Is Basis For Special Circumstance Allegation But Is Not Separately Charge (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n14	Duplication Of Elements In Felony Murder Special Circumstance As 8th Amendment Violation (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n15	Collateral Estoppel Precludes Re-litigation Of Underlying Felony And Felony Murder Convictions (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17 n16	Felony Murder Special: Specific Intent To Commit Underlying Offense Not Required
F 8.81.17 n17	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 8.81.17a	Felony Murder Special Circumstances Application Of Merger (<u>Ireland</u>) Doctrine (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17b	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: “Still-In-Progress” Instruction Inapplicable To Crimes Other Than Robbery (PC 190.2(a)(17))
F 8.81.17c	Felony Murder Special Circumstance: <u>Green</u> Pinpoint Instruction
F 8.81.17d	Accident Should Be Defense To Felony Murder Special Circumstance
F 8.81.17e	Felony Murder Special: Specification Of Reckless Indifference, Major Participant And Actual Killer Elements A. Sequence B. Enumeration of elements C. Constitutional Basis
F 8.81.17f	Felony Murder: Specific Intent To Commit General Intent Offense
F 8.81.18 n1	Torture Special Circumstance: Intent To Kill (PC 190.2(a)(18))
F 8.81.18 n2	Torture Special Circumstance: Victim Must Be Alive (PC 190.2(a)(18))
F 8.81.18 n3	Torture Special Circumstance: Sadistic Purpose (PC 190.2(a)(18))
F 8.81.18 n4	Torture Special: “Sadistic Purpose As Unconstitutionally Vague (PC 190.2(a)(18))
F 8.81.18 n5	Torture Special: Proposition 115 Due Process/Ex Post Facto Issues: “ <u>Yoshiato Window</u> ” (PC 190.2(a)(18))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.81.18 n6	No Causation Element For Torture-Murder Special Circumstance
F 8.81.18 n7	Torture Murder Special Circumstance: Requirement That Murder Was Intentional
F 8.81.18 n8	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 8.81.18a	Distinction Between Special Circumstance And PC 189 Torture Eliminated (PC 190.2(a)(18))
F 8.81.18b	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.81.19a	Poison Special Circumstance: Improper To Direct Verdict On Element
F 8.81.19b	Poison Special Circumstance: Intent To Kill (PC 190.2(a)(19))
F 8.81.20a	Murder Of Juror Special Circumstance: Improper To Direct Verdict On Element
F 8.81.21 n1	Drive-By Murder Special Circumstance: Constitutional Challenge
F 8.82 n1	Murder With Prior Conviction Of Murder (PC 190.1(b)/PC 190.2(a)(2)/PC 190.2(b)) Intent To Kill Is Required Element Of Prior Murder For Accomplices (PC 190.2(b))
F 8.82 n2	Murder With Prior Conviction Of Murder (PC 190.1(b)/PC 190.2(a)(2)/ PC 190.2(b)) No Intent to Kill Required For Prior Murder Special As To Actual Killer (PC 190.2(a))
F 8.82 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.82 n4	Murder With Prior Conviction Of Murder: Inapplicable To Conviction From Another Jurisdiction Which Could Not Have Been Tried As Murder In California
F 8.83 n1	Special Circumstances: Circumstantial Evidence
F 8.83.1 n1	Special Circumstances: Circumstantial Evidence
F 8.83.2a	Jury Not To Consider Penalty: Modification When Witness Testifies Pursuant To Bargain With Prosecution
F 8.83.2b	Jury Must Not Consider Penalty Between Guilt And Penalty Phase Trials
F 8.83.2c	Capital Case Preinstruction: Jury Must Not Consider Penalty During Guilt Phase
F 8.83.3a	Accomplice Corroboration: Applicability To Statements And Testimony
F 8.83.4 n1	Proof Of Corpus Delicti Independent Of Admission Or Confession
F 8.83.4a	Corpus Delicti Must Be Proved Independent Of Admission Or Confession -- Special Circumstance Trial
F 8.84 n1	Unconstitutional Vagueness of Sentencing Factors
F 8.84 n2	No Right to Factual Or Legal Findings When Penalty Trial Is Conducted By The Court
F 8.84 n3	Admission Of Evidence Regarding Prior Death Sentence Does Not Violate 8th Amendment
F 8.84 n4	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED
F 8.84 n5	Instruction As To Meaning Of LWOP: Sample Argument When Instruction Refused
F 8.84a ¶ 2	Instruction As To Meaning Of LWOP
F 8.84b	Juries Should Assume That Death Sentence Will Be Carried Out
F 8.84.1 n1	Applicability Of Guilt Phase Instructions
F 8.84.1 n2	Applicability Of Guilt Phase Instruction
F 8.84.1 n3	Applicability Of Guilt Phase Instructions
F 8.84.1 n4	Applicability Of Guilt Phase Instructions: Limitation On Bad Character Evidence Presented At Guilt Phase
F 8.84.1 n5	No Duty To Instruct Sua Sponte On Presumption Of Innocence Or Prosecutorial Burden At Penalty Phase
F 8.84.1 n6	Should Jury Be Reinstucted With Guilt Phase Instructions At The Penalty Trial
F 8.84.1 n7	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 8.84.1 Inst 1	Cautionary & Limiting Penalty Instructions: When Expert’s Opinion Of Death Penalty Is Elicited
F 8.84.1 Inst 2	Jury May Not Consider Deterrence Or Monetary Cost
F 8.84.1 Inst 3	Jury Does Not Have Duty To Reach A Verdict
F 8.85 n1	Overbreadth Of Statutory Array: Failure Of California Statute To Perform Constitutionally Mandated Narrowing Function

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.85 n2	Aggravating Factors In Capital Sentencing Statutes
F 8.85 n3	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED
F 8.85 n4	Death Penalty: Confusing Jury Instruction Regarding Possibility Of Parole
F 8.85 n5	Instruction Improperly Suggests That The Jury May Not Consider Pity As A Mitigating Factor
F 8.85 n6	Jury's Consideration Of Mitigating Evidence May Be Guided
F 8.85 n7	Jurors Should Not Simply Count Up The Factors
F 8.85 n8	Consciousness Of Guilt Inapplicable To Penalty Trial
F 8.85 n9	Without Further Clarification, Factor (a) Is Vague And Ambiguous
F 8.85 n10	Vagueness Of Aggravating Factors
F 8.85 n11	Vagueness Of Sentencing Factors
F 8.85 n12	Miscellaneous Issues
F 8.85 n13	(Instruction 1): Scope and Proof Of Mitigation
F 8.85 n14	(Instruction 6): Aggravation Limited To Enumerated Factors
F 8.85 n15	(Instruction 7): No Double Counting Of Aggravating Factors Which Are Specials
F 8.85 n16	(Instruction 10): Lingering Doubt As To Extent Of Defendant's Guilt
F 8.85 n17	Vagueness Of Sentencing Factors
F 8.85 n18	Death Penalty Mitigation: Effect Of Death Penalty On The Defendant's Family
F 8.85 n19	Instruction That Jurors Cannot Place Themselves In The Shoes Of The Victim Or Defendant
F 8.85 n20	Aggravation -- Use Of Firearm During Homicide Is Not An Aggravating Factor
F 8.85 n21	Death Penalty: Mitigation Investigation
F 8.85 n22	No Instruction On Inapplicable Factors
F 8.85 n23	Victim Impact Evidence: Preparation, Discovery and Investigation
F 8.85 n24	Misleading Commutation Instruction Unconstitutionally Discourages Jury From Giving Due Weight To Mitigation
F 8.85 Inst 1	Scope And Proof Of Mitigation: General
F 8.85 Inst 2	Scope And Proof Of Mitigation: Sympathy Alone Is Sufficient To Reject Death
F 8.85 Inst 3	Scope Of Mitigation: No Mitigation Necessary To Reject Death
F 8.85 Inst 4	Individual Juror Determination Of Aggravation And Mitigation
F 8.85 Inst 5	Disregard Inapplicable Factors: Absence Of Mitigation Is Not Aggravation
F 8.85 Inst 6	Aggravation Limited To Enumerated Factors
F 8.85 Inst 7	No Double Counting Of Aggravating Factors Which Are Specials
F 8.85 Inst 8	Cautionary & Limiting: Victim Impact
F 8.85 Inst 9	Lingering Doubt As To Guilt
F 8.85 Inst 10	Lingering Doubt As To Extent Of Defendant's Guilt
F 8.85 Inst 11	Consideration Of Limited-Purpose Evidence For Mitigation At Penalty Trial
F 8.85 Inst 12	Jury To Disregard Specified Evidence From The Guilt Trial
F 8.85 Inst 13	Cautionary Instruction Regarding Charts, Graphs, Summaries, Etc.
F 8.85 Inst 14	Individualized Consideration For Accomplice
F 8.85 Inst 15	Defendant's Role As Accomplice Rather Than Perpetrator As Mitigation
F 8.85 Inst 16	No Adverse Inference As To Penalty From Failure Of Defendant To Testify At Guilt Or Penalty Trial
F 8.85 Inst 17	Jury Consideration Of Mercy Based On Religious Or Deeply Held Beliefs
F 8.85(b) n1	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes (PC 190.3(b))
F 8.85(b) n2	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct Upon Available Defenses
F 8.85(b) n3	Cure Of Invalid Aggravating Factor
F 8.85(b) n4	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Applicable Only To Crimes Directed Against A Person
F 8.85(b) n5	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Sua Sponte Duty To Instruct On Elements
F 8.85(b) n6	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Duty To Instruct On Elements Applies Where Evidence Presented At Guilt Phase
F 8.85(b) n7	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Escape Must Be Violent
F 8.85(b) n8	Applicability Of Accomplice Instructions To Penalty Phase
F 8.85(b) n9	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Continuous Course Of Criminal Activity/Conspiracy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 8.85(b) n10	Accomplice Testimony Regarding Factor (b) Evidence
F 8.85(b) n11	Defense Theory That Prior Criminal Activity Or Convictions Are Mitigating
F 8.85(b) n12	Prior Violent Criminal Activity (PC 190.3(b)): Consideration Of Greater Uncharged Offense
F 8.85(b) n13	Unadjudicated Violent Crimes: Applicability To Arson
F 8.85(b) Inst 1	Absence Of Violent Criminal Activity As Mitigation (PC 190.3(b))
F 8.85(b) Inst 2	Death Penalty: Jury May Not Consider Non-Violent Acts Or Juvenile Adjudications
F 8.85(c) n1	Prior Felony Convictions: Instructions Given Must Be Correct
F 8.85(c) n2	Defense Theory That Prior Criminal Activity Or Convictions Are Mitigating
F 8.85(c) Inst 1	Absence Of Prior Felony Conviction As Mitigation (PC 190.3(c))
F 8.85(c) Inst 2	Prior Felony Conviction: Clarification Of The Term “Prior”
F 8.85(d) Inst 1	Extreme Mental Or Emotional Disturbance (PC 190.3(d)): Reasonable Person Standard Inapplicable
F 8.85(h) n1	Error to Delete “Factor h” When Record Contains Evidence of Intoxication
F 8.85(h) Inst 1	Mental Impairment Not Limited To Excuse Or Negation Of An Element
F 8.85(i) Inst 1	Age: Death Eligibility Begins At 18
F 8.85(i) Inst 2	Age Includes Psychological Immaturity
F 8.85(j) n1	Minor Participation By Accomplice As Mitigation Only
F 8.85(j) Inst 1	Consideration of Leniency Received By Accomplice
F 8.85(k) n1	Reliable Mitigating Evidence Is Admissible At Penalty Phase
F 8.85(k) n2	Defendant’s Courtroom Demeanor As Basis For Sympathy
F 8.85(k) n3	Instruction On Remorse
F 8.85(k) n4	Death Penalty: Denial Of Guilt Does Not Demonstrate Absence Of Remorse
F 8.85(k) n5	Cultural Defense Issues
F 8.85(k) n6	Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS) As Mitigating Factor
F 8.85(k) Inst 1	Defendant’s Background Is Mitigating Only
F 8.87 Inst 1	Clarification of Statutory Scheme In Light Of Victim Impact Evidence
F 8.87 Inst 2	No Prosecution Right To Non-Unanimity Instruction
F 8.87 Inst 3	Jury Must Determine Whether Unadjudicated Acts Were “Violent” And “Criminal”
F 8.87 Inst 4	Unanimity Not A Requisite To Consideration Of Mitigating Evidence
F 8.88 n1	Use of the Term “Totality” Improperly Implies That One Mitigating Factor May Not Outweigh All Factors In Aggravation
F 8.88 n2	Federal Constitutional Challenge To Pre-Brown Sentencing Instruction
F 8.88 n3	¶3 (Issue #9): Even if Aggravation Outweighs Mitigation Jury May Still Vote for Life
F 8.88 n4	Right To Instruction That Death Is The Greater Penalty
F 8.88a	Law Gives No Preference As To Appropriate Penalty
F 8.88b	Life Verdict Permissible Even If Aggravating Factor Found
F 8.88c	Consequences Of Failure To Agree At Penalty: Jury Should Be Informed That Guilt And Special Circumstance Verdicts Will Not Be Affected
F 8.88d	Single Mitigating Circumstance Sufficient For Life Verdict
F 8.90 n1	Non-Intoxication: Research Notes. (PC 192(c)(1) & PC 192(c)(2))
F 8.90 n2	Non-Intoxication: Sua Sponte Instruction On Legal Definition Of Death (PC 192(c)(1) & PC 192(c)(2))
F 8.90 n3	Non-Intoxication: Definition Of Viable Fetus (PC 192(c)(1) & PC 192(c)(2))
F 8.90 n4	Non-Intoxication Vehicular Manslaughter: Act Must Be Dangerous As Committed
F 8.90 n5	Vehicular Manslaughter - Non-Intoxication: Violation Of Basic Speed Law Not Inherently Dangerous
F 8.90 n6	Vehicular Manslaughter Inapplicable To Death Of Fetus
F 8.90a	Vehicular Manslaughter: Dangerousness And Negligence Elements (PC 192(c))
F 8.90b	Gross Negligence Requires More Than Violation Of A Traffic Law
F 8.90c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 8.90d	Vehicular Manslaughter Involving Drugs Or Alcohol But Not Intoxication
F 8.92 n1	Vehicular Manslaughter: Research Notes (PC 192)
F 8.92 n2	Vehicular Manslaughter: Imminent Peril Instruction Required Upon

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Request But Not Sua Sponte (PC 192)	
F 8.93 n1	Vehicular Manslaughter: Research Notes (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n2	Definition Of Vehicular Manslaughter While Intoxicated (PC 191.5 & PC 192(c)(3))	
F 8.93 n3	Vehicular Manslaughter: Prosecution Burden To Prove No Irreversible Cessation Of Circulatory, Respiratory And Brain Functions (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n4	Vehicular Manslaughter: Multi-Victim Enhancement (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n5	Vehicular Manslaughter: Driver Has Duty To Assure Passenger’s Seatbelts Are Fastened (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n6	Vehicular Manslaughter: Constitutional Challenge Based On Overly Broad Language (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n7	Vehicular Manslaughter: Intoxication As Proof Of Gross Negligence (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n8	Vehicular Manslaughter While Intoxicated: DUI With Injury And Vehicular Manslaughter As Lesser Offenses (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n9	Reasonableness Of Pursuing Officer’s Conduct Not Relevant To Causation In Vehicular Manslaughter Charge	
F 8.93 n10	Vehicular Manslaughter as LIO Of Murder (PC 191.5)	
F 8.93 n11	Vehicular Manslaughter While Intoxicated: Differing Blood Alcohol Levels Required For Conviction Based On Age Does Not Violate Equal Protection And Is Not Vague	
F 8.93 n12	Vehicular Manslaughter: Elements	
F 8.93a	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim	
F 8.94 n1	Gross Negligence: Objective Test	
F 8.94 n2	Gross Negligence: No Error To Give Pre-1992 Version Of CJ	
F 8.94 n3	Improper To Instruct That Implied Malice May Be Inferred From Defendant’s Willful Consumption Of Alcohol With Knowledge That He/She Would Be Driving	
F 8.94a	Jury To Consider Overall Circumstances: Subjective Awareness Of The Defendant (PC 191.5; PC 192(c)(3))	
F 8.95 n1	Vehicular Manslaughter: Speed Laws -- Improper Mandatory Presumption	
F 8.95 n2	Improper Presumption That Violation Of Basic Speed Law Is Inherently Dangerous To Human Life	
F 8.95 n3	Vehicular Manslaughter: Speed Laws -- Definition Of Basic Speed Law	
F 8.95a	Basic Speed Law	
F 8.95b	Basic Speed Law: Requirement That Speed Endangered People Or Property Or Was Unreasonable Under Particular Circumstances	
F 8.96 n1	Speed Laws: Improper Mandatory Presumption	
F 8.96a	Prima Facie Speed Limits	
F 8.97 n1	Speed Laws: Improper Mandatory Presumption	
F 8.97 n2	Vehicular Manslaughter - Non-Intoxication: Violation Of Basic Speed Law Not Inherently Dangerous	
F 8.97a	Maximum Speed Law: Deletion Of “Inherently Dangerous To Human Life” Language	

Death Penalty

DP I	Goals and Format	§DP-1
DP II	Checklist of Selected 6th/8th/14th Amendment Principles	DP-2
	(1) Challenge To California Death Eligibility Scheme	DP-3
	(2) Instruction On Mitigation	DP-4
	(3) Counsel’s Duty To Seek Life Even Against Defendant’s Wishes	DP-5
	(4) Death Penalty: Duty Of Counsel To Investigate Mental Impairment As Mitigation	DP-6
	(5) Death Eligibility Determination Requires Full Due Process And Trial By Jury Protections	DP-7
	(6) Whether <u>Appendi</u> Requires Jury Trial At Capital	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
	Sentencing Determination	DP-8
	(7) Death Is Different	DP-9
DP III(A)	HOW TO USE THE CHECKLIST	DP-10
DP III(B)	ISSUE CHECKLIST: SELECTED SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES	DP-11
	(1) Multiple Murder	DP-12
	(2) Murder By Bomb Or Explosive	DP-13
	(3) Murder Of Peace Officer	DP-14
	(4) Murder Of Witness A Juvenile Proceeding	DP-15
	(5) Murder Of Judge/Prosecutor	DP-16
	(6) Lying In Wait	DP-17
	(7) Felony Murder	DP-18
	(8) Torture Special Circumstance	DP-19
	(9) Prior Murder	DP-20
	(10) Jury Not To Consider Penalty	DP-21
	(11) Accomplice Corroboration	DP-22
	(12) Proof of Corpus	DP-23
DP III(C)	INSTRUCTION CHECKLIST: PENALTY PHASE ISSUES	DP-24
	(1) Adverse Inference From Defendant’s Failure To Testify More Fully	DP-25
	(2) Commutation, Parole & Judicial Review	DP-26
	(3) Jury Must Determine Penalty	DP-27
	(4) Applicability of Guilt Phase Instructions	DP-28
	(5) Must Not Be Influenced By Bias, Etc.	DP-29
	(6) Penalty Trial – Inapplicable Factors	DP-30
	(7) Circumstances Of Crime And Specials	DP-31
	(8) Unadjudicated Violent Crimes	DP-32
	(9) Prior Felony Convictions	DP-33
	(10) Extreme Mental Or Emotional Disturbance	DP-34
	(11) Victim as Participant	DP-35
	(12) Moral Justification	DP-36
	(13) Duress	DP-37
	(14) Diminished Capacity	DP-38
	(15) Age	DP-39
	(16) Accomplice And Limited Participation	DP-40
	(17) Any Other Mitigation	DP-41
	(18) Proof Of Other Crimes	DP-42
	(19) Concluding	DP-43
	(20) Concluding	DP-44
DP IV	SELECTED PROPOSED INSTRUCTIONS	DP-45

CALJIC Part 9 - 17

F 9.00 n1	Assault: Mens Rea (PC 240)
F 9.00 n2	Assault: Intoxication Not A Defense (PC 240)
F 9.00 n3	Assault: Nature of the Injury (PC 240)
F 9.00 n4	Assault: Natural and Probable Consequences (PC 240)
F 9.00 n5	Transferred Intent Not Applicable To Assault
F 9.00 n6	Can Assault With Hands Or Fists Be ADW
F 9.00 n7	Assault: No Intent Required As To A Particular Victim
F 9.00 n8	Assault / ADW
F 9.00 n9	Post- <u>Colantuono</u> Instruction Improperly Permits Conviction Based On Negligence
F 9.00 n10	Outline Of Defenses To Assault Crimes
F 9.00 n11	Assault: Shooting At Occupied Vehicle Does Not Constitute Assault
F 9.00 n12	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.00 n13	Assault: Multiple Victims

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.00 n14	Assault: Requirement Of Overt Act
F 9.00 n15	Assault: Knowledge Of The Act's Potential For Injury
F 9.00a	Assault: Intent And Knowledge -- Required Elements
F 9.00b	Assault: Knowledge Of The Act's Potential For Injury
F 9.00c	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED
F 9.00d	Defendant's Knowledge Of Consequences: Consideration Only Of Circumstances Known To Defendant When The Act Was Committed
F 9.00e	Assault: Application Of Physical Force Requires Actual Touching
F 9.00f	Assault: Consideration Of Whether Or Not Actual Injury Occurred
F 9.00g	Conditional Assault
F 9.00h	Assault and/or Battery On Public Transportation (PC 241.3; PC 243.35)
F 9.00i	Assault: Requires Either Intent To Commit Battery Or An Act "Substantially Certain" To Result In A Battery
F 9.00j	Assault: Recklessness Insufficient
F 9.01 n1	Assault: Present Ability Defined (PC 240)
F 9.01a	Assault: Present Ability Defined -- Unloaded Gun (PC 240)
F 9.01b	Assault: Application Of Physical Force Requires Actual Touching
F 9.02 n1	ADW: Juror Unanimity (PC 245(a)(1) & PC 245(a)(2))
F 9.02 n2	ADW: Failure To Define Assault As Reversible Error
F 9.02 n3	An Assault With Hands or Fists Not ADW
F 9.02 n4	Assault (PC 240) As Lesser Offense To Robbery (PC 211)
F 9.02 n5	Aggravated Assault: Unprotected Consensual Sexual Intercourse By HIV Positive Individual
F 9.02 n6	Aggravated Assault: Consent Is Generally Not Relevant
F 9.02a	Determination Of Deadly Weapon: Two-Pronged Jury Finding Required
F 9.02b	Assault With Deadly Weapon: Bare Hands Or Bare Feet Are Not Deadly Weapons (PC 245(a))
F 9.02c	Assault With Deadly Weapon: Bare Hands Or Feet Are Not Deadly Weapons (PC 245(a))
F 9.02d	Assault With Feet: Footwear As Deadly Weapon
F 9.02e	Assault: Recklessness Insufficient
F 9.02.1 n1	Assault With Assault Weapons: Applies To Firearms Not Just Rifles (PC 245(a)(3) & PC 245(b))
F 9.02.1a	Definition Of "Assault Weapon"
F 9.03 n1	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Lesser Offense Of Violating City Ordinance (PC 246)
F 9.03 n2	Shooting At Occupied Motor Vehicle (PC 246)
F 9.03 n3	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Auxiliary Structures (PC 246)
F 9.03 n4	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Multiple Rooms(PC 246)
F 9.03 n5	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Required Intent (PC 246)
F 9.03 n6	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Liability For Second Degree Murder (PC 246)
F 9.03 n7	Shooting At An Occupied Vehicle: ADW Is Not An LIO (PC 246)
F 9.03 n8	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Shooting Within A Building
F 9.03 n9	Inhabited Dwelling Defined: Occupant Must Be Alive
F 9.03 n10	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.03a	Shooting At An Inhabited Dwelling: Temporarily Absent Occupant Must Intend To Continue Living In The Dwelling (PC 246)
F 9.03b	Definition Of Inhabited Dwelling (PC 246)
F 9.03c	Negation Of Knowledge Element (PC 246)
F 9.03d	Shooting At Inhabited Dwelling: Requirement Of Reckless Disregard Of Probable Consequences
F 9.03e	Shooting At An Inhabited Dwelling: Definition Of "Maliciously" (PC 246)
F 9.03.1a	Shooting At Unoccupied Aircraft: Requirement Of Reckless Disregard Of Probable Consequences

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.03.2a	Shooting At Unoccupied Motor Vehicle or Uninhabited Structure: Requirement Of Reckless Disregard Of Probable Consequences
F 9.03.3 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.03.3a	Grossly Negligent Discharge of Firearm (PC 246.3)
F 9.03.3b	Negation Of Knowledge Element
F 9.04 n1	Permitting A Person In A Vehicle With A Firearm (PC 12034)
F 9.04 n2	Discharge Of Firearm From A Vehicle (PC 12034): Intent Required
F 9.04 n3	Discharge Of Firearm From Motor Vehicle (PC 12034): Whether “Permit” Is Unconstitutionally Vague
F 9.07 n1	Exhibiting Firearm in Vehicle (PC 245(a)(2)/PC 417(a)(2)/PC 417.3)
F 9.07 n2	Felony Brandishing -- Defendant Need Not Be in Vehicle (PC 245(a)(2)/PC 417(a)(2)/PC 417.3)
F 9.07 n3	Brandishing -- Definition of “Rude” (PC 245(a)(2)/PC 417(a)(2)/PC 417.3)
F 9.07 n4	Brandishing Weapon To Avoid Arrest
F 9.07 n5	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.07a	Drawing Or Exhibiting Firearm In Presence Of Motor Vehicle Occupant
F 9.08a	Assault With Deadly Weapon: Bare Hands Or Feet Are Not Deadly Weapons (PC 245(a))
F 9.08b	Assault With Feet: Footwear As Deadly Weapon
F 9.08c	Assault With Hands Or Fists: Clarification Of The Burden
F 9.10 n1	Attempted Assault Resulting In Assault On Another
F 9.11a	Insulting Words--Not Justification For Assault
F 9.12 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.15a	Aggravated Trespass: Definition Of Credible Threat (PC 601(a))
F 9.16 n1	CJ 9.16 was deleted by the CJ Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). For treatment of stalking (PC 646.9(b)) see CJ 9.16.1, CJ 9.16.2 and CJ 16.480 as well as FORECITE Notes and Instructions under those numbers.
F 9.16.1 n1	Stalking (PC 646.9(b))
F 9.16.1 n2	Constitutionality Of Stalking Statute (PC 646.9(a))
F 9.16.1 n3	Stalking: Intent to Carry Out The Threat
F 9.16.1 n4	Harassment May Be Committed In A Single Course Of Conduct.
F 9.16.1 n5	Stalking: Victim Must Be Subjectively Aware Of Stalker’s Conduct But Fear Need Not Be Contemporaneous
F 9.16 n6	Stalking: Victim’s Fear Is Alone Insufficient To Constitute Substantial Emotional Distress
F 9.16.1a	Stalking: Omission of “Substantial” Emotional Harm Element (PC 646.9)
F 9.16.1b	Stalking: Inapplicable To Constitutionally Protected Behavior (PC 646.9(a))
F 9.16.1c	Stalking: Definition Of “Immediate Family”
F 9.16.1d	Stalking: Specification, Definition and Unanimity As To Predicate Acts (PC 646.9) 1. “Repeatedly Following” and “Harassing” as Separate Means of Violating the Statute 2. Specification of the Number of Acts Required 3. How Many Acts are Required? 4. Unanimity as to the predicate Acts 5. Unanimity as to the Means of Violating the Statute 6. Conclusion
F 9.16.2 n1	Stalking: Definition Expanded (PC 646.9(b))
F 9.16.2 n2	Constitutionality Of Stalking Statute (PC 646.9(b))
F 9.16.2 n3	Stalking: Intent to Carry Out The Threat
F 9.16.2 n4	Stalking Following Court Order: No Double Jeopardy Based On Prior Contempt Conviction
F 9.16.2 n5	Stalking In Violation Of Court Order: Stipulation To Violation Of Court Order
F 9.16.2 n6	Harassment May Be Committed In A Single Course Of Conduct
F 9.16.2a	Stalking: Omission of “Substantial” Emotional Harm Element (PC 646.9(b))
F 9.16.2b	Stalking: Inapplicable To Constitutionally Protected Behavior (PC 646.9(b))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.16.2c	Stalking: Definition Of “Immediate Family”
F 9.16.2d	Stalking: Specification, Definition and Unanimity As To Predicate Acts
F 9.20 n1	Assault On Police Officer: Elements Of Target Offense
F 9.20 n2	Definition Of Peace Officer (PC 830.1)
F 9.20 n3	Assault On Police Officer: Simple Assault Or ADW As LIO
F 9.20a	Assault: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position
F 9.20b	ADW on Police Officer: Definition Of Deadly Weapon
F 9.20c	Assault On Firefighter: Definition Of Firefighter (PC 245(d)(1))
F 9.20.1 n1	Assault On Police Officer: Simple Assault Or ADW As LIO
F 9.20.1a	Assault With Assault Weapon Upon A Peace Officer (PC 245(d)(3))
F 9.20.1b	Assault: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 245(d)(3))
F 9.21 n1	Brandishing Firearm: “Reasonably Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of Physical Disabilities (PC 417)
F 9.21a	Brandishing Firearm: Definition of “Rude” (PC 417)
F 9.21b	Brandishing: Weapon Drawn In Jest (PC 417)
F 9.21.1a	Drawing or Exhibiting Firearm/Deadly Weapon With Intent To Resist Peace Officer: Knowledge Element (PC 417.8)
F 9.22 n1	Battery On A Peace Officer: Injury Must Require Professional Medical Treatment
F 9.22 n2	Battery On A Peace Officer: Definition Of Peace Officer (PC 830.1)
F 9.22 n3	Battery On Custodial Officer: Juvenile Probation Counselor
F 9.22 n4	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.22 n5	Battery On Custodial Officer
F 9.23 n1	Battery On Police Officer: Discharge Or Performance Of Duties (PC 243(b)(c))
F 9.23 n2	Battery On Police Officer: Discharge Or Performance Of Duties (PC 243(b)(c))
F 9.23a	Apparent Effort To Avoid Police Officer Does Not Alone Justify Detention
F 9.24 n1	“Lawful Arrest” Defined: Exigent Circumstances, Consent, Knock-Notice Vis a Vis Arrest In Home (PC 69, PC 148(b), PC 148(c), PC 148(d), PC 243(c), PC 243.1, PC 245(b), PC 245(c), PC 245.2, PC 245.3, PC 245.5, PC 417(c))
F 9.24a	Warrantless Searches/Arrests Within The Home Are Per Se Unreasonable Absent Exigent Circumstances (PC 69, PC 148(b), PC 148(c), PC 148(d), PC 243(c), PC 243.1, PC 245(b), PC 245(c), PC 245.2, PC 245.3, PC 245.5, PC 417(c))
F 9.24b	Apparent Effort To Avoid Police Officer Does Not Alone Justify Detention
F 9.25 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 9.26 n1	Honest Belief In Need To Defend Against Arrest As Voluntary Manslaughter
F 9.26 n2	Excessive Force And Deadly Force
F 9.27a	Lawful Detention Defined: Miscellaneous Instructions Investigative Stop Explained Apparent Effort to Avoid Police Officer Does Not Justify Detention History Of Past Criminal Activity In Locality Does Not Justify Unwarranted Detention Citizen Has No Obligation To Cooperate With The Police
F 9.27b	Lawful Detentions: “ <u>Tony C.</u> ” Standard
F 9.29 n1	Performance Of Duties: Proper Service Of Warrant As Factual Issue (PC 69, PC 148(b), PC 148(c), PC 148(d), PC 243(c), PC 243.1, PC 245(b), PC 245(c), PC 245.2, PC 245.3, PC 245.5, PC 417(c))
F 9.29 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.29a	Apparent Effort To Avoid Police Officer Does Not Alone Justify Detention
F 9.30 n1	Mayhem: Requirement Of Great Bodily Injury (PC 205)
F 9.30 n2	Mayhem: Requirement That Victim Be Alive
F 9.30a	Mayhem: Victim Must Be Permanently Disabled Or Disfigured (PC 205)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.30b	Definition Of Permanent Disfigurement (PC 205)
F 9.30c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 9.32 n1	Can Imperfect Self-Defense Reduce Mayhem To Assault Or Battery? (PC 205)
F 9.32 n2	Aggravated Mayhem: Applicability Of Imperfect Self-Defense To Aggravated Mayhem (PC 205)
F 9.32 n3	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 9.32a	Aggravated Mayhem: Must Intend To Maim (PC 205)
F 9.32b	Aggravated Mayhem: Intent Not To Be Inferred From Injuries Alone (PC 205)
F 9.32c	Aggravated Mayhem: Indiscriminate Attack Insufficient For Mayhem (PC 205)
F 9.32d	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 9.35 n1	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: Family Code Presumptions Do Not Apply
F 9.35 n2	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: Attempt Does Not Require Intent To Inflict “Traumatic Condition”
F 9.35 n3	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: Biological Father Liable For Battering Mother Even If Parental Rights Were Terminated
F 9.35 n4	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating With Priors (PC 273.55)
F 9.35 n5	Assault On Mother Of Defendant’s Child Not Applicable To Unborn Child (PC 273.5)
F 9.35 n6	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: Misdemeanor Cohabitant Battery As Lesser Included
F 9.35 n7	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: General Intent Crime (PC 273.5)
F 9.35a	Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating: Definition Of Cohabitation (PC 273.5)
F 9.35b	Former Spouse/Former Cohabitant Beating (PC 273.5)
F 9.35.01 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.35.01 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.35.01 n3	Change In Statutory Reference
F 9.35.1 n1	No Limitation On the Defendant’s Right To Use Evidence Of Battered Women Syndrome (EC 1107)
F 9.35.1 n2	Battered Woman/Child Syndrome: Additional Materials Available Free To Current Subscribers (EC 1107)
F 9.35.1 n3	Battered Woman/Child Syndrome: Jury Must Consider Objective Standard In Light Of Defendant’s Past Experience (EC 1107)
F 9.35.1 n4	Battered Person Syndrome: Admissibility Of Evidence Offered By Prosecution
F 9.35.1 n5	Battered Women’s Syndrome: Admissibility Of Expert Opinion Concerning Defendant’s Actual State Of Mind At The Time Of The Crime
F 9.35.1 n6	Use Of Battered Person’s Syndrome By Prosecution
F 9.35.1 n7	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.35.1 n8	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.35.1 n9	Battered Women Syndrome: Writ Of Habeas Corpus
F 9.35.1a	A Previously Battered Person Has The Right To Act More Quickly And Harshly in Self-Defense
F 9.35.1b	Impact Of Prior Assault And Battery On Defendant Applicable To Any Person
F 9.36a	Child Beating: Definition of “Traumatic Condition” (PC 273d)
F 9.36.5 n1	Child Abuse Resulting In Death: Requires Finding That Reasonable Person Would Believe Force Would Be Likely To Result In Child’s Death
F 9.36.5 n2	Child Abuse Resulting In Death: Constitutionality
F 9.36.5 n3	Mental Disease Or Defect: Post Partum Psychosis
F 9.36.5 n4	Child Abuse Resulting In Death: Merger Doctrine (<u>Ireland</u>) Precludes Conviction Of Second Degree Felony Murder (PC 273ab)
F 9.36.5 n5	PC 273ab Is Not Void For Vagueness Despite Medical Disagreement As To Whether Shaking A Baby Can Cause A Severe Brain Injury
F 9.36.5a	Assault On Child Under 8 Resulting In Death (PC 273ab)
F 9.37 n1	Felony Child Abuse: Requires Conduct Harming Or Endangering A Child After Its Birth
F 9.37 n2	Felony Child Abuse: New Four-Year Enhancement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.37 n3	Felony Child Abuse: Effect Of Treatment By Spiritual Means (PC 273a(1))
F 9.37 n4	Child Abuse: Definition Of “Care” And “Custody”
F 9.37 n5	Child Abuse Resulting In Death: Intended To Be A Murder Statute
F 9.37 n6	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.37 n7	Mental Disease Or Defect: Post Partum Psychosis
F 9.37 n8	Child Abuse: Only One Principal Need Have “Care” And “Custody”
F 9.37 n9	Child Endangerment: More Than Criminal Negligence Required
F 9.37a	Felony Child Abuse: “Willfully” Requires Knowledge Of Consequences
F 9.37b	Felony Child Abuse: No Requirement That Defendant Knew Or Should Have Known The Circumstances Were Likely To Produce Great Bodily Harm Or Death (CJ 9.37)
F 9.37c	Child Abuse Resulting In Death: Definition Of Care And Custody
F 9.37d	Improper To Define Willfulness In Terms Of Knowledge Of Consequences
F 9.38 n1	Elder/Dependent Adults: Neglect And Endangerment
F 9.38 n2	Elder Abuse: Enhancement Limited To Crime Described In PC 368(b)(1)
F 9.38a	Elder Abuse: Requirement That Defendant Have Duty To Supervise And Control (PC 368(a))
F 9.38b	Elder Abuse: “Willfully” Requires Knowledge Of Consequences
F 9.40 n1	Robbery: Single Crime When Multiple Items Taken (PC 211)
F 9.40 n2	Robbery: Force Or Fear Should Be Further Defined When Appropriate (PC 211)
F 9.40 n3	Robbery: Force Or Fear May Occur During Escape (PC 211)
F 9.40 n4	Robbery: Negation Of Felonious Intent By Duress (PC 211)
F 9.40 n5	Robbery: Negation Of Felonious Intent By Good Faith Belief In Consent (PC 211)
F 9.40 n6	Theft As LIO Of Robbery (PC 211)
F 9.40 n7	Grand Theft Auto As LIO Of Robbery (PC 211)
F 9.40 n8	Robbery: Theft As Lesser Included When Loot Is Abandoned Before Application Of Force Or Fear (PC 211)
F 9.40 n9	Robbery: Claim Of Right Limitations (PC 211)
F 9.40 n10	Robbery: Amount Of Force Must Exceed That Necessary To Take The Property (PC 211)
F 9.40 n11	Robbery: Carjacking As Robbery (PC 211)
F 9.40 n12	Robbery: Error To Instruct That Aiming Of Weapon With Demand For Money Amounts To Force And Fear (PC 211)
F 9.40 n13	Robbery: Victim Must Have Sufficient Interest In The Property
F 9.40 n14	Robbery: Knowledge Of Victim’s Presence
F 9.40 n15	Robbery: Instruction Upon Lesser Offense of Assault Upon Request
F 9.40 n16	Theft Of Community Property
F 9.40 n17	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.40a	Claim Of Right: Defense To Robbery/Theft Where Defendant Seeks To Regain Specific Property (PC 211)
F 9.40b	Claim Of Right On Behalf Of Another (PC 211)
F 9.40c	Robbery/Theft: Good Faith Belief In Consent (PC 484 & PC 487)
F 9.40d	Robbery: When Still In Progress – Aider And Abettor Liability (PC 211)
F 9.40e	Robbery: After Acquired Intent (PC 211)
F 9.40f	Robbery: Definition Of Immediate Presence (PC 211)
F 9.40g	Robbery: Taking From Intoxicated Victim (PC 211)
F 9.40h	Robbery: When Two Or More Persons Are In Joint Possession Of A Single Item Of Property (PC 211)
F 9.40i	Robbery: “Violence” And “Intimidation” Should Be Deleted From Definition
F 9.40j	Robbery: Victim Must Actually Be Afraid
F 9.40k	Robbery: Force Requires Physical Touching Beyond That Necessary For The Taking
F 9.40l	Robbery: Asportation Requirement
F 9.40m	Robbery: Possession Requires Ownership, Actual Possession Or Representative Capacity

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.40n	Robbery: Victim's Fear Must Be Objectively Reasonable
F 9.40o	Robbery: Asportation Requirement
F 9.40p	Robbery: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 211)
F 9.40.2a	Robbery--After Acquired Intent: Improper Reference to the "Taking" Rather than the Application of Force or Fear (PC 211)
F 9.40.2b	Robbery--After Acquired Intent: Intent Must Coincide With The Taking (PC 211)
F 9.40.2c	Robbery – After Acquired Intent: Concurrence Of Act And Intent
F 9.42 n1	First Degree Robbery: Definition Of "Inhabited" (PC 212.5)
F 9.42 n2	First Degree Robbery: Inhabited Vessel (PC 212.5)
F 9.42 n3	First Degree Robbery: Inhabited Dwelling Includes Defendant's Own Residence (PC 212.5)
F 9.42 n4	First Degree Robbery: Inhabited Floating Home Added To Statute (PC 212.5)
F 9.42 n5	First Degree Robbery: Not Applicable To A "Camper"
F 9.42 n6	First Degree Robbery Of ATM Customer: Knowledge Of Victim's Status Not Required
F 9.44 n1	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1 n1.
F 9.44 n2	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1 n2.
F 9.44 n3	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1 n3.
F 9.44 n4	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1.
F 9.44 n5	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1 n5.
F 9.44a	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1a.
F 9.44b	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1b.
F 9.44c	CJ 9.44 was changed to CJ 8.21.1 by the CALJIC Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). See FORECITE F 8.21.1c.
F 9.46 n1	Carjacking: "Immediate Presence" Element Is The Same As For Robbery
F 9.46 n2	Carjacking: Constitutional Challenge
F 9.46 n3	Carjacking: Force Or Fear Element Can Occur Before, During Or After The Taking
F 9.46 n4	Carjacking: Force Requires More Than That Which Is Necessary To Accomplish The Mere Seizing Of The Property
F 9.46 n5	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.46 n6	Carjacking: Victim Must Be Aware Of The Taking
F 9.46a	Carjacking: Victim's Fear Must Be Objectively Reasonable
F 9.46b	Carjacking: Asportation Requirement
F 9.50 n1	Kidnapping: Error To Instruct That Asportation Of 500 Feet Is Substantial (PC 207)
F 9.50 n2	Kidnapping: "Substantial Distance" Requirement: Consideration Of Factors Other Than Distance Of The Asportation (PC 207)
F 9.50 n3	Kidnapping: Victim Must Fear Harm Or Injury (PC 207)
F 9.50 n4	False Imprisonment (PC 236 & PC 237) As Lesser Included Of Kidnapping (PC 207)
F 9.50 n5	Kidnapping During Carjacking (PC 209.5)
F 9.50 n6	"Substantial Distance" Unconstitutionally Vague
F 9.50 n7	<u>Ireland</u> Merger Doctrine Inapplicable to Kidnapping
F 9.50 n8	Kidnapping: Convictions For Both Simple And Aggravated Kidnapping Not Permissible For The Same Course Of Conduct
F 9.50 n9	Is Attempted Kidnapping A Lesser Included Of Completed Kidnapping?
F 9.50 n10	Kidnapping Of Child Too Young To Consent: Requirement Of Force Or Improper Purpose
F 9.50 n11	Kidnapping During Carjacking (PC 209.5): Includes Kidnapping

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	To Effect Escape Or Prevent Sounding Of Alarm
F 9.50a	Kidnapping: Explanation Of Consent (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.50b	Kidnapping: Definition Of “Distance That Is Substantial In Character” (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.50c	Kidnapping: Requirement that Victim be Alive (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.50d	Kidnapping: Good Faith Belief That The Victim Was Dead (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.50e	Simple Kidnapping: Movement Incidental To Associated Crime Insufficient
F 9.50f	Jury Must Find That Movement Was For More Than A Very Short Distance Before Considering Other Factors
F 9.51 n1	Appellate Issue Alert: Pre-1997 Version
F 9.51 n2	Aggravated Kidnapping: Substantially Increased Risk Of Psychological Harm Is Sufficient
F 9.52 n1	Kidnapping Victim Under 14: Minor’s Lack Of Consent As Element (PC 208(b))
F 9.52 n2	Kidnapping: Victim Under 14 -- Mistake Of Fact As To Age Not A Defense (PC 208(b))
F 9.52 n3	Aggravated Kidnapping: Substantially Increased Risk Of Psychological Harm Is Sufficient
F 9.52 n4	Kidnapping: Is PC 208(b) A Distinct Crime Or Penalty Enhancement?
F 9.52 n5	Aggravated Kidnapping: Jury Finding On Enhancement Required By Constitutional Right To Trial By Jury
F 9.52 n6	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.52a	Kidnapping: Victim Under 14 -- Asportation Requirement Of Substantial Movement For Simple Kidnapping Required
F 9.52.1 n1	Kidnapping To Commit Sex Offense: Instruction On Intended Sex Offense (PC 208(d))
F 9.52.1 n2	Kidnapping To Commit Sex Offense: Movement Must Substantially Increase Risk Of Harm (PC 208(d))
F 9.52.1 n3	Kidnapping To Commit Sex Offense: Enhancement Vs. Substantive Offense (PC 208(d))
F 9.52.1 n4	Kidnapping To Commit Sex Offense: Substantial Distance Requirement For Simple Kidnapping Must Be Established
F 9.52.1 n5	Aggravated Kidnapping: Substantially Increased Risk Of Psychological Harm Is Sufficient
F 9.52.1 n6	Kidnapping To Commit Sex Offense: Requirement That Simple Kidnapping Be Committed (PC 208)
F 9.52.1a	Kidnap To Commit Sex Crime: Non-Incidental Movement As Distinct Element
F 9.52.1b	Kidnapping: Definition Of “Substantial Distance” (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.53 n1	Kidnap For Ransom: Claim Of Right Inapplicable (PC 209)
F 9.53 n2	Kidnapping For Extortion Does Not Require That Person Extorted Be Someone Other Than The Kidnap Victim (PC 209)
F 9.53 n3	Kidnapping For Extortion: Definition Of “Official Act”
F 9.53 n4	Aggravated Kidnapping: Substantially Increased Risk Of Psychological Harm Is Sufficient
F 9.53 n5	Kidnapping For Extortion: Whether PIN Code Constitutes Property
F 9.54 n1	Kidnapping To Commit Robbery: Claim Of Right Defense (PC 209)
F 9.54 n2	Applicability Of Two Pronged <u>Daniels</u> Test To Kidnapping For Robbery (PC 209)
F 9.54 n3	Kidnapping to Commit Robbery: Claim of Right Defense (PC 209)
F 9.54 n4	Kidnapping To Commit Robbery: Substantial vs. Incidental Movement (PC 209)
F 9.54 n5	Kidnapping To Commit Robbery: Requirement That Simple Kidnapping Be Committed (PC 208)
F 9.54 n6	Aggravated Kidnapping: Substantially Increased Risk Of Psychological Harm Is Sufficient
F 9.54a	Kidnap For Robbery Or Ransom: Aider And Abettor Must Have Knowledge Of Asportation (PC 209)
F 9.54b	Kidnap For Robbery: Non-Incidental Movement As Distinct Element (PC 209)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 9.54c	Kidnapping: Definition Of “Substantial Distance” (PC 207 & PC 209)
F 9.54.1 n1	Simple Carjacking As Lesser Charge Of Kidnapping For Carjacking
F 9.54.1 n2	Carjacking: Constitutional Challenge To “From The Vicinity” Language Of PC
F 9.54.1 n3	Increase In Harm To Victim Must Be Substantial
F 9.54.1 n4	Kidnapping During Carjacking (PC 209.5): Includes Kidnapping To Effect Escape Or Prevent Sounding Of Alarm
F 9.54.1a	Kidnap For Carjacking: Non-Incidental Movement As Distinct Element (PC 209.5)
F 9.55 n1	Kidnapping During Commission Of Carjacking: Requirement Of Completed Carjacking
F 9.56 n1	Alternative Theories Of Consent Should Be Given To Jury
F 9.56a	Consent As Defense To Kidnapping: Clarification Of Elements And Specification Of Burden
F 9.56b	Consent As Defense To Kidnapping: Consent Obtained By Fraud Or Deceit
F 9.56c	Consent As Defense To Kidnapping: Reasonable Belief Versus Actual Consent
F 9.57 n1	Alternative Theories Of Consent Should Be Given To Jury
F 9.60 n1	Misdemeanor False Imprisonment Is LIO Of Felony False Imprisonment (PC 236 & PC 237)
F 9.60 n2	False Imprisonment: CJ 9.60 Correctly Defines Violence (PC 236 & PC 237)
F 9.60 n3	False Imprisonment: Consent As A Defense (PC 236 & 237)
F 9.60 n4	False Imprisonment By Menace: Use Of A Deadly Weapon Or Verbal Threats Required
F 9.60 n5	False Imprisonment: Requirement That Defendant Not Have Right To Custody
F 9.60 n6	False Imprisonment: Statute Not Unconstitutionally Vague Or Overbroad (PC 236)
F 9.60 n7	Felony False Imprisonment: Requirement Of Specific Intent (PC 236 and PC 237)
F 9.60a	False Imprisonment By Menace: Use Of A Deadly Weapon Or Verbal Threats Required
F 9.60b	False Imprisonment Of Child: Parent Must Endanger Health And Safety Or Confine Child For An Unlawful Purpose (PC 236)
F 9.61a	False Imprisonment: Shield/Protection From Arrest -- Threat Or Risk Of Imminent Arrest (PC 210.5)
F 9.70 n1	Statutory Changes: ALERT
F 9.70.5 n1	Child Abduction: Prosecution Must Prove Lack Of Good Cause As Element Of The Offense (PC 277)
F 9.70.5 n2	Statutory Changes: ALERT
F 9.72 n1	Child Abduction -- “Right of Custody”
F 9.72 n2	Child Abduction: Definition of “Abandoned”
F 9.80 n1	Removal Of Weapon May Not Be Charged In Addition To Resisting Arrest (PC 148)
F 9.80 n2	Removal of Firearm: Multiple Acts Against The Same Officer Permits Only One Conviction (PC 148)
F 9.80 n3	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE FORECITE F 9.81 n1.
F 9.80 n4	Removal of Firearm: Definition Of Peace Officer Per PC 830.1 (PC 148)
F 9.80 n5	Resisting Arrest: Excessive Force and Deadly Force
F 9.80a	Felony Resisting Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 148)
F 9.80b	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Statements Not Sufficient
F 9.80c	Removal of Peace Officer’s Weapon: Definition Of “Take” Or “Remove” (PC 148(c))
F 9.81 n1	Removal of Firearm: Jury Must Resolve “Scientifically Verifiable” Element (PC 148)
F 9.81 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 9.81a	Felony Resisting Arrest: Attempted Removal of Firearm – Clarification Of Intent Element (PC 148(d))
F 9.81b	Felony Resisting Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 148(d))
F 9.81c	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Statements Not Sufficient
F 9.82a	Felony Resisting Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Of A Reasonable Person	
	In Defendant's Position (PC 148(d))	
F 9.82b	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Statements Not Sufficient	
F 9.90 n1	Torture: Battery And Mayhem Lesser Included Offenses (PC 206)	
F 9.90 n2	Second Degree Torture Murder Per PC 206 Included In Torture Murder (PC 206)	
F 9.90 n3	Torture: Nature Of Required Intent (PC 206)	
F 9.90 n4	Torture: Requirement Of Prolonged Pain (PC 206)	
F 9.90 n5	Unconstitutional Vagueness Of The Terms "Cruel," "Sadistic Purpose," "Torture" And "Extortion" (PC 206)	
F 9.90 n6	Torture: Evidence Required	
F 9.90 n7	Torture: PC 206 Not Vague Or Overbroad	
F 9.94 n1	Criminal Threats: Definition Of GBI (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n2	Criminal Threats: Constitutional Challenge (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n3	Criminal Threat: Threat Need Not Be Unconditional (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n4	Criminal Threats: Definition Of "Conditional Threat"	
F 9.94 n5	Criminal Threats: Definition Of "Sustained Fear"	
F 9.94 n6	Criminal Threats: Defendant Need Not Be Aware Of Presence Of Threatened Person At The Time The Threats Were Made.	
F 9.94 n7	Criminal Threats: Angry Outbursts	
F 9.94 n8	Criminal Threats: Gestures	
F 9.94 n9	Criminal Threats: Threat Against Public Officer (PC 71) As Lesser Included	
F 9.94 n10	Criminal Threats: State Court Of Appeal Rejects Constitutional Challenge (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n11	Criminal Threats: Threatening Another With Death Or GBI May Be Communicated To Third Party (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n12	Criminal Threats: CJ Instructions (PC 422)	
F 9.94 n13	Criminal Threats: Change In CALJIC Title (PC 422)	
F 9.94a	Criminal Threats: Definition Of "Sustained Fear"	
F 9.94b	Criminal Threats: Consideration Of Words And Circumstances	
F 9.94c	Attempted Criminal Threat: Definitional Elements Of A Criminal Threat (PC 422)	
F 9.94d	Criminal Threats: Improper To Describe The Alleged Statement As A Threat (PC 422)	
F 9.94e	Criminal Threats: Requirement Of Intent To Communicate The Statement And That It Be Taken As A Threat (PC 422)	
F 9.94f	Criminal Threat: Consideration Of Context/Setting In Which Statement Was Made (PC 422)	
F 9.95 n1	Hate Crimes: Constitutionality (PC 422.7)	
F 9.95 n2	Hate Crimes: Causation (PC 422.6; PC 422.7; PC 422.75)	
F 9.95 n3	Hate Crimes: Mens Rea (PC 422.7)	
F 9.95 n4	Hate Crimes: Constitutionality (PC 422.6)	
F 9.95 n5	Hate Crimes: PC 422.75(a) Requires The Defendant To Personally Possess The Requisite Mental State	
F 9.95 n6	Hate Crimes (PC 422.75)	
F 10.00 n1	Rape: Multiple Penetrations As Separate Offenses (PC 261 & PC 263)	
F 10.00 n2	Rape: Entrapment, Sex Crimes (PC 261 & PC 263)	
F 10.00 n3	Requirement That Victim Be Alive: Sufficiency Of Evidence	
F 10.00 n4	Spousal Rape: Scope Of Statute Expanded	
F 10.00 n5	Spousal Rape: No Constitutional Violation For Failure To Include Cohabitants Of The Same Sex	
F 10.00 n6	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"	
F 10.00 n7	Sex Offenses: Capacity To Consent -- Definition Of "Developmental Disability"	
F 10.00 n8	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Evidence Necessary To Warrant Instruction (PC 261 & PC 263)	
F 10.00 n9	Rape: Continued Sexual Intercourse Against Person's Will Is Rape Even Though Initial Penetration Was Consensual	
F 10.00 n10	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Jury Should Consider Defendant's Intoxication	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 10.00 n11	Spousal Rape: Uncharged Acts Of Domestic Violence As Corroboration
F 10.00 n12	Rape: Definition Of Duress And Menace -- Sua Sponte Duty
F 10.00 n13	Rape: Consent -- Effect Of Withdrawal Of Consent After Commencement Of Intercourse
F 10.00a	Rape: Requirement That Victim Be Alive (PC 261)
F 10.00b	Rape: Definition Of Force (PC 261)
F 10.00c	Proof Necessary To Establish Element Of Fear (PC 26)
F 10.00d	Rape: Use Of Term “Perpetrator” vs. “Defendant”
F 10.00e	Rape: Good Faith Belief That Victim Was Dead
F 10.00f	Definition Of Rape Constitutionally Deficient By Failing To Define “Sexual Intercourse”
F 10.00.1 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.01 n1	Rape In Concert: Assault As LIO (PC 264.1)
F 10.01 n2	Rape In Concert: Whether More Than One Person Need Be Present
F 10.01 n3	Rape In Concert: Force Necessary
F 10.01a	Rape: Acting In Concert – Requirement Of Specific Intent (PC 264.1)
F 10.01b	Rape: Acting In Concert -- Requirement That Perpetrators “Act Together” (PC 264.1)
F 10.01c	Rape: Acting In Concert – Alternative Instruction
F 10.02 n1	Sex Offenses: Definition Of “Unconsciousness” (PC 261, PC 286, PC 288a, PC 289)
F 10.02 n2	Sex Offenses: Definition Of “Administer”
F 10.02 n3	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.02a	Sex Offenses: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position
F 10.02b	Rape By Intoxication: Improper Instruction On Negligence Standard (PC 261(a)(1)/PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.02c	Rape By Intoxication: Honest And Reasonable Belief As To Consent Negates Element (PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.02d	Rape By Intoxication: “Prevented From Resisting” Defined (PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.04 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.05	CJ 10.05 was deleted by the CJ Committee in the 6th Edition (1997). For treatment of spousal rape see CJ 10.00 as well as FORECITE Notes and Instructions under that number.
F 10.05.1 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.05.1a	Rape By Intoxication: Honest And Reasonable Belief As To Consent Negates Element (PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.05.1b	Rape By Intoxication: “Prevented From Resisting” Defined (PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.10 n1	Oral Copulation: Definition Of Duress And Menace (PC 288a(c))
F 10.10 n2	Oral Copulation: Any Contact Is Sufficient (PC 288a(c))
F 10.10 n3	Sex Offenses: Capacity To Consent -- Definition Of “Developmental Disability”
F 10.10a	Forcible Oral Copulation: Requirement That Victim Be Alive (PC 288a(c))
F 10.10b	Forcible Oral Copulation: Definition Of Force (PC 288a(c))
F 10.10c	Forcible Oral Copulation: Proof Necessary To Establish Element Of Fear (PC 288a(c))
F 10.10d	Violent Sex Offenses: Definition Of Duress And Menace
F 10.10e	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.11 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.11a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.12a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.13 n1	Unlawful Oral Copulation: Consent and Unconsciousness
F 10.13 n2	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.13 n3	Unlawful Oral Copulation By Intoxication: Honest And Reasonable Belief As To Consent Negates Element (PC 261(a)(3))
F 10.13a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.14a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.15 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.15a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 10.16a	Oral Copulation: Slight Contact Not Sufficient (PC 288a)
F 10.20 n1	Sex Offenses: Capacity To Consent -- Definition Of “Developmental Disability”
F 10.20a	Forcible Sodomy: Requirement That Victim Be Alive (PC 286(c))
F 10.20b	Forcible Sodomy: Definition Of Force (PC 286(c))
F 10.20c	Forcible Sodomy: Proof Necessary To Establish Element Of Fear (PC 286(c))
F 10.20d	Violent Sex Offenses: Definition Of Duress And Menace (PC 286(c))
F 10.20e	Forcible Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.21a	Forcible Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.22a	Forcible Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.23 n1	Unlawful Sodomy: Consent and Unconsciousness
F 10.23 n2	Rape Of Spouse: Unconsciousness And Fraud – “Fraud In Fact” As Issue For The Jury
F 10.23a	Unlawful Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.24 n1	Unlawful Sodomy: Omission Of Element
F 10.24a	Unlawful Sodomy: Prisoner -- Defendant And Victim Must Be Inmates (PC 286(e))
F 10.24b	Unlawful Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.25a	Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.26a	Sodomy: Actual Penetration Required
F 10.30 n1	Penetration With Foreign Object: Double Jeopardy If Preparatory To Intercourse
F 10.30 n2	Penetration By Foreign Object: Definition Of Penetration
F 10.30a	Penetration By Foreign Object: Requirement That Victim Be Alive (PC 289(a))
F 10.30b	Penetration By Foreign Object: Definition Of Force (PC 289(a))
F 10.30c	Penetration By Foreign Object: Proof Necessary To Establish Element Of Fear (PC 289(a))
F 10.30d	Violent Sex Offenses: Definition Of Duress And Menace (PC 289(a))
F 10.33 n1	Unlawful Penetration By Foreign Object: Consent and Unconsciousness
F 10.33 n2	Rape Of Spouse: Unconsciousness And Fraud -- “Fraud In Fact” As Issue For The Jury
F 10.33 n3	Unlawful Penetration By Foreign Object: Lack Of Consent, Intoxicants, Unconsciousness, Etc. -- Jury Must Find Fraud “In Fact” (PC 289(d), (e))
F 10.33 n4	Penetration By Foreign Object: Definition Of Penetration
F 10.33a	Unlawful Penetration By Foreign Object: Improper To Instruct On Fraud Alone
F 10.37 n1	Sexual Battery: Research Notes (PC 243.4)
F 10.37 n2	Sexual Battery: Misdemeanor Battery As Lesser Included (PC 243.4)
F 10.37 n3	Sexual Battery: Unlawful Restraint Requires Evidence That Touching Was Against Victim’s Will (PC 243.4)
F 10.37 n4	Sexual Battery: “Unlawful Restraint” Need Not Be Physical Restraint (PC 243.4)
F 10.37 n5	Sexual Battery: Cannot Be Committed With A Foreign Object (PC 243.4)
F 10.37a	Sexual Battery: Victim Must Touch Another Person (PC 243.4)
F 10.37b	Sexual Battery: “Unlawful Restraint” Requires More Than Physical Effort Required To Commit The Sexual Act (PC 243.4)
F 10.38 n1	Felony Indecent Exposure: Priors -- Stipulation/ Bifurcation (PC 314.1)
F 10.40 n1	Statutory Rape: Applicable To Both Males And Females
F 10.40 n2	Minor Not Criminally Liable As Aider And Abetter To Statutory Rape
F 10.40a	Unlawful Sexual Intercourse: Mistake Of Fact As Defense (PC 261.5)
F 10.40b	Unlawful Sexual Intercourse--Defined (PC 261.5(b))
F 10.40.1 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.40.2 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.41 n1	Lewd Act With Child: Constructive Touching: Specific Intent (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n2	Lewd Act With Child: “Any Touching” Not Sufficient (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n3	Lewd Act With Child: Removal of Clothes As Lewd Act (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n4	Lewd Act With Child: Sufficiency Of Showing Of Physical Force (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n5	Lewd Act With Child: Repeat Offender Priors May Be Admitted/Bifurcated (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n6	Lewd Act With Child: Mistake of Age Defense Inapplicable (PC 288(a))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 10.41 n7	Lewd Act With Child: Definition Of “Force” (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n8	Lewd Act With Child: Specific Intent Required (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n9	Lewd Act With Child: Multiple Convictions For Single Incident (PC 288(a))
F 10.41 n10	Misdemeanor Child Molestation As Lesser Included Offense Of PC 288(a)
F 10.41 n11	Defendant’s Youth Relevant To Intent
F 10.41 n12	Child’s Sexual Offenses Against Minors: Evidence Of Victim’s Sexual Activity With Third Party To Show Child’s Ability To Describe Sex Acts
F 10.41a	Definition of Lewd or Lascivious Act (PC 288)
F 10.41b	Lewd Act: Child Abuse Expert – Limiting Instruction
F 10.41c	Defense Pinpoint Instruction Regarding Defense Theory Of Inadvertent, Casual Or Non-Offensive Touching
F 10.42 n1	Lewd Act With Child: Definition Of “Menace” (PC 288(b))
F 10.42 n2	Lewd Act With Child: Definition Of “Force” (PC 288(b))
F 10.42 n3	Child’s Sexual Offenses Against Minors: Evidence Of Victim’s Sexual Activity With Third Party To Show Alternative Source Of Child’s Ability To Describe Sex Acts
F 10.42 n4	Defense Pinpoint Instruction Regarding Defense Theory Of Inadvertent Or Casual Or Non-Offensive Touching
F 10.42 n5	Lewd Act With Child: Duress Does Not Include Threat Of Hardship
F 10.42a	Definition of Lewd or Lascivious Act (PC 288)
F 10.42b	Modification Required Where ID At Issue
F 10.42c	Lewd Act With Child: Definition Of Duress And Menace
F 10.42d	Lewd Act With Child: Use Of Term “Perpetrator” vs. “Defendant”
F 10.42.5a	Definition of Lewd or Lascivious Act (PC 288)
F 10.42.5b	Continuing Sexual Abuse: Definition Of “Recurring Access” (PC 288.5(a))
F 10.42.6 n1	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Due Process Challenge Rejected (PC 288.5)
F 10.42.6 n2	Continuous Sexual Abuse Of A Child -- Juror Unanimity Required As To Single Victim (PC 288.5(a))
F 10.42.6 n3	Resident Child Molester Statute (PC 288.5) Does Not Preempt PC
F 10.42.6 n4	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Lewd Acts Must Be On Separate Occasions (PC 288.5)
F 10.42.6 n5	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Correction Of CJ Omissions And Defects (PC 288.5)
F 10.42.6 n6	Continuous Sexual Abuse: No Specific Intent Required
F 10.42.6 n7	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Application Of Ex Post Facto Principles When One of Three Alleged Acts Occurred Before Effective Date
F 10.42.6 n8	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.42.6 n9	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Offenses Committed Against Same Victim During Same Time Period
F 10.42.6a	Continuous Sexual Abuse: Defendant Must Have “Recurring Access” To Child (PC 288.5)
F 10.42.6b	Continuous Sexual Abuse: “Tending To Prove” Language Improper (PC 288.5)
F 10.44 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 10.45 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.46 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.55 n1	Aggravated Sexual Assault Of A Child (PC 269): Lewd And Lascivious Act On Child Under 14 (PC 288) As Lesser Included Offense
F 10.60 n1	Sex Crimes: No Corroboration/No Error To Give CJ 10.60 or CJ 2.27 (“Single Witness” Instruction)
F 10.61 n1	Prior Sexual Intercourse: Conflict Between CJ 10.61 and CJ 10.61.1 / CJ
F 10.61 n2	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 10.61a	Evidence Of Other Sexual Activity With Same Victim To Show Actual Consent Or Reasonable Belief In Consent
F 10.61.1 n1	Prior Sexual Intercourse: Conflict Between CJ 10.61 and CJ 10.61.1 / CJ
F 10.61.1a	Consent: Previous Sexual Relations With Victim
F 10.61.1b	Evidence Of Other Sexual Activity With Same Victim: Consideration As To

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Actual Consent And/Or Good Faith Belief In Consent
F 10.64 n1	Child's Sexual Offenses Against Minors: Evidence Of Victim's Sexual Activity With Third Party To Show Alternative Source Of Child's Ability To Describe Sex Acts
F 10.64 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 10.64 n3	Improper Presumption Of Elements
F 10.65 n1	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Evidence Necessary To Warrant Instruction (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.65 n2	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- The "Equivocal Conduct" Limitation On The Defense Of Reasonable Good Faith Belief Is Violative Of Due Process (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.65 n3	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Conflict Between CJ 10.61 and CJ 1.61.1 / CJ 10.66 (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.65 n4	Failure To Give Mistake As To Consent Instruction Sua Sponte As Reversible Error
F 10.65a	Rape: Good Faith Belief In Consent: Definition of Good Faith
F 10.66 n1	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Evidence Necessary To Warrant Instruction (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.66 n2	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- The "Equivocal Conduct" Limitation On The Defense Of Reasonable Good Faith Belief Is Violative Of Due Process (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.66 n3	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- Conflict Between CJ 10.61 and CJ 10.61.1 / CJ 10.66 (PC 261 & PC 263)
F 10.66 n4	Rape: Belief As To Consent -- CJ 10.66 Deletion in 6th Edition
F 10.66a	Forcible Rape--Evidence Of Prior Consensual Sexual Acts With Defendant
F 10.67 n1	Statutory Rape: Mistaken Belief As To Age (PC 261.5)
F 10.67 n2	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"
F 10.70 n1	Pimping: When Witness Must Be Corroborated (PC 266j)
F 10.70 n2	Pimping/Pandering: Requirement Of Physical Contact Between Prostitute And Customer
F 10.70a	Pimping: Defined – Inapplicable to Nude Modeling (PC 266h)
F 10.71 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"
F 10.71 n2	Pimping/Pandering: Requirement Of Physical Contact Between Prostitute And Customer
F 10.71a	Pandering: Not Applicable To Payment Of Wages To Act Or Model For Film Or Publication (PC 266i)
F 10.71b	Pandering: Defined – Inapplicable To Nude Modeling (PC 266i)
F 10.80 n1	Juror Unanimity: Downloading Child Pornography From Internet
F 10.80 n2	Multiple Convictions: Downloading Of Child Pornography
F 10.80 n3	Possession Of Child Pornography: Expert Testimony As To Apparent Age Of Child (PC 311.11)
F 10.80 n4	Possession Of Child Pornography: Commercial Purposes (PC 311.4(b))
F 10.80 n5	Child Pornography: Felony Possession
F 10.80 n6	Child Pornography: Federal Internet Offenses
F 10.80a	Possession Of Child Pornography (PC 311.11)
F 12.00 n1	Drug Possession: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment As Defense To Knowledge Element (HS 11350 or HS 11377)
F 12.00 n2	Possession: Juror Unanimity (HS 11350 or HS 11377)
F 12.00 n3	Possession: Multiple Conviction (HS 11350 or HS 11377)
F 12.00 n4	Sufficiency Of Police Officer Testimony To Establish Base Cocaine (HS 11350, HS 11351.5, HS 11352)
F 12.00 n5	Possession: Entrapment (HS 11350 or HS 11377)
F 12.00 n6	Possession: Usable Quantity (HS 11350 or HS 11377)
F 12.00 n7	Possession: Sufficiency Of Evidence To Establish Possession

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	(HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.00 n8	Drug Possession: Defendant Permitted To Comment On Lack Of Fingerprint Evidence (HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.00 n9	Drug Possession: Duty To Define “Right To Control” (HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.00 n10	Possession Of Controlled Substances In Jail Or Prison	
F 12.00 n11	Controlled Substance In HS 11054(f) Need Not Have A Stimulant Effect	
F 12.00 n12	Attempted Possession Of Controlled Substance: Not Established By Expression Of Desire To Purchase Drugs And Scheduling A Meeting To Negotiate A Deal	
F 12.00 n13	Substance Abuse And Crime Prevention Act of	
F 12.00a	Agreement Or Contract To Purchase: Not Possession	
F 12.00b	Illegal Possession Of Controlled Substance: Under The Influence Not Sufficient (HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.00c	Recent Past Possession Of Controlled Substance That Is Ultimately Consumed Does Not Prove Possession (HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.00d	Possession Of Controlled Substance: Use Alone Not Sufficient To Prove Possession (HS 11350 or HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n1	Possession For Sale: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment As Defense To Knowledge (HS 11350 & HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n2	Police Officer Expert: Possession for Sale -- Bias Due To Forfeiture	
F 12.01 n3	Possession For Sale: Usable Quantity (HS 11350 & HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n4	Possession For Sale: Defendant Permitted To Comment on Lack of Fingerprint Evidence (HS 11350 & HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n5	Possession For Sale: Duty to Define “Right To Control” (HS 11350 & HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n6	Challenging The Distinction Between Cocaine And Cocaine Base On Equal Protection And Former Jeopardy Grounds (HS 11351.5) (HS 11350 & HS 11377)	
F 12.01 n7	Possession For Sale: Sufficiency Of Evidence Of Intent	
F 12.01 n8	Possession For Sale: Simple possession as lesser included	
F 12.01 n9	Controlled Substance In HS 11054(f) Need Not Have A Stimulant Effect	
F 12.01 n10	Drug Sales	
F 12.01 n11	Possession For Sale: Expert Testimony Regarding Structure Of Drug Trafficking Organizations When No Conspiracy Charged	
F 12.01a	Knowledge Of Sale Insufficient To Establish Intent To Sell (HS 11351, HS 11351.5, HS 11378, HS 11378.5)	
F 12.01b	Possession For Sale: Requirement That Defendant Personally Intend To Sell In Joint Access Cases	
F 12.02 n1	Sale Of Drugs To Minor: Belief as to Age (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n2	Sale Of Drugs: Conspiracy To Transport (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n3	Sale Of Drugs: Opening Or Maintaining An Unlawful Place To Sell Drugs (HS 11366)	
F 12.02 n4	Sale Or Transportation Of Drugs: Knowledge Requirement (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n5	Transportation of Drugs: Personal Use (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n6	Sale Of Drugs: Entrapment (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n7	Selling Or Offering Drugs: Possession Is Not LIO (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n8	Sale Of Drugs: Definition Of Administer (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n9	Sale Of Drugs: Defendant Permitted To Comment on Lack of Fingerprint Evidence (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.02 n10	Sale Of Cocaine Base (HS 11352(a))	
F 12.02 n11	Controlled Substance In HS 11054(f) Need Not Have A Stimulant Effect	
F 12.02 n12	Drug Sales	
F 12.02 n13	Transportation Of Controlled Substance (HS 11379(b)): Intent To Facilitate Drug Sale Not Required	
F 12.02 n14	Transportation Of Drugs: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment As	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Defense To Knowledge Element	
F 12.02 n15	Importation Of Controlled Substance From Foreign Country: Federal Preemption Precludes Prosecution In State Court	
F 12.02 n16	Transportation Of Controlled Substance (HS 11379(a)): Applicability To Transportation By Bicycle	
F 12.02 n17	Possession For Sale: Expert Testimony Regarding Structure Of Drug Trafficking Organizations When No Conspiracy Charged	
F 12.02a	Transportation of Controlled Substance: Definition of Transportation (HS 11352)	
F 12.02b	Sale Of Narcotics: Usable Quantity As An Element Of The Crime (HS 11352 & HS 11379)	
F 12.03 n1	Offer To Sell Controlled Substance: Definition of Administer	
F 12.03a	Offer To Sell Controlled Substance: Knowledge Requirement	
F 12.06 n1	Length Of Momentary Possession: Unresolved Issues	
F 12.06 n2	When Momentary Possession Is To Prevent Seizure By Law Enforcement	
F 12.06 n3	Momentary Possession Insufficient Without Evidence Of Assent To Buy	
F 12.06 n4	Momentary Possession: Defendant's Burden Of Proof Must Be Specified	
F 12.06 n5	Momentary Possession: Weapons Exceptions	
F 12.06 n6	Momentary Possession Defense: Not Applicable To Prison Inmates (PC 4502)	
F 12.06a	Momentary Possession: Prosecution Should Have Burden Of Proof	
F 12.06b	Temporary Possession Defense Applies Even Though the Contraband Was Abandoned/Discarded For The Purpose Of Concealment From The Police	
F 12.06c	Momentary Possession: Even If Defense Not Proven; Prosecution Must Still Prove Elements Of Charge And Jury Must Consider Evidence Of Momentary Possession As To The Elements	
F 12.06d	Unintentional Possession/Momentary Possession	
F 12.07a	Controlled Substances: Administer-Defined	
F 12.09.1 n1	Drug Manufacturing: Knowledge As An Element (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.1 n2	Drug Manufacturing: Definition Of Manufacture (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.1 n3	Drug Manufacturing: Must Be For Sale Or Distribution (HS 11366.5(a) (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.1 n4	Drug Manufacturing: False Statements Insufficient To Support Missing Elements (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.1 n5	Whether Mere Possession Of Manufacturing Equipment and Chemicals Is Sufficient To Prove Drug Manufacturing (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.1 n6	Intermediate Stages Sufficient For Aiding And Abetting Of Drug Manufacturing	
F 12.09.1 n7	Drug Manufacture: Legitimate Use Not A Defense	
F 12.09.1a	Drug Manufacturing: Assembly Or Creation Process Must Have Begun	
F 12.09.1b	Drug Manufacturing/Possession With Intent To Manufacture: Knowledge As An Element (HS 11379.6; HS 11383)	
F 12.09.1c	Manufacturing Of Controlled Substance: Requirement Of Knowledge (HS 11379.6)	
F 12.09.2a	Drug Manufacturing/Possession With Intent To Manufacture: Knowledge As An Element (HS 11379.6; HS 11383)	
F 12.09.3 n1	Possession Of Chemicals For Manufacture Of Methamphetamine: Conviction Of Possession (HS 11377(a) & HS 11383(a)(b)(c))	
F 12.09.3a	Drug Manufacturing/Possession With Intent To Manufacture: Knowledge As An Element (HS 11379.6; HS 11383)	
F 12.09.4a	Drug Manufacturing/Possession With Intent To Manufacture: Knowledge As An Element (HS 11379.6; HS 11383)	
F 12.10 n1	Illegal Sale: Definition Of Administer	
F 12.11 n1	Illegal Offer To Sell: Definition of Administer	
F 12.17 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"	
F 12.20 n1	Marijuana Possession: Duty To Define "Right To Control"	
F 12.21 n1	Marijuana Possession For Sale: Duty To Define "Right To Control"	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 12.22 n1	Marijuana Sale: Definition of Administer.
F 12.22 n2	Drug Sales
F 12.24 n1	Marijuana Cultivation: Knowledge Requirement
F 12.24a	Marijuana Cultivation/Possession: Compassionate Use Act Exception
F 12.24.1 n1	Medical Marijuana Defense: Elements Of The Defense (HS 11362.5)
F 12.24.1 n2	Medical Marijuana Defense: Requirement That Alternative Legal Drug Is Not Available (HS 11362.5)
F 12.24.1 n3	Medical Marijuana Defense: Applicability To Transportation (HS 11362.5)
F 12.24.1 n4	Medical Marijuana Defense As Mitigation At Sentencing (HS 11362.5)
F 12.24.1 n5	Medical Marijuana Defense: Sale/Possession For Sale Not Exempt From Prosecution Under HS
F 12.24.1 n6	Medical Marijuana Defense: Only Patient Or “Primary Caregiver” Exempt From Prosecution Under HS
F 12.24.1 n7	Medical Marijuana Defense: Commercial Sales Not Exempt Under HS
F 12.24.1 n8	Medical Marijuana Defense: Amount Of Marijuana Permissible Under The Defense
F 12.24.1 n9	Distribution Of Marijuana: Medical Necessity As Defense
F 12.24.1 n10	Medical Marijuana Defense: Rejection Of Defense Under Federal Statute Does Not Invalidate Proposition 215
F 12.24.1a	Medical Necessity Defense: Defendant Need Only Raise A Reasonable Doubt (HS 11357, HS 11358, HS 11359)
F 12.24.1b	Medical Marijuana Defense: Mistake Of Fact
F 12.26 n1	Marijuana Offer To Sell To Minor: Definition of Administer
F 12.29 n1	Illegal Furnishing Of Marijuana To Minor Under 14: Definition of Administer
F 12.33 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 12.37 n1	Unlawful Possession Of Money: Factors-To-Consider Instruction Does Not Negate Burden Of Proof
F 12.40 n1	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Possession Issues (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n2	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment May Negate Knowledge (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n3	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Knowledge Of Character Of The Weapon (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n4	Possession Of Illegal Weapons: Juror Unanimity (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n5	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Multiple Conviction (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n6	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Duty To Define “Right To Control” (PC 12020)
F 12.40 n7	Possession Of A Cane Sword (PC 12020(a)): Sciernter/Knowledge Requirement
F 12.40 n8	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Prohibited Items
F 12.40a	Illegal Possession Of Certain Weapons: Requirement of Knowledge of the Character of the Weapon (PC 12020)
F 12.41 n1	Definition of “Dirk or Dagger” (PC 12020)
F 12.41 n2	“Dirk or Dagger” to Be Strictly Construed (PC 12020)
F 12.41 n3	Concealed Dirk Or Dagger: Definition of “Concealed” (PC 12025)
F 12.41 n4	Dirk Or Dagger: Consideration Of Intended Use When Implement At Issue Has Innocent Uses
F 12.41 n5	Device Requiring Assembly Before It Can Be Used As A Knife Isn’t A Dirk Or Dagger
F 12.41 n6	Folding Pocket Knife Is Not Dirk Or Dagger
F 12.41a	Dirk or Dagger: Knowledge That The Instrument Is A Dirk Or Dagger (PC 12020(a))
F 12.41b	Dirk or Dagger: Intent To Use Instrument As A Weapon (PC 12020(a))
F 12.41c	Dirk or Dagger: Substantial Concealment Insufficient
F 12.42 n1	Intended Use Applicable To “Dirk Or Dagger” Determination (PC 12020)
F 12.42 n2	Intended Use Instruction Required When Alleged Weapon Is A Vehicle
F 12.42a	Intended Use Must Be For Deadly Purpose
F 12.42b	Determination Of Deadly Weapon: Two-Pronged Jury Finding Required
F 12.43 n1	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment May Negate Knowledge Of Weapon’s Presence (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 12.43 n2	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43 n3	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Mistake Of Fact As To Status As Felon -- Reliance On Governmental Advice (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43 n4	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Juror Unanimity (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43 n5	Multiple Possessions Of Firearm By Ex-Felon (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43 n6	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Violent Nature Of Prior Offense Is Not Element Of Charge (PC 12021 & 12021.1)
F 12.43 n7	Duty To Define "Right To Control" Re Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43 n8	Possession of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Requisite Intent Is To Exercise Control Over Or To Have Custody Of The Gun – Misfortune Or Mistake As Defense (PC 12021)
F 12.43 n9	Ex-felon With Firearm: Whether Momentary Possession Per Drug Cases (<u>Mijares</u>) Is Applicable
F 12.43 n10	Ex-Felon With Firearm: Necessity As Defense
F 12.43 n11	Ex-Felon With Firearm: Felony Status of Predicate Crime is Not an Element
F 12.43 n12	Possession of Firearm by Misdemeanant: Equal Protection Challenge
F 12.43 n13	Possession Of Firearm By Misdemeanant: Ex Post Facto Challenge
F 12.43 n14	Possession Of Firearm By Ex-Felon: Effect Of Expungement Or Pardon (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.43a	Ex-Felon With Firearm: Momentary Possession (PC 12021)
F 12.44 n1	Ex-Felon In Possession Of Firearm: Duty to Define "Right To Control" (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.44 n2	Prior Misdemeanant In Possession Of Firearm: Stipulation To Misdemeanant Status
F 12.44 n3	Refusal Of Stipulation To Ex-Felon/Misdemeanant Status As Due Process Violation
F 12.44 n4	Ex-Felon With Firearm: Due Process Requires Sua Sponte Instruction Regarding Stipulation Of Underlying Felony (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.44a	Ex-Felon In Possession Of Firearm: No Speculation About Nature Of Prior Felony Where Stipulated (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.45 n1	Possession Of Concealable Firearm By Addict: Duty To Define "Right To Control" (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.46 n1	Firearm Concealed In Vehicle Controlled By Ex-Felon: Grave Danger As Justification (PC 12025 & PC 12031)
F 12.46 n2	Weapon Must Be Concealed Within The Vehicle (PC 12025 & PC 12031)
F 12.46 n3	Firearm Concealed In Vehicle Controlled By Ex-Felon: Definition Of "Control" (PC 12025 & PC 12031)
F 12.46 n4	Definition of Loaded Firearm
F 12.46 n5	Ex-felon: Carrying Firearm -- Applicability Of "Momentary Possession" Principles
F 12.46 n6	Carrying Concealed Firearm: Sufficiency Of Evidence Of Concealment
F 12.46 n7	Right To Stipulate To Status As Convicted Felon Re: Carrying Concealed Firearm In Vehicle
F 12.46 n8	Carrying Of Firearm By Gang Member: All Elements Of Gang Offense Must Be Proven
F 12.46a	Carrying Of Firearm By Ex-felon: Unintentional Possession
F 12.46.1 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"
F 12.47 n1	Ex-felon: Carrying Firearm -- Applicability Of "Momentary Possession" Principles
F 12.47a	Carrying Of Firearm By Ex-felon: Unintentional Possession
F 12.47.1 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"
F 12.47.1 n2	Concealed Firearm Carried On The Person: CJ Typo
F 12.50 n1	Self Defense by Ex-Felon: Definition of "Without Preconceived Design" (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.50 n2	Self Defense by Ex-Felon: Grave Danger Exception to PC 12025 a nd PC 12031 (PC 12021 & PC 12021.1)
F 12.52 n1	Possession Of Controlled Substance While Armed With A Firearm:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Constructive Possession	
F 12.52 n2	Equal Protection Challenge	
F 12.52 n3	Definition Of Arming	
F 12.54 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”	
F 12.55 n1	Destructive/Explosive Devices: Knowledge Requirement	
F 12.55 n2	Possession Of Destructive/Explosive Devices: Momentary Possession	
F 12.55 n3	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.	
F 12.55 n4	Possession Of Assault Weapons (PC 12280)	
F 12.55.3 n1	Destructive/Explosive Devices: Definition of “Wrongfully”	
F 12.55.3a	Possession, Etc. Of Destructive Device/Explosive: Requirement Of Intent To Injure Another	
F 12.55.5a	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim	
F 12.55.6a	Destructive Device Causing Bodily Harm: Must Cause Harm To Another Person (PC 12309)	
F 12.55.6b	Destructive Device: “Willfully” Requires Knowledge Of Consequences	
F 12.55.7a	Explosive/Destructive Device: Death, Mayhem Or GBI To Another (PC 12310(a)(b))	
F 12.55.7b	Explosive/Destructive Device: “Willfully” Requires Knowledge Of Consequences	
F 12.56 n1	Explosive/Destructive Device: Must Be Capable Of Causing Substantial Property Damage Or Injury To Life	
F 12.59 n1	Possession Of Assault Weapons (PC 12280)	
F 12.59 n2	Conviction For Possession Of Assault Rifle Doesn’t Require That The Rifle Be Manufactured With A Detachable Magazine	
F 12.59 n3	Possession Of Assault Weapons: Applicability Of Momentary Possession Principles	
F 12.59 n4	Possession Of Assault Weapons: Possession Issues	
F 12.59 n5	Constitutional Challenge To Assault Weapons Control Act	
F 12.59 n6	Possession Of Assault Weapons: Knowledge Requirement	
F 12.59 n7	Possession Of Assault Weapon: Belated Discovery Of Nature Of Weapon (PC 12276.1)	
F 12.59 n8	Possession Of Assault Weapon: Exemptions	
F 12.59a	Illegal Possession Of Assault Weapons: Requirement of Knowledge of the Character of the Weapon (PC 12280)	
F 12.60 n1	DUI: Joy Riding And Leaving The Scene On Foot Insufficient To Satisfy “Other Act” Element (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n2	DUI Instruction Package (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n3	Weaving As LRO Of DUI (VC 23152(a))	
F 12.60 n4	DUI: Less Than 0.05% Blood Alcohol Raises A Rebuttable Presumption (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n5	DUI With Bodily Injury: Sua Sponte Definition Of Causation Required (VC 2800.2 & VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n6	DUI: Repeated Conviction Of VC 23153	
F 12.60 n7	DUI: Admissibility Of “HGN” Test (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n8	DUI: .05% Presumption Instruction Not Required When Prosecution Relies Upon Combined Influence Of Alcohol And Drugs (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60 n9	Duress As Defense To Drunk Driving	
F 12.60 n10	Administrative Suspension Of License Does Not Bar DUI Charges	
F 12.60 n11	DUI: Credibility Of Injured Witness (CC 3333.4)	
F 12.60 n12	Consciousness Of Guilt From Defendant’s Refusal To Submit To Blood Or Sobriety Tests	
F 12.60 n13	Felony Drunk Driving: Sua Sponte Duty To Define “Speeding” When It Is An Element Of The Charge	
F 12.60 n14	Drunk Driving: Wobbler Reduced To Misdemeanor Is Not Prior Felony Conviction (Former VC 23175.5 – Now VC 23550.5)	
F 12.60a	Felony Drunk Driving: Requirement Of Independent Violation Of The Law (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60b	Felony Drunk Driving: Definition Of Driving (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60c	Felony Driving Under The Influence: Failure To Advise That	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Breath Sample Not Retained (VC 23153(a))	
F 12.60d	Multiple Injury Enhancement (VC 23558 former VC 23182)	
F 12.60e	Applicable VC Violations Must Be Referenced In Respect to Felony DUI Counts (VC 23558 former VC 23182)	
F 12.60f	Credibility Of Injured Witness (CC 3333.4)	
F 12.60g	Drunk Driving: Duty To Instruct On Elements Of Violation Which Is Predicate For Drunk Driving Charge	
F 12.60h	Necessity As Defense To Drunk Driving (VC 23152/VC 23153)	
F 12.61 n1	Inference Of Intoxication: Research Notes (VC 23152(a)/VC 23153(a)/ VC 23610 (former VC 23155))	
F 12.61 n2	Reversible Error To Instruct Where Breath Analysis Is Less Than Statutory Minimum (VC 23152(a)/VC 23153(a)/ VC 23610 (former VC 23155))	
F 12.61 n3	Partition Ratio Presumption (VC 23152(a)/VC 23153(a)/ VC 23610 (former VC 23155))	
F 12.61 n4	Drunken Driving (DUI): Defense Burden To Show Blood Test Not Properly Performed	
F 12.61 n5	Admissibility Of Blood Alcohol Test That Fails To Comply With Regulations	
F 12.61 n6	Partition Ratios: Evidence Admissible As To Urine Test	
F 12.61a	Pinpoint Instruction When Defendant And Police Blood Alcohol Tests Conflict	
F 12.61b	Presumptions From And Consideration Of Blood Alcohol Level Evidence	
F 12.61c	Presumption Of Innocence Remains Even If Blood Alcohol Level Is 0.05% Or More	
F 12.61d	Mandatory Presumption From Blood Alcohol Below 0.05%	
F 12.61e	Rebuttable Presumption From Blood Alcohol Below 0.05%	
F 12.61f	No Reliance Upon Less Than 0.05% To Convict	
F 12.65 n1	DUI: Attempt Is Not A Prior Conviction (VC 23550 (former VC 23175))	
F 12.65 n2	DUI: Prior Stipulation/Bifurcation (VC 23550 (former VC 23175), VC 23152)	
F 12.65 n3	DUI Recidivist Statute: Subsequent Offenses Cannot Be Used To Increase Penalty For Prior Offense	
F 12.65 n4	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 12.66 n1	DUI: Definition Of Driving (VC 23152(b) & VC 23550 (former VC 23175))	
F 12.66 n2	DUI: Attempt Is Not A Prior (VC 23152(b) & VC 23550 (former VC 23175))	
F 12.66 n3	DUI: Right To Stipulate/Bifurcate Prior (VC 23152(b) & VC 23550 (former VC 23175))	
F 12.66 n4	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 12.67 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 12.68 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 12.70 n1	Felony Hit and Run: Definition Of Accident (VC 20001)	
F 12.70 n2	Felony Hit And Run: Consideration Of Circumstances Of The Collision	
F 12.70 n3	Hit And Run: Unconsciousness As Complete Defense To Duty To Render Aid	
F 12.70 n4	Hit And Run: Duties Limited To The Scene Of The Accident (VC 20001, VC 20002, VC 20003, VC 20004, VC 20008)	
F 12.70 n5	Hit And Run Enhancement: Failure To Stop Must Proximately Cause Permanent And Serious Injury	
F 12.70 n6	Hit And Run: Prior Flight From Scene Inadmissible	
F 12.70 n7	Hit And Run: Requirement That Person Identify Himself As Driver Does Not Violate Fifth Amendment Right Against Self-Incrimination.	
F 12.70 n8	Hit And Run: Necessity As Defense	
F 12.70 n9	Hit And Run: Bodily Injury Caused By Original Impact Is Not A Serious Felony Under PC 1192.7(c)(8)	
F 12.70a	Hit and Run: No Duty To Render Aid If It Is Being Adequately Provided By Another	
F 12.85 n1	Flight From Officers: Reckless Driving	
F 12.85 n2	Fleeing From Police Officer (VC 2800.2): Suspect May Be Convicted Of Recklessly Fleeing “Marked” Police	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Car Even If Car Was Not Marked With Insignia Or Logo	
F 12.85 n3	Reckless Vehicular Evasion Of Peace Officer: Failure To Instruct On All Elements As Reversible Error	
F 12.85 n4	Definition of “Willful”	
F 12.85a	Fleeing From Officers: Definition Of Willful And Wanton	
F 12.85b	Flight From Officer: Definition Of “Distinctively Marked” Motor Vehicle	
F 12.85c	Flight From Peace Officer: Definition Of “Distinctive Uniform”	
F 12.87a	Distinctively Marked: Objective Reasonableness Of Person In Defendant’s Position	
F 14.00 n1	Theft: Lesser Included Of Robbery	
F 14.00 n2	Theft: After Acquired Intent (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n3	Theft: Propriety Of Multiple Conviction(PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n4	Theft: Claim Of Right Defense To Theft (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n5	Theft: General Verdict Insufficient To Sustain Conviction Where Jury Not Instructed On Theory Shown By The Evidence (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n6	Theft: Distinction Between Larceny And Theft By False Pretenses (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n7	Attempted Theft By False Pretenses As LIO Of Theft (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n8	Theft: Multiple Theories Shown At Trial (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n9	Victim’s Retention of Security Interest In Stolen Goods Does Not Preclude Perpetrator’s Conviction For Theft By False Pretenses	
F 14.00 n10	No Completed Theft When Store Personnel Consent To Taking Merchandise Outside	
F 14.00 n11	Theft Of Community Property	
F 14.00 n12	Theft: Taking Of Impounded Vehicle	
F 14.00 n13	Theft: Making An Unauthorized Copy Of A Key	
F 14.00 n14	Theft Of Real Property Requires Defendant To Have Made False Representation To The Owner (PC 484(a) & PC 487)	
F 14.00 n15	Theft: Abandoned Property	
F 14.00a	Theft By Appropriation Of Lost Property (PC 485)	
F 14.02 n1	Theft By Larceny: Taking For Purpose Of Obtaining Refund Is Completed Theft	
F 14.02 n2	Larceny: Defendant’s Poverty Or Financial Condition As Evidence Of Motive	
F 14.02 n3	Financial Situation Of Defendant Less Relevant When Crime Primarily Involves Violence	
F 14.02a	Theft by Larceny: Requirement That Property Be Taken Without Consent (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.02b	Theft: Good Faith Belief In Consent (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.02c	Theft: Requirement That Taking Be From The Possession Of Another (PC 484 & PC 487)	
F 14.02d	Theft: Taking For Purpose Of Obtaining Refund	
F 14.02e	Theft: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 487)	
F 14.05a	Theft By Trick And Device: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 487)	
F 14.07 n1	Embezzlement: Claim Of Right As Defense	
F 14.07a	Embezzlement: “Relation Of Trust And Confidence” Defined (PC 503)	
F 14.07b	Embezzlement: Restoration Of The Funds as Defense (PC 512)	
F 14.23 n1	Grand Theft From The Person (PC 487(2))	
F 14.23 n2	Grand Theft From The Person (PC 487(2)): Taking Property After Victim Flees	
F 14.35a	Grand Theft Auto: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 487(d))	
F 14.36a	Unlawful Vehicle Taking: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (VC 10851)	
F 14.37 n1	Auto Taking: When Acts May Constitute Either Of Two Crimes (PC 487 & VC 10851)	
F 14.37 n2	Auto Taking: Taking And Receiving The Same Vehicle (PC 487 & VC 10851)	
F 14.37 n3	Joyriding as LIO to Auto Taking As Charged	
F 14.37 n4	ALERT: Legislative Changes To Auto Theft And Related Statutes	
F 14.37 n5	Unlawful Vehicle Taking (VC 10851): Auto Tampering (VC 10852) As Lesser Included	
F 14.37a	Auto Taking: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 487(d) Or VC 10851)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 14.40 n1	Petty Theft: Stipulation To Prior (PC 666)
F 14.40 n2	Petty Theft: Bifurcation Of Prior (PC 666)
F 14.40a	Petty Theft With Prior: Burglary Must Be Theft Related
F 14.40b	Petty Theft With Prior -- Prior Not Admitted: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value (PC 666)
F 14.41a	Petty Theft With Prior Conviction--Prior Admitted: Prejudicial Reference To Prior (PC 666)
F 14.41b	Petty Theft With Prior -- Prior Admitted: Intent To Deprive Of Main Or Major Value
F 14.50 n1	Burglary: Improper Comment On Character Of Structure (PC 459)
F 14.50 n2	Burglary: Intended Felony Need Not Be In The Building Which Was Entered (PC 459)
F 14.50 n3	Burglary: Instruction On Misdemeanor Lesser (PC 459)
F 14.50 n4	Burglary: Entry Of Multiple Rooms (PC 459)
F 14.50 n5	Burglary: Sua Sponte Duty to Instruct Upon Timing of Intent (PC 459)
F 14.50 n6	Burglary: Unlocked Trailer Coach (PC 459)
F 14.50 n7	Inhabited Floating Home Added To Burglary Statute (PC 459)
F 14.50 n8	Argument Rejected That Auto Burglary Is Inapplicable When The Only Intent Is To Steal The Car (PC 459)
F 14.50 n9	“Open Pole Barn” Without Walls Not A Building (PC 459)
F 14.50 n10	Burglary: Minor Not Liable As Aider And Abetter When Intended Offense Is Statutory Rape.
F 14.50 n11	Vehicle Burglary: Removing Exterior Parts Doesn’t Constitute Entry Into Vehicle
F 14.50 n12	Burglary: Due Process Challenge Where Specific Felony Is Not Charged
F 14.50 n13	Burglary Based On Theft Requires Intent To Permanently Deprive
F 14.50 n14	Burglary: Contingent Intent Is Sufficient
F 14.50 n15	Burglary: Applicability Of Claim Of Right
F 14.50 n16	Defendant May Be Convicted Of Burglary And Receiving Or Concealing Stolen Property
F 14.50 n17	Burglary: Claim Of Right As Defense Theory
F 14.50 n18	First Degree Burglary Of Vehicle Requires That Vehicle Be Locked
F 14.50 n19	Burglary: Removal Of Window Screen Without Penetration Of The Window Is Not Burglary
F 14.50 n20	Burglary: Intent To Facilitate Commission Of A Crime Somewhere Else Is Sufficient.
F 14.50 n21	Burglary: Intent Formed After Entry Of Structure But Before Entry Of Inner Room (PC 459 & PC 460)
F 14.50 n22	Burglary: Taking Property With Even Slight Intrinsic Value Constitutes Larceny
F 14.50a	Burglary: Actions After Entry Relevant To Intent (PC 454)
F 14.50b	Burglary: Defense Of Consent (PC 454)
F 14.50c	Burglary: Definition Of “Entry” (PC 454)
F 14.50d	Burglary: Definition Of “Structural Boundary” (PC 454)
F 14.50e	Determination Of Whether Entry Violated Possessory Interest In Building
F 14.50f	Burglary: Entry By Object Or Instrument Not In Actual Physical Contact With Defendant Or In His/Her Immediate Control
F 14.50g	Burglary: Definition Of “Lock”
F 14.50h	Burglary: Entry Requires Crossing Into The Interior Airspace Of The Structure
F 14.51a	Burglary Of Motor Home Or Camper Is Second Degree (PC 459 & PC 460)
F 14.52 n1	Burglary: Inhabited Dwelling Research Notes (PC 459 & PC 460)
F 14.52 n2	Burglary: Tent Is Inhabited Dwelling (PC 459 & PC 460)
F 14.52 n3	First Degree Burglary: Victim Need Not Have Possessory Right To Occupy
F 14.52 n4	Inhabited Dwelling Defined: Occupant Must Be Alive
F 14.52 n5	Burglary: Common Area Laundry Room Of Apartment Complex Is Inhabited Dwelling
F 14.52 n6	Burglary: Structure “Immediately Contiguous” As Inhabited Dwelling
F 14.52a	Burglary: Inhabited Dwelling -- Defined: “Currently” Rather Than “Customarily” Used (PC 459 & PC 460)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 14.52b	Definition of Inhabited Dwelling (PC 459 & PC 460)	
F 14.54 n1	Burglary: Whether Timing Of Intent To Aid And Abet Must Be Given Sua Sponte (PC 459)	
F 14.54 n2	Burglary: Intent To Aid And Abet Must Be Formed Prior To The Perpetrator's Departure From The Structure (PC 459)	
F 14.54a	Burglary: When Intent To Abet Must Be Formed (PC 459)	
F 14.59 n1	Burglary: After Acquired Intent (PC 459)	
F 14.59 n2	Burglary: After Acquired Intent -- Repeated Entries (PC 459)	
F 14.59 n3	Burglary: Theft Or Specific Intended Felony Must Be Charged (PC 459)	
F 14.59 n4	Burglary: Intended Offense May be Theft-Related	
F 14.59 n5	Burglary: Intent Formed After Entry Of Structure But Before Entry Of Inner Room (PC 459 & PC 460)	
F 14.59a	Burglary-Agreement As To Theft Or Felony Intended Not Necessary: Guilt Limited To Burglary	
F 14.59b	Burglary-Agreement As To Theft Or Felony Intended Not Necessary: Deletion Of Unnecessary Language	
F 14.65 n1	Thief May Be Convicted Of Receiving Stolen Property But May Not Be <u>Convicted</u> Of Both Theft And Receiving Stolen Property (PC 496)	
F 14.65 n2	Identity Of Thief Not Relevant When Defendant Only Charged With Receiving (PC 496)	
F 14.65 n3	Taking And Receiving The Same Vehicle (PC 496)	
F 14.65 n4	Receiving Stolen Property: Applicability Of Temporary Safety Rule	
F 14.65 n5	Conviction For Receiving Stolen Property And/Or Burglary As To The Same Property	
F 14.65 n6	Receiving Stolen Property: Negation Of Knowledge Element By Intoxication Or Mental Impairment	
F 14.65 n7	Possession Of Stolen Property	
F 14.65a	Concealing Stolen Property: When Thief May Be Convicted (PC 496)	
F 14.65b	No Legal Duty To Report Crime (PC 496)	
F 14.65c	Receiving Stolen Property: Innocent Intent Defense	
F 14.66a	Receiving Stolen Property With Innocent Intent: Burden Of Proof As To Affirmative Defense	
F 14.70 n1	Claim of Right Inapplicable to Extortion (PC 518)	
F 14.80 n1	Applicability Of Aiding & Abetting Principles To "Aids, Counsels Or Procures" Language Of Arson Statute (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80 n2	What is the Intent Requirement for Arson? (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80 n3	Arson: Definition Of Great Bodily Injury (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80 n4	Voluntary Intoxication Does Not Negate The Mental State Required For Arson (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80 n5	Arson: "Willfully" Requires Knowledge Of Consequences	
F 14.80 n6	Attempted Arson Must Be Prosecuted Under PC 455	
F 14.80 n7	Voluntary Intoxication May Negate The Mental State Required For Arson (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80 n8	Arson: Definition Of "Structure" (PC 451(c))	
F 14.80a	Arson: Definition Of Inhabited Structure (PC 451)	
F 14.80b	Arson: Burning Of Fixtures (PC 451)	
F 14.80c	Arson Sentence Enhancement: Requirement Of Device Designed To Accelerate The Fire Or Delay Ignition (PC 451.1(a)(5))	
F 14.82 n1	Arson: Definition of Great Bodily Injury	
F 14.82a	Unlawfully Causing A Fire: Definition Of Inhabited (PC 452)	
F 14.83 n1	"Engaged In" vs. "Committed"	
F 14.87 n1	Possession Of "Incendiary Device" Etc.: Constitutional Challenge	
F 14.90 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"	
F 14.91 n1	Arson: Burning Defined -- Any Consumption By Fire Sufficient (PC 451)	
F 15.00 n1	No Unanimity As To Acts Of Forging And Uttering (PC 470)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 15.00 n2	Possession Of False Identification (PC 470b)
F 15.26 n1	Knowledge Requirement For Fraudulent Insurance Claim (PC 550)
F 15.30 n1	Bad Check: Defense Of “Ongoing Understanding” (PC 476a)
F 15.40a	False Or Fraudulent Insurance Claim (PC 550)
F 15.60a	Unauthorized Use Of Personal Identifying Information: Legislative Modification
F 16.030 n1	Possession of Marijuana: Agreement Or Contract To Purchase Not Possession (HS 11357)
F 16.030 n2	Possession of Marijuana: Under The Influence Not Sufficient (HS 11357)
F 16.030 n3	Possession of Marijuana: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment As Defense To Knowledge Element (HS 11357)
F 16.030 n4	Possession of Marijuana: Juror Unanimity (HS 11357)
F 16.030 n5	Possession of Marijuana: Duty to Define “Right To Control” (HS 11364)
F 16.030a	Marijuana Cultivation/Possession: Compassionate Use Act Exception
F 16.040 n1	Possession of Paraphernalia: Agreement Or Contract To Purchase: Not Possession (HS 11364)
F 16.040 n2	Possession of Paraphernalia: Under The Influence Not Sufficient (HS 11364)
F 16.040 n3	Possession of Paraphernalia: Intoxication Or Mental Impairment As Defense To Knowledge Element (HS 11364)
F 16.040 n4	Possession of Paraphernalia: Juror Unanimity (HS 11364)
F 16.040 n5	Possession of Paraphernalia: Duty to Define “Right To Control (HS 11364)
F 16.060 n1	Use Or Under The Influence Of Controlled Substance: Requirement Of Current Use (HS 11550)
F 16.061 n1	Administration of Drugs: Definition of Administer (HS 11550)
F 16.100a	Assault Of Peace Officer, Etc: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 741(b))
F 16.101a	Battery On Peace Officer, Etc. “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 243(b))
F 16.102 n1	Removal Of Weapon May Not Be Charged In Addition To Resisting Arrest (PC 148)
F 16.102 n2	Resisting Arrest: No Multiple Conviction For Separate Acts Against The “Same Officer” (PC 148)
F 16.102 n3	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Opposition Or Challenge To Police Action Insufficient (PC 148)
F 16.102 n4	Resisting Arrest: Excessive Force and Deadly Force
F 16.102 n5	Resisting Unlawful Arrest Can Only Be Simple Assault And Battery
F 16.102 n6	Resisting Arrest/Obstructing Officer: Refusing To Obey Orders As Delaying Officers (PC 148(a))
F 16.102a	Resisting Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 148)
F 16.102b	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Statements Not Sufficient
F 16.102c	Resisting Arrest: Excessive Force And Right Of Self-Defense
F 16.104 n1	“Lawful Arrest” Defined: Exigent Circumstances, Consent, Knock-Notice Vis a Vis Arrest in Home (PC 69, PC 148a, PC 241(b) & PC 243(b))
F 16.105 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.107a	Resisting Arrest: Verbal Statements Not Sufficient
F 16.108a	Lawful Detention Defined: Investigative Stop Explained
F 16.108b	Lawful Detention Defined: Apparent Effort To Avoid Police Officer Does Not Justify Detention
F 16.108c	Lawful Detention Defined: History Of Past Criminal Activity In Locality Does Not Justify Unwarranted Detention
F 16.108d	Lawful Detention Defined: Citizen Has No Obligation To Cooperate With The Police
F 16.110 n1	Performance Of Duties: Proper Service Of Warrant As Factual Issue (PC 69, PC 148a, PC 241(b) & PC 243(b))
F 16.110 n2	Duties of Officer: Applicable to PC 69 (PC 69, PC 148a, PC 241(b) & PC 243(b))
F 16.110 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 16.115 n1	Escape Following Remand Or Arrest(PC 836.6(a),(b)): ISSUE ALERT
F 16.115a	Escape Following Remand Or Arrest: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position
F 16.135a	Misdemeanor False Imprisonment Force As An Element (PC 236)
F 16.140 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 16.140a	When Unintentional Touching Is Battery (PC 242)
F 16.140b	Adaption of CJ 3.36 (Criminal Negligence) For Use In Battery Prosecution (PC 242)
F 16.140c	Touching Must Be Non-Consensual (PC 242)
F 16.140.1 n1	Battery Against Former Spouse (PC 243(e)(1)): ISSUE ALERT
F 16.141a	Touching Must Cause “Emotional Distress”
F 16.143 n1	Resisting Unlawful Arrest: Unlawful Defined (PC 242)
F 16.145 n1	Sexual Battery: Victim Must Touch Another Person (PC 243.4(d))
F 16.145 n2	Misdemeanor Sexual Battery: No Actual Skin Contact Required
F 16.152 n1	Failure To Provide: Prima Facie Evidence Of Willfulness
F 16.153 n1	Proof Of Parentage: Prior Determination (PC 270)
F 16.153 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 16.160 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.161 n1	Contributing To Delinquency Of Minor (WI 300): ISSUE ALERT
F 16.161a	Contributing To Delinquency: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 272)
F 16.164 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.170 n1	Misdemeanor Child Abuse: Not Unconstitutionally Vague (PC 273a)
F 16.170 n2	Child Abuse: New Four-Year Enhancement (PC 273a)
F 16.170 n3	Child Abuse: Definition Of “Care” And “Custody”
F 16.170a	Child Endangerment: Must Be Reasonably Foreseeable And Probable (PC 273a(2))
F 16.172 n1	Elder/Dependent Adults (PC 368)
F 16.172a	Elder Abuse: Requirement That Defendant Have Duty To Supervise And Control (PC 368(a))
F 16.194.5 n1	Obscenity: Objective Standard (PC 311)
F 16.194.5 n2	Obscenity Statutes Not Barred By Right To Privacy (PC 311)
F 16.220 n1	Indecent Exposure: Attempt Is Not A Felony (PC 314(1))
F 16.225a	Disturbance Of Public Meeting: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 403)
F 16.230 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.251a	Refusal To Disperse: Improper To Direct Verdict On Element
F 16.262 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.290a	Brandishing Firearm: Definition of “Rude” (PC 417)
F 16.290b	Brandishing: Weapon Drawn In Jest (PC 417)
F 16.291a	Determination Of Deadly Weapon: Two-Pronged Jury Finding Required
F 16.292a	Brandishing An Imitation Firearm
F 16.305 n1	Auto Taking vs. Joyriding (PC 499b)
F 16.305 n2	Joy Riding: Whether Specific Or General Intent Is Required (PC 499b)
F 16.305 n3	Taking And Receiving The Same Vehicle (PC 499b)
F 16.305a	Unlawful Vehicle Taking: Joy Rider Need Not Have Taken The Vehicle (PC 499b)
F 16.320 n1	Vandalism: Lack Of Permission Is Not An Element
F 16.330 n1	Entering Land To Interfere With Business: Duty To Define “Right To Control” (PC 602(j))
F 16.340 n1	Entering And Occupying Real Property: Duty To Define “Right To Control” (PC 602(l))
F 16.340 n2	Non-Consensual Occupying Of Property After Consensual Entry Is Not Trespass (PC 602(l))
F 16.350 n1	Unauthorized Entry Of Property: Duty To Define “Right To Control” (PC 602.5)
F 16.400 n1	Lewd Conduct
F 16.400 n2	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 16.400a	Lewd Conduct: “Should Know” Standard Requires Consideration Of A Reasonable Person In Defendant’s Position (PC 647(a))
F 16.420 n1	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.420 n2	Prostitution During Performance: Requirement That Performance Be “Obscene” Under The First Amendment
F 16.420 n3	No Such Crime As Aiding And Abetting Prostitution
F 16.420a	Prostitution: Acts In Furtherance Of Agreement Must Occur After The Agreement (PC 647(b))
F 16.420b	Prostitution: Requirement Of Physical Contact Between Prostitute And Customer (PC 647(b))
F 16.420c	Prostitution: Definition Of Physical Contact (PC 647(b))
F 16.431 n1	Drunk In Public: “Public Place” Defined (PC 647(f))
F 16.440 n1	Child Annoyance: Improper To Give CJ 2.51 re: Motive (PC 647.6)
F 16.440 n2	“Engaged In” vs. “Committed”
F 16.440a	Child Annoyance: Objective Test (PC 647.6)
F 16.447a	Unlawful Peeking: Intent To Commit Crime If Opportunity Arises Not An Element Of The Offense
F 16.455 n1	Loitering With Intent To Commit Prostitution (PC 653.22): Constitutional Challenge
F 16.456 n1	Loitering With Intent To Commit Prostitution (PC 653.22): Constitutional Challenge
F 16.456a	Loitering With Intent To Commit Prostitution (PC 653.22): Instruction On Statutory “Relevant Circumstances” As Improper Pinpoint Instruction
F 16.460 n1	Concealed Firearm: Grave Danger As Justification (PC 12025, PC 12025.5 & PC 12031)
F 16.460 n2	Definition of Loaded Firearm
F 16.460 n3	Ex-felon: Carrying Firearm -- Applicability Of “Momentary Possession” Principles
F 16.460a	Carrying Of Firearm By Ex-felon: Unintentional Possession
F 16.470 n1	Ex-felon: Carrying Firearm -- Applicability Of “Momentary Possession” Principles
F 16.470a	Carrying Of Firearm By Ex-felon: Unintentional Possession
F 16.480 n1	Misdemeanor Stalking: Constitutional Challenge (PC 646.9)
F 16.480 n2	Stalking: Intent to Carry Out The Threat
F 16.480 n3	Constitutionality Of Stalking Statute (PC 646.9(b))
F 16.480 n4	Harassment May Be Committed In A Single Course Of Conduct
F 16.480 n5	Stalking: Victim’s Fear Is Alone Insufficient To Constitute Substantial Emotional Distress
F 16.480a	Misdemeanor Stalking: Definition Of “Repeatedly” (PC 646.9)
F 16.480b	Stalking: Inapplicable To Constitutionally Protected Behavior
F 16.480c	Stalking: Omission of “Substantial Emotional Harm Element (PC 646.9)
F 16.480d	Stalking: Specification, Definition and Unanimity As To Predicate Acts (PC 646.9)
F 16.505 n1	Witness Intimidation: Specific Intent To Affect Testimony (PC 136.1)
F 16.505 n2	Witness Intimidation: Battery As Lesser Offense (PC 136.1)
F 16.620 n1	Tampering With Vehicle: Research Notes (VC 10852)
F 16.631 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 16.641 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 16.650a	Misdemeanor Hit and Run: Defendant Need Only Locate Owner <u>Or</u> Leave Note (VC 20002(a))
F 16.830 n1	DUI: Credibility Of Injured Witness (CC 3333.4)
F 16.830a	Misdemeanor Driving Under The Influence: Definition Of Driving (VC 23152(a) & VC 23152(c))
F 16.831 n1	Under The Influence Defined: Appreciable Degree
F 16.831.1a	Addiction Defined (VC 23152(c))
F 16.831.1b	Addiction Defined: Withdrawal Must Impair Driving
F 16.832 n1	DUI: Manner of Driving Must Be Considered (VC 23152)
F 16.835 n1	Lack Of Consciousness Of Guilt Required By Due Process
F 16.835 n2	Refusal To Take The Sobriety Test: Violation Of 5th Amendment
F 16.835 n3	Subsequent Consent To Sobriety Test As Affecting Initial Refusal

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 16.835 n4	Duress As Defense To Drunk Driving
F 16.840 n1	What Constitutes Reckless Driving? (VC 23103)
F 16.840a	Reckless Driving: Definition Of Wanton As Extreme Indifference To Human Life
F 17.00 n1	Multiple Defendants: Elements of Charge Must Be Specified As to Each Defendant
F 17.00 n2	Prejudicial Joinder Of Weak Case With Strong Case
F 17.00a	Multiple Defendants, One Count
F 17.01 n1	Juror Unanimity: Prosecution Must Elect If Requested
F 17.01 n2	Juror Unanimity: Evidence Necessitating Instruction
F 17.01 n3	Juror Unanimity: Theory Versus Act
	A. Aider And Abettor Versus Perpetrator
	B. Differing Courses Of Conduct
	C. Different Theories Of Malice
F 17.01 n4	Juror Unanimity: Constitutional Principles
	6th Amendment Trial By Jury
	14th Amendment Due Process
	Impact Of <u>Schad v. Arizona</u>
F 17.01 n5	Juror Unanimity: Felony Murder
F 17.01 n6	Juror Unanimity: Possession Offenses
F 17.01 n7	Juror Unanimity: Applicability To Enhancements
F 17.01 n8	Juror Unanimity: No Agreement Necessary As To Act Constituting Lying In Wait
F 17.01 n9	Juror Unanimity: Jury Unanimity As To Theory Of Theft
F 17.01 n10	Juror Unanimity: Perjury
F 17.01 n11	Juror Unanimity: Resident Child Molester: No Unanimity
F 17.01 n12	Juror Unanimity: Continuous Course-of-Conduct Crimes
F 17.01 n13	Juror Unanimity: Single Assault Based On Multiple Acts
F 17.01 n14	Juror Unanimity: Civil Forfeiture Proceeding
F 17.01 n15	Juror Unanimity As To Predicate Acts
F 17.01 n16	Juror Unanimity Required By California Constitution
F 17.01 n17	Juror Unanimity: Torture
F 17.01 n18	Juror Unanimity: Which of Two Acts Constituted Battery
F 17.01 n19	Juror Unanimity: Standard Of Reversible Error
F 17.01 n20	Juror Unanimity: Theft Offenses.
F 17.01 n21	Juror Unanimity: Overt Acts In Conspiracy Prosecution
F 17.01 n22	Juror Unanimity As To Firearm/Weapon Possession Offenses
F 17.01 n23	Juror Unanimity As To Unlawful Act Which is Basis For Drunk Driving Or Vehicular Manslaughter Charge
F 17.01 n24	Juror Unanimity As To Terrorist Threats
F 17.01 n25	Juror Unanimity: Requirement Of Notice Before Submitting Multiple Acts To The Jury
F 17.01 n26	Unanimity As To Act Constituting Murder
F 17.01 n27	Juror Unanimity: Sexual Molestation (PC 288)
F 17.01 n28	Juror Unanimity: Worker's Compensation Fraud (Ins C 1871.4)
F 17.01 n29	No Unanimity As To Predicate Intent For Burglary
F 17.01 n30	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Acts -- Multiple Agreements
F 17.01 n31	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Acts -- Statute Of Limitations
F 17.01 n32	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Acts -- Withdrawal
F 17.01 n33	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Acts -- When Some Overt Acts Were Committed Before Defendant Joined Conspiracy
F 17.01 n34	Conspiracy: Unanimity As To Overt Acts -- When One Overt Act Was Committed After Conspiracy Terminated
F 17.01 n35	Importance Of Giving Unanimity Instruction
F 17.01 n36	Jury Unanimity: Joining Completed Offense And Attempt In Same Count As Duplicitous.
F 17.01a	Motions and Proposed Forms for Unanimity Instruction and Special Findings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 17.01b	Juror Unanimity: No inference That Prosecution Has Proven Criminal Act
F 17.01c	Juror Unanimity: Foundational Facts
F 17.01d	Unanimity As To Objective Of Conspiracy
F 17.01e	Special Unanimity Instruction For Continuous Course Of Conduct Offenses
F 17.02 n1	Multiple Counts: Inconsistent Verdicts
F 17.02 n2	Multiple Counts: Violation Of Child Custody Order (PC 278.5)
F 17.02 n3	Multiple Counts: Multiple Takings As Constituting Single Or Multiple Theft Or Robbery Offenses
F 17.02 n4	Multiple Counts: Multiple Possessions
F 17.02 n5	Multiple Counts: Multiple Entries Vis à Vis Burglary
F 17.02 n6	Multiple Counts: Forgery
F 17.02 n7	Multiple Counts: Receiving Stolen Property
F 17.02 n8	Multiple Counts: Medi-Cal Fraud (WI 14107)
F 17.02 n9	Multiple Counts: Sale and Transportation
F 17.02 n10	No Multiple Convictions For Simultaneous Possession Of Multiple Weapons
F 17.02 n11	Multiple Counts: Robbery/Carjacking -- Multiple Victims Or Takings
F 17.02 n12	Aggregation Of Multiple Misdemeanor Violations
F 17.02 n13	Kidnapping: Convictions For Both Simple And Aggravated Kidnapping Not Permissible For The Same Course Of Conduct
F 17.02 n14	Multiple Counts: Whether Single Act Intentionally Directed Toward One Victim Can Constitute Multiple Counts Of Attempted Murder
F 17.02 n15	Multiple Convictions Improper For Greater And Lesser Included Offenses
F 17.02 n16	Multiple Convictions: Downloading Of Child Pornography
F 17.02 n17	Multiple Counts: Attempt Directed Towards Two Victims
F 17.02 n18	Multiple Counts: Stealing From Multiple Victims After Single Entry Is Only A Single Burglary
F 17.02 n19	Conviction Permissible For Both Attempted Manslaughter And Assault For Same Act
F 17.02 n20	Multiple Convictions: Brandishing At More Than One Person
F 17.02 n21	IAC For Failure To Request Instruction That Charges Must Be Considered Separately
F 17.02a	Series Of Wrongful Taking Acts May Constitute Only A Single Offense
F 17.02b	Multiple Defendants, Multiple Counts: Jury Must Find On Each
F 17.02c	Separate Determination of Enhancement Allegation as to Each Count
F 17.02d	Multiple Sex Acts Violating The Same Statute: Each Act Must Be Preceded by Separate, Intervening Act of Force
F 17.02e	Separate Consideration Of Multiple Counts: Alternative Instruction
F 17.03 n1	When Alternative Counts Include Lesser Offenses
F 17.03 n2	Verdict As To Charged Lesser May Be Returned Before Verdict As To The Greater Offense
F 17.03 n3	Conviction For Receiving Stolen Property And/Or Burglary As To The Same Property
F 17.03a	Verdict As To Charged Lesser May Be Returned Before Verdict As To The Greater Offense
F 17.10 n1	Lesser Included Update
F 17.10 n2	Lesser Related Update
F 17.10 n3	Lesser Offense: CJ 17.10 Does Not Violate <u>Kurtzman</u>
F 17.10 n4	When Alternative Counts Include Lesser Offenses
F 17.10 n5	Acquittal First Instruction Implicates Federal Constitutional Rights
F 17.10 n6	Requirement That Jury Consider Lesser Offenses: Issue Alert
F 17.10 n7	Instruction On Lesser Offenses As To One Co-Defendant And Not The Other May Improperly Direct The Jury
F 17.10 n8	Constitutional Challenge To Acquittal First Rule
F 17.10 n9	Conviction Of Lesser Offense -- Impact On Greater Offense
F 17.10a	Doubt Whether Greater or Lesser Offense
F 17.10b	Jury Should Be Instructed Upon Partial Verdict

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 17.10c	Verdict As To Charged Lesser May Be Returned Before Verdict As To The Greater Offense
F 17.10d	Lesser Enhancement Allegation
F 17.10e	Application Of Reasonable Doubt Rule To Choice Between Enhancement Allegations
F 17.10f	Choice Between Firearm Use And Arming Allegations
F 17.10g	Explanation That If Defendant Is Guilty Of Uncharged Lesser Related Offense Jury Must Acquit
F 17.10h	Doubt Whether Greater Or Lesser Offense: Applicability When Lesser Is Not Charged
F 17.10i	Jury Deadlock: Jurors Not To Surrender Honest Belief For Purpose Of Returning Verdict
F 17.12a	When Alternative Counts Include Lesser Offenses
F 17.15 n1	Arming: Firearm Must Be Knowingly Available For Use
F 17.15 n2	Two People Can Be Personally Armed With One Firearm
F 17.15 n3	Having Firearm Within Reach Does Not Conclusively Establish Arming
F 17.15 n4	Limitation on Arming Enhancement When Defendant Is Convicted of Assault With a Deadly Weapon
F 17.15 n5	Vicarious Arming Liability For Assault Weapon Or Machine Gun (PC 12022(a)(2))
F 17.15 n6	Untrue Finding On Personal Use Of Firearm As Bar To Retrial Of Murder Charge
F 17.15 n7	Arming: Enhancements: Double Jeopardy/Collateral Estoppel
F 17.15 n8	Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements
F 17.15 n9	Arming: Whether Verdict Applies To Both Defendants Even Though Verdict Only Mentions One Defendant By Name
F 17.15 n10	Firearms Sentencing: 10 / 20 / Life (PC 12022.53) <ul style="list-style-type: none">A. Challenge To Use Of The Term “Proximate Cause”B. Ex Post Facto ChallengeC. PC 12022.53 Includes MurderD. PC 12022.53 Is Not Intended To Apply Where There’s Been A Finding Of Complete Or Imperfect Self-DefenseE. PC 12022.53(e): 10-20-Life For Unarmed Aider And Abettor With Gang Allegation Is ConstitutionalF. PC 12022.53: 10-20-Life For Use Of Firearm With GBI Is ConstitutionalG. Actual Shooter Must Be Convicted Of Underlying FelonyH. PC 12022.53 Enhancement Requires Personal Use Or Discharge Of The GunI. Necessity Of Defining “Proximate Cause” ALERT
F 17.15 n11	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.15 n12	Sentencing Enhancement For Carrying Firearm: Inapplicable When Firearm Was “Loot” Obtained In Robbery
F 17.15a	Arming: Weapon Must Be Close At Hand And Readily Accessible (PC 12022)
F 17.15b	Arming: Knowledge Requirement When Liability Is Premised On An Accomplice Theory (PC 12022(a))
F 17.16 n1	Standard Of Prejudice For Failure To Instruct Upon Personal Use (PC 12022(b))
F 17.16 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.16a	Determination Of Deadly Weapon: Two-Pronged Jury Finding Required
F 17.16b	Weapon Use: Nexus Requirement
F 17.16c	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 17.16.1 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.16.1a	Arming During Drug Offense (PC 12022(c)): Arming Must Occur During “Critical and Integral” Part of the Drug Transaction
F 17.17 n1	Great Taking: Distinction Between Amount Taken and Amount Lost (PC 12022.6)
F 17.17 n2	Great Taking: Counterfeiting Added To Enhancement (PC 12022.6)
F 17.17 n3	Great Taking: 1992 Amendment Applies Retroactively To All Non-Final Cases
F 17.17 n4	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 17.17 n5	Great Taking: Whether PC 1203.044 Applies To Property Other Than Cash
F 17.18 n1	Prior Prison Term: Multiple Convictions Constitute One Term: (PC 667.5)
F 17.18 n2	Prior Prison Term: Proof Of The Prior (PC 667.5)
F 17.18 n3	Prior Prison Term: Chronology Or Procedural Sequence Of The Prior (PC 667.5)
F 17.18 n4	Prior Prison Term: Judge, Not Jury, Must Find Whether Prior Was A “Serious Felony”
F 17.18 n5	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.18.1 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.19 n1	Firearm Use: Inapplicable to Negligent or Involuntary Act (PC 12022.5)
F 17.19 n2	Personal Use of Firearm: Arming as Lesser Charge (PC 12022.5)
F 17.19 n3	No Sentencing Enhancement For Great Bodily Injury Where The Offense Includes Great Bodily Injury As An Element (PC 12022.7)
F 17.19 n4	Firearm Use: <u>Culbreth</u> Overruled (PC 12022.5)
F 17.19 n5	Use Enhancement May Not Be Applied To Conviction For Possession Of The Weapon (PC 12022.5)
F 17.19 n6	Firearm Use: “Traditional” Firearm Need Not Be Defined
F 17.19 n7	Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements
F 17.19 n8	Use Enhancement Applies To Assault With Semiautomatic Firearm (PC 245(b))
F 17.19 n9	Use Of Deadly Or Dangerous Weapon: Starter Pistol Does Not Qualify
F 17.19 n10	Firearm Use: Multiple Enhancements For Single Act
F 17.19 n11	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.19 n12	25-To-Life Enhancement (PC 12022.5(d))
F 17.19a	Personal Use of Firearm: Requirement of Threat to Use Firearm (PC 12022.5)
F 17.19b	Personal Use Of Firearm: Requires Personal Use
F 17.19c	Use Enhancement: Menacing Display Must Be Intentional
F 17.19d	Discharge Of Firearm At Occupied Vehicle Causing Great Bodily Injury (PC 12022.5(b)(1))
F 17.19e	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 17.19.1 n1	Use Of Gun Or Weapon: Enhancement Attaches to Multiple Sex Offenses Against Single Victim (PC 12022.3)
F 17.19.1 n2	Use Of Gun Or Weapon: No Multiple Enhancement When Succeeding Act Is Non-Sex Offense (PC 12022.3)
F 17.19.1 n3	Carryover Of Use Enhancement When Defendant Absent Between Commission Of The Sex Offenses
F 17.19.1 n4	Personal Use of Firearm (PC 12022.3 and PC 12022.5): Arming as Lesser Charge (PC 12022 and PC 12022(a))
F 17.19.1 n5	Personal Use As Prerequisite
F 17.19.1 n6	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.19.1a	Determination Of Deadly Weapon: Two-Pronged Jury Finding Required
F 17.19.1b	Weapon Use: Nexus Requirement
F 17.19.1c	Multiple Enhancements For Single Weapon Use
F 17.19.1d	Modification When Crime Involves Fetal Victim
F 17.20 n1	Great Bodily Injury: When Unintended Victim Injured (PC 12022.7)
F 17.20 n2	Great Bodily Injury: Specific Intent Required (PC 12022.7)
F 17.20 n3	Great Bodily Injury: Ex Post Facto Limitation To <u>Escobar</u> Definition Of GBI (PC 12022.7)
F 17.20 n4	Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements
F 17.20 n5	Great Bodily Injury: Does Not Require Intent To Inflict The Specific Type Of Injury Actually Sustained By The Victim
F 17.20 n6	Constitutional Challenge To Elimination Of Specific Intent For GBI
F 17.20 n7	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.20 n8	Enhancement For Great Bodily Injury Or Death By Discharging Firearm From Motor Vehicle: Great Bodily Injury As Lesser Included Enhancement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 17.20 n9	Great Bodily Injury Resulting In Coma
F 17.20a	Great Bodily Injury: Must Be In Addition To That Present In The Offense Itself (PC 12022.7)
F 17.20b	Great Bodily Injury: Must Be Inflicted On Non-Accomplice
F 17.20c	Great Bodily Injury: Group Attack--Improper To Predicate Upon Knowledge Of Acts Committed By Others
F 17.20.1 n1	Infliction of Great Bodily Injury: Sex Crimes -- Specific Intent Not Required (PC 12022.8)
F 17.20.1 n2	Infliction of GBI: Sex Crimes -- Is Specific Intent Required? (PC 12022.8)
F 17.20.1 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 17.20.1a	Great Bodily Injury: Group Attack--Improper To Predicate Upon Knowledge Of Acts Committed By Others
F 17.21 n1	HS 11379.8 Enhancements: Ex Post Facto Limitation To <u>Escobar</u> 's Definition Of GBI
F 17.21 n2	Quantity Enhancements: Includes Cutting Agent (HS 11370.4)
F 17.21 n3	Quantity Enhancement: Use of Waste Material in Calculating Quantity (HS 11370.4)
F 17.21 n4	Quantity Enhancement: Weight Must Be Based Upon Presently Existing Drug (HS 11370.4)
F 17.21 n5	Drug Quantity Enhancement: Individually Packaged Drugs Must Be Separately Tested (HS 11370.4)
F 17.21 n6	Drug Quantity Enhancement: Actual Possession Not Necessary For Conspiracy To Purchase
F 17.21 n7	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 17.21 n8	Quantity Enhancement: Error To Aggregate Weights Of Cocaine And Cocaine Base (HS 11370.4(a)(1))
F 17.21 n9	Quantity Enhancement (HS 11379.8): "Substantial Involvement" Requirement Applies To The Conspiracy Itself, Not The Underlying Offenses
F 17.21a	Quantity Enhancement: Mixing Agent Must "Facilitate Distribution" Of The Drug
F 17.21b	Quantity Enhancement: Conspiracy -- Finding of "Substantial Involvement" Required
F 17.21c	Quantity Enhancement: Knowledge Requirement
F 17.21d	Finding For Health & Safety Code Section 11370.4 Sentence Enhancements
F 17.21e	Quantity Enhancement: Definition Of Mixture
F 17.21f	Quantity Enhancement: No Aggregation Of Different Controlled Substances (HS 11370.4(a)(1))
F 17.21g	Quantity Enhancement (HS 11379.8(e)): Deletion Of Words "Planning" And "Execution"
F 17.22 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 17.22a	Possession For Sale Of 14.25 Grams Or More: Determination of Quantity
F 17.22.1 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21 n2.
F 17.22.1 n2	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21 n3.
F 17.22.1 n3	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21 n4.
F 17.22.1 n4	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21 n5.
F 17.22.1 n5	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21 n6.
F 17.22.1a	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21a.
F 17.22.1b	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21b.
F 17.22.1c	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21c.
F 17.22.1d	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE F 17.21d.
F 17.23 n1	Ex-felon: Carrying Firearm -- Applicability Of "Momentary Possession" Principles
F 17.23 n2	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 17.23a	Carrying Of Firearm By Ex-felon: Unintentional Possession
F 17.24 n1	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as "The People"
F 17.24.1 n1	Proof of Corpus Delicti Independent of Extrajudicial Statements: Inapplicable to Sentencing Enhancements
F 17.24.1 n2	Enhancement For Offense Committed On Bail (PC 12022.1) A. Applicability to Juvenile Proceedings

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	B.	Multiple Offenses
	C.	Inapplicable to Federal Charge
	D.	No “Mental Element” Required
	E.	Absent A Felony Conviction For Earlier Crime, Sentence Enhancement For Current Crime Improper
	F.	Failure To Appear
F 17.24.1 n3		Probation Denial Allegations: Transportation Of Cocaine Insufficient
F 17.24.1 n4		Habitual Offender: Prospective Charging Of Enhancement Not Permitted
F 17.24.1 n5		Hate Crimes Enhancement: In Concert/Aiding And Abetting
F 17.24.1 n6		Kidnap to Commit Sex Offense Enhancement
F 17.24.1 n7		Commission of Additional Crime While Dealing with Assault Weapons: Facts Must be Pled and Proved
F 17.24.1 n8		Enhancement for Victim Over 65 Years Old, Etc. Not Unconstitutionally Vague (PC 667.9)
F 17.24.1 n9		Enhancements: Double Jeopardy/Collateral Estoppel
F 17.24.1 n10		Multiple Convictions From Single Sentencing as One Prior Term
F 17.24.1 n11		Proof of Prior
F 17.24.1 n12		Halfway House As Prison Term
F 17.24.1 n13		Chronology Or Procedural Sequence of Prior
F 17.24.1 n14		Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements
F 17.24.1 n15		Three Strikes
F 17.24.1 n16		Enhancements: Previous Vehicle Theft Enhancement (PC 666.5) Does Not Include Taking Vehicle Without Intent To Steal
F 17.24.1 n17		Enhancement For Shooting From Motor Vehicle (PC 12022.55)
F 17.24.1 n18		Pattern Of Criminal Gang Activity May Be Proved By Relying Exclusively On Currently-Charged Offenses
F 17.24.1 n19		Criminal Street Gangs: Sua Sponte Duty To Define “Actively Participated”
F 17.24.1 n20		“One Strike And You’re Out”: PC 667.61 -- Requirement Of Specified Intent When Burglary Is Predicate Offense
F 17.24.1 n21		“One Strike And You’re Out” (PC 667.61) 1. Duty To Instruct On Elements Of “One Strike” Circumstances 2. Distinction Between PC 208 and PC 209 3. “One Strike And You’re Out” (PC 667.61): Impact Of Probation Qualification On Status Of PC 288(a) Prior As Strike 4. “One Strike And You’re Out” (PC 667.61) And “Three Strikes” Are Cumulative Sentencing Schemes
F 17.24.1 n22		HIV Enhancement (PC 12022.85)
F 17.24.1 n23		Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”
F 17.24.1 n24		Immediate Personal Possession Of Weapon While Under The Influence (HS 11550(e)): Definition Of “Immediate Personal Possession”
F 17.24.1 n25		Appellate Challenge To Out-Of-State Serious Prior Based On Failure To Establish Which Theory Was The Predicate For The Prior
F 17.24.1 n26		“Primary Activity” Of Gang: Current Offenses Are Insufficient (PC 186.22)
F 17.24.1 n27		Gangs: Whether Proposition 21 Violates Separation Of Powers
F 17.24.1a		Criminal Street Gang Enhancement (PC 186.22(b))
F 17.24.1b		“Hate Crimes” Enhancement (PC 422.75)
F 17.24.1c		Habitual Offender Violent Offenses (PC 667.7(a))
F 17.24.1d		Habitual Offender Allegation: Prior Robbery Conviction (PC 667.7)
F 17.24.1e		Drug Sale Offenses: School Proximity Enhancement (HS 11353.6)
F 17.24.1f		Tying Or Binding Enhancement: Limited To Acts Which Render The Victim More Vulnerable (PC 667.61(e)(6))
F 17.24.2 n1		Serious Felony Prior: Numbering Note
F 17.24.2 n2		Serious Felony Prior: Chronology Or Procedural Sequence Of The Prior
F 17.24.2 n3		Assault With Hands Does Not Prove “Personal Use” To Make ADW A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Serious Felony Per PC 1192.7(c)(23)	
F 17.24.2 n4	Serious Felony Prior: Prior Documents Must Reliably Reflect Conduct	
F 17.24.2 n5	Second Degree Residential Burglary Is Not A Serious Felony (PC 1192.7(c))	
F 17.24.2a	Serious Felony Prior: Basic Instruction (PC 667)	
F 17.24.2b	Serious Felony Prior: Residential Character of Burglary (PC 667)	
F 17.24.2c	Serious Felony Prior: Personal Firearm Use (PC 667)	
F 17.24.2d	Serious Felony Prior: Personal Use of Deadly Weapon (PC 667)	
F 17.24.2e	Serious Felony Prior: Prior Sex Offenses (PC 667)	
F 17.25 n1	Prior Conviction May be Alleged Post-Verdict	
F 17.25 n2	Determining Whether Prior Is Serious Felony: Requirement That Defendant Personally Inflict Great Bodily Injury (PC 1170.12(b)(1); PC 1192.7(c)(8))	
F 17.25 n3	Collateral Challenge To Constitutionality Of Prior	
F 17.25 n4	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 17.25 n5	Prior Conviction: Proof Must Be Limited To Court Documents; Live Testimony Improper	
F 17.25 n6	Jury Must Find Defendant Personally Used Weapon (PC 1197.2(c))	
F 17.25a	Guidelines for Jury Consideration of Record of Prior Conviction	
F 17.25b	Consideration of Accusatory Pleading as Proof of Facts Underlying Prior Conviction	
F 17.25c	Consideration Of Prison Documents	
F 17.25d	Prior With GBI: Prosecution Burden To Prove Person Injured Was Not An Accomplice	
F 17.26 n1	Bifurcated Enhancement Trial: No Sua Sponte Duty to Clarify Applicability of Guilt Phase Instructions	
F 17.26 n2	Bifurcation: CJ 17.26 Must Be Supplemented With Elements Of the Charged Enhancement	
F 17.26 n3	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 17.28.2 n1	Willful Refusal To Take Or Complete Chemical Test Re: DUI	
F 17.30 n1	Jury Not To Take Cue From The Judge: Judge Should Not Praise Prosecutor And/Or Prosecution Witnesses	
F 17.30 n2	Jury Not To Take Cue From The Judge: Judge Should Not Personally Attack Defense Attorney	
F 17.30 n3	Jury Not To Take Cue From The Judge: Reactions To Evidence Must Be Disregarded	
F 17.30 n4	Improper For Trial Judge To Direct Jury To Matters Adverse To The Defense In Guise Of “Comment On The Evidence”	
F 17.30a	Jury Not To Consider Gestures By The Judge	
F 17.30b	Comment On The Evidence By The Trial Court	
F 17.31a	Applicability Of All Instructions	
F 17.40 n1	Individual Opinion Required: Duty To Deliberate: Informing Jury As To Possibility Of A Hung Jury	
F 17.40 n2	Duty To Deliberate: Jury Should Be Encouraged To Discuss The Case	
F 17.40 n3	Dismissal Of Juror For Failure To Deliberate	
F 17.40 n4	Jurors Must Only Discuss Case when All Jurors Are Together In The Jury Room	
F 17.40 n5	Improper To Refer To The Prosecution as “The People”	
F 17.40a	Duty to Deliberate	
F 17.40b	Duty to Deliberate: Impropriety of Juror-on-Juror Coercion	
F 17.40c	Duty To Deliberate: Juror May Think About The Case And Make Notes While Deliberations Are In Recess	
F 17.41a	How Jurors Should Approach Their Task: Clarification Of Wording	
F 17.41.1 n1	Juror Misconduct: Nullification -- Strategy Note	
F 17.41.1 n2	Challenge To “Juror-Snitch” Instruction	
	I. Improper Chilling Effect On Deliberations	
	II. Improper Involvement Of Judge In Assisting Majority Jurors To Impose Their Will Upon The Minority	
	III. Denial Of Right To Juror Unanimity And Fair Trial By Jury	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
EA I(B) Enhancements vs. “Sentencing Facts”	EA-6
EA I(B)(1) Increased Maximum Punishment	EA-7
EA I(B)(2) Probation Ineligibility	EA-8
EA I(C) Sufficiency Of Pleading	EA-9
EA I(D) Amendment Of Pleading To Charge Prior Conviction	EA-10
EA II Bifurcation, Jury Waivers And Jeopardy	EA-11
EA II(A) Distinction Between Prior As An Enhancement And As A Degree Increasing Element -- <u>Bouzas</u>	EA-12
EA II(B) Bifurcation As A Matter Of Discretion	EA-13
EA II(C) Double Jeopardy/Collateral Estoppel	EA-14
EA II(C)(1) Current Conduct Allegations	EA-15
EA II(C)(1)(a) No Retrial Of Enhancement	EA-16
EA II(C)(1)(b) Retrial Of Substantive Offense When Enhancement Found Untrue	EA-17
EA II(C)(2) Priors	EA-18
EA II(C)(3) Proof Of Prior By Hearsay	EA-19
EA II(D) Use Of Collateral Estoppel By Prosecution	EA-20
EA III Pleas And Admissions	EA-21
EA III(A) Admissions of Priors In Current Case	EA-22
EA III(B) Challenging Pleas Underlying Priors -- <u>Sumstine</u>	EA-23
EA III(B)(1) Transcripts Of The Prior Plea	EA-24
EA III(B)(2) Defendant’s Affidavit Or Testimony	EA-25
EA III(C) Admissions Of Other Enhancing Facts	EA-26
EA IV Weapons, GBI And Other Conduct Enhancements	EA-27
EA IV(A) General Premises	EA-28
EA IV(B) Arming And Weapon Use	EA-29
EA IV(B)(1) Firearm Use	EA-30
EA IV(B)(2) Arming	EA-31
EA IV(C) Great Bodily Injury Enhancements (GBI’s)	EA-32
EA IV(D) Drug Quantity Enhancements And Probation Ineligibility Statutes	EA-33
EA IV(D)(1) Quantity Enhancements (HS 11370.4)	EA-34
EA IV(D)(2) Quantity-Based Limitations On Probation Eligibility	EA-35
EA IV(E) Gang Enhancements (PC 186.22(b))	EA-36
EA IV(F) Jury Unanimity Issues	EA-37
EA V Special Issues Surrounding Enhancement Priors	EA-38
EA V(A) Instructional Issues In General	EA-39
EA V(B) Proof And Sufficiency Issues	EA-40
EA V(B)(1) When Does An Out Of State Prior Not Qualify In California?	EA-41
EA V(C) Threshold Questions	EA-42
EA V(C)(1) Is This An Enhancement Which Requires Any Proof Of Aspects Of The Prior Conviction, Beyond The Bare Fact Of Conviction Under A Particular Substantive Statute?	EA-43
EA V(D) Illustrative Examples	EA-44
EA V(D)(1) PC 667.7 (Habitual Offender)	EA-45
EA V(D)(2) Commonly Litigated “Serious Felony” Issues (PC 667)	EA-46
EA V(D)(3) Probation Ineligibility For Drug Priors	EA-47
EA V(E) <u>Guerrero</u> Evidentiary Issues	EA-48
EA V(F) <u>Guerrero</u> Instructions	EA-49
EA V(G) Out-of-State Priors	EA-50
EA V(H) Prison Term Issues	EA-51
EA V(I) Timing Issues	EA-52
EA V(J) Proof That Charges Were “Brought And Tried Separately”	EA-53
EA V(K) Distinction Between Legal and Factual Issues	EA-54
EA V(L) Standard Of Prejudice Re: Instructional Errors On Sentencing Factors	EA-55
(1) Enhancements	EA-56

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
EA V(M)	(2) Prior Convictions EA-57 Enhancement Provisions Inapplicable To Attempts Absent Express Statutory Language EA-58
EA V(N)	Use Of Prior Which Is Element Of The Offense To Enhance Per PC 667.5(b) EA-59
EA V(O)	Application Of “Temporary Safety” Rule To Enhancements EA-60
EA VI	Three Strikes EA-61
EA VI(A)	Brief Bank Materials EA-62
EA VI(B)	Instruction Upon Defendant’s Liability For Three Strikes Sentencing EA-63
EA VI(C)	Comment Or Instruction To Jury Regarding Three Strikes EA-64
EA VI(D)	Three-Strikes: Out-Of-State Convictions EA-65
EA VI(E)	Three-Strikes: Proof Of Prior Strike EA-66
EA VI(F)	Three-Strikes: Proximate Causation Is Not Personal Infliction Of Great Bodily Injury EA-67
EA VI(G)	Four Justices Of U.S. Supreme Court Suggest The Possibility Of Future Three Strikes Review EA-68
EA VI(H)	Prior With GBI: Prosecution Has Burden Of Proving Defendant Was Not Accomplice EA-69
EA VI(I)	Three-Strikes: Is Amendment Of Information Permissible To Add Priors After Jury Is Discharged? EA-70
EA VI(J)	Second Degree Residential Burglary Is Not A Serious Felony (PC 1192.7(c)) EA-71
EA VI(K)	Three Strikes: Discretion To Impose Concurrent Terms EA-72
EA VI(L)	Three Strikes: Failure To Determine If Foreign Convictions Are Strikes Under California Law As IAC EA-73
EA VI(M)	Three Strikes: <u>Apprendi</u> Challenge To Juvenile Strikes (PC 667(d)(3)) EA-74
EA VI(N)	Three Strikes: 25-Years-To-Life Sentence For Petty Theft Violates Eighth Amendment EA-75
EA VI(O)	Three Strikes: Assault By Means Of Force Likely To Produce Great Bodily Injury (PC 245) Not A Serious Felony Under PC 1192.7(c) EA-76
EA VII	Probation Prohibition Statutes EA-77
EA VII(A)	Sex Offenses Against A Child By A “Stranger” EA-78
EA VII(B)	Instruction Upon Defendant’s Liability For Three Strikes Sentencing EA-79
EA VII(C)	Eighth Amendment Constitutional Challenge To California Three Strikes Statute EA-80
F 18.00	Non-CALJIC Offenses: Preliminary Statement
F 18.01a	Harmful Matter To Minor: Proposed Instruction (PC 288.2)
F 18.02 n1	Definition Of Loaded Firearm
F 18.03 n1	Failure To Appear: Unconstitutional Presumption From Failure To Appear Within 14 Days (PC 1320(b))
F 18.03 n2	Failure To Appear: Requirement Of Specific Intent To Evade Process Of The Court
F 18.04a	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN RENUMBERED FORECITE F 10.80a.
F 18.05a	Commercial Bribery (PC 641.3)
F 18.06a	Offering False Or Forged Instruments For Filing (PC 115)
F 18.07 n1	False Evidence: Specific Intent. (PC 132 & PC 134)
F 18.07 n2	False Evidence: PC 132 Applies To Out-Of-Court Documents (PC 132 & PC 134)
F 18.08 n1	Witness Intimidation: Specific Intent To Affect Testimony (PC 136.1)
F 18.08 n2	Witness Intimidation: Battery As Lesser Offense (PC 136.1)
F 18.09a	Threats Of Force Or Violence Against Witness Or Crime Victim (PC 139)
F 18.10 n1	Contempt: Purposeful Disobedience With Knowledge (PC 166)
F 18.11 n1	Possession Of Deadly Weapon: Requirement Of Specific Intent (PC 467)
F 18.12 n1	Rules Applicable To Mentally Disordered Offender Instructions: MDO Proceeding Is “Essentially Penal” In Nature (PC 2962 & PC 2966)
F 18.12 n2	Rules Applicable To Mentally Disordered Offender Instructions: No Instruction as to Consequences of Verdict (PC 2962 & PC 2966)
F 18.12 n3	Rules Applicable To Mentally Disordered Offender Instructions: Standard Battery

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Instruction Does Not Correctly Define Force and Violence (PC 2962 & PC 2966)	
F 18.12 n4	MDO Instructions: Requirement That Criteria Be Met On The Date Of The Most Recent Hearing	
F 18.12 n5	MDO Statutes: Applicability Of Ex Post Facto Laws	
F 18.12 n6	MDO Statutes: Applicability Of “Strong Arm” Robbery As Qualifying Offense	
F 18.12 n7	Sexually Violent Predator/Mentally Disordered Offender (SVP/MDO): California State Prisoners Handbook	
F 18.12 n8	MDO Statutes: Applicability Of Sexual Battery Of Child As Qualifying Offense (PC 243.4)	
F 18.12 n9	MDO Statutes: Involuntary Medication	
F 18.12 n10	MDO Statutes: Whether Violence To Animals (PC 597(a)) Qualifies	
F 18.13 n1	Elder Or Dependent Adults: Infliction Of Pain, Mental Suffering, Or Endangering Health -- Overbreadth Of The Statute (PC 368)	
F 18.13 n2	Embezzlement From Elderly Person (PC 368(c))	
F 18.14a	Opening Or Maintaining Unlawful Place (HS 11366)	
F 18.15 n1	Possession Of Weapon With Obliterated Serial Number: Presumption From Possession Violates Due Process (PC 12090 & PC 12091)	
F 18.16 n1	Welfare Fraud: Sufficiency Of Aiding and Abetting Evidence	
F 18.16 n2	Welfare Fraud - Food Stamps: Knowledge Element (WI 10980(g))	
F 18.16a	Welfare Fraud (WI 10980(c))	
F 18.17 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.	
F 18.17 n2	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.	
F 18.17 n3	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.	
F 18.18 n1	Practicing Medicine Without License: Threat Of Actual Harm Required	
F 18.19 n1	Tax Evasion: Willful Failure To File Tax Return With Intent To Evade Taxes	
F 18.19 n2	Tax Evasion: Failure To File Tax Return	
F 18.20a	Threatening Public Officers/Employees/School Officials (PC 71)	
F 18.21 n1	Hazardous Waste: Sample Instructions (HS 25189.5, HS 25113, HS 25117, HS 25124, 26 CCR 22-66260.10, 26 CCR 22-66261.24, 26 CCR 22-66261.26)	
F 18.21 n2	Hazardous Waste: Strict Liability of Corporate Executive For Hazardous Waste Violation (HS 25190). (HS 25189.5, HS 25113, HS 25117, HS 25124, 26 CCR 22-66260.10, 26 CCR 22-66261.24, 26 CCR 22-66261.26)	
F 18.22 n1	Manufacture of Counterfeit Bills: Possession of Completed Bill Insufficient (PC 480)	
F 18.23 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.	
F 18.24 n1	Bringing Explosives Or Weapons In Jail: Applicable To Ammunition (PC 4574)	
F 18.24a	Possession Of Deadly Weapon In Jail (PC 4574(a))	
F 18.25 n1	Unlawful Sale of Securities: Required Mens Rea (Corp. Code 25401)	
F 18.25 n2	Unlawful Sale of Securities: Mens Rea Required For Corp. Code 25110	
F 18.25 n3	Unlawful Sale Of Securities: Tolling Of Statute Of Limitations Based On Lack Of Discovery	
F 18.25a	Unlawful Sale Of Securities: Miscellaneous Instructions Defining Sale, Offer, Issuer, And Issuer Transaction	
F 18.26a	Destructive Device: Definition (PC 12301, PC 12303.2, PC 12303.3, PC 12303.6 and PC 12312)	
F 18.27a	False Report Of Felony or Misdemeanor (PC 148.5)	
F 18.28a	False Report of Crime: Pinpoint Instruction As To Negation of Knowledge (PC 148.5)	
F 18.29 n1	Possession Of A Machine Gun: Knowledge Of Character Of The Weapon (PC 12220)	
F 18.30 n1	Possession Of Drugs In Jail Or Prison: Dual Knowledge And Usable Quantity Requirements (PC 4573.6 & PC 4573.8)	
F 18.30 n2	Drugs In Jail Or Prison: Use Alone Does Not Prove Possession (PC 4573.6 & PC 4573.8)	
F 18.30 n3	Possession Of Drugs In Jail Or Prison: Chain Of Custody (PC 4573.6 & PC 4573.8)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 18.30 n4	Drugs In Jail Or Prison: Possession During Work Furlough Not Sufficient (PC 4573.6 & PC 4573.8)
F 18.30 n5	Possession Of Syringe In Jail Or Prison: Required Intent
F 18.30a	Possession of Drugs or Alcohol In Prison Or Jail (PC 4573.5)
F 18.31 n1	Bringing Drugs or Alcohol Into Jail Or Prison: Research Notes (PC 4573.5)
F 18.31 n2	Bringing Drugs or Alcohol Into Jail Or Prison: Mere Possession Is Insufficient (PC 4573.5)
F 18.31 n3	Bringing Drugs or Alcohol Into Jail Or Prison: Chain of Custody (PC 4573.5)
F 18.32 n1	Threatening An Informant Witness: Communication Of The Threat Not Required
F 18.32a	Threatening An Informant Witness (PC 140)
F 18.33 n1	Striking A Police Dog: “Without Legal Justification” Is A Technical Term (PC 600)
F 18.34 n1	ADW By Life Prisoner: PC 188 -- Malice Required (PC 4500)
F 18.35 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.
F 18.35 n2	Illegal Drug Prescription (HS 11153): Instruction Package Available
F 18.35a	Illegal Prescription Of Controlled Substance (HS 11153)
F 18.36 n1	Theft Of Trade Secrets: Mens Rea (PC 499c(b)(2)))
F 18.36	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.
F 18.36 n3	Theft Of Trade Secrets: Elements
F 18.37 n1	Diversion Of Construction Funds: Mens Rea (PC 484b)
F 18.37 n2	Diversion Of Construction Funds: Distinction With Submission Of False Voucher
F 18.38 n1	Threatening A Judge: Constitutionality Of PC 76
F 18.38 n2	Threatening A Judge: Whether Threat Must Be Unconditional (PC 76)
F 18.39 n1	False Personation: Only General Intent Required (PC 529(3))
F 18.39 n2	False Impersonation: Applicable To Person Who Is Deceased
F 18.39a	False Personation (PC 529(3))
F 18.40a	Sale Or Receipt Of Precursor Chemicals Of Controlled Substances (HS 11106)
F 18.41 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.
F 18.41a	Bigamy: Mistake Of Fact As Defense (PC 281)
F 18.42 n1	Former Jeopardy/Forfeiture: Forfeiture Doesn’t Bar Trial For Substantive Offense
F 18.43 n1	False Identification To Police Officer: Inapplicable To Consensual Encounter
F 18.43 n2	False Identification To Police Officer: Partially False Information
F 18.43 n3	False Identification To Police Officer: Partially True Information (PC 148.9)
F 18.44 n1	Possession Of Weapon In School: Meaning Of “Blade” In Determining Knife Length
F 18.44 n2	Possession Of Weapon In School: Operability Not Required
F 18.44 n3	Possession Of Weapon In School: Razor Blades (PC 626.10(a))
F 18.45 n1	ATM And Credit Card Theft: Fraudulent Charges Are Necessary Element Of Crime Of Altering Access Card Account Information
F 18.45a	ATM Theft (PC 484g)
F 18.46 n1	Medi-Cal Fraud: Distinguishing Employee From Independent Contractor
F 18.47 n1	Possession Of Counterfeiting Apparatus (PC 480)
F 18.48 n1	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN RENUMBERED. SEE F 4.19 n1.
F 18.48 n2	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN RENUMBERED. SEE F 4.19 n2.
F 18.48a	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN RENUMBERED. SEE F 4.19a.
F 18.49	Rent Skimming (CC 890-894)
F 18.50 n1	Definition of Loaded Firearm
F 18.51	THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED. SEE FORECITE F 9.04.
F 18.52 n1	Conflict of Interest in Making Official Contracts
F 18.53 n1	Water Pollution: Violation is Felony Even Though Not Specified
F 18.53 n2	Water Pollution: Knowledge Element (WC 13387(c))
F 18.53 n3	Water Pollution: Definition Of “Point Source” (WC 13387(c))
F 18.54a	Criminal Acts By Lawyers Vis à Vis Client
F 18.55 n1	Aiding In Suicide (PC 401)
F 18.55 n2	Aiding In Suicide (PC 401): Inapplicable To Attempted Suicide
F 18.56 n1	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Definition Of Residence

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 18.56 n2	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Defendant May Have More Than One Residence
F 18.56 n3	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Due Process Requires Interpreter To Explain Duty To Register
F 18.56 n4	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290) Input Needed
F 18.56 n5	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Due Process Attack On Notice
F 18.56 n6	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Defendant Is Not “In Custody” And Cannot Obtain Habeas Review
F 18.56 n7	Ex Post Facto Challenge To Sex Offender Registration Statute As Punitive Measure
F 18.56 n8	Registration Of Sex Offender: Requirement Of Registration For Non-Forcible Oral Copulation With Minors Violates Equal Protection
F 18.56 n9	Due Process Challenge To Sex Offender Registration Statute As Punitive Measure
F 18.56 n10	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Misdemeanor Child Pornography (PC 311.11(a))
F 18.56 n11	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Expanded Registration Requirements
F 18.56a	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Definition Of Residence
F 18.56b	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Elements
F 18.56c	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Knowledge Requirement
F 18.56d	Sex Offender Registration (PC 290): Notification Element
F 18.57 n1	Animal Cruelty/Neglect (PC 597(b), PC 597f(a))
F 18.57 n2	Animal Cruelty/Neglect: Necessity Not A Defense
F 18.57a	Animal Cruelty/Neglect: Elements Of Animal Cruelty (PC 597(b))
F 18.58 n1	Destroying/Concealing Property/Evidence: Act Must Be Successful (PC 135)
F 18.59 n1	Unlawful Use Of Tear Gas Weapon (PC 12403.7)
F 18.60 n1	Definition Of Computer System And Computer Network (PC 502)
F 18.61 n1	Constitutional Challenge To HS 11532: Loitering With Intent To Commit Drug Offense
F 18.61 n2	Loitering With Intent To Commit Prostitution (PC 653.22): Instruction On Statutory “Relevant Circumstances” As Improper Pinpoint Instruction
F 18.62 n1	Improper Storage Of Firearms/Child Access
F 18.62 n2	Criminal Storage Of Firearms: Definition Of “Child”
F 18.63 n1	Unlawful Fee Splitting By Medical Doctor: Requirement Of Specific Intent (BP 650)
F 18.64 n1	Obstruction Of Justice: Requirement Of Specific Intent
F 18.65 n1	PC 653f Not Applicable To Crimes Added to PC 264.1, PC 288 or PC 289 After Possession Of Dangerous Fireworks (PC 12677)
F 18.66 n1	Possession Of Dangerous Fireworks (PC 12677)
F 18.67 n1	Stalking/Annoying Calls & Threats: Electronic Communication Not Applicable To Communications Made In Good Faith
F 18.68 n1	Operating A Chop Shop (VC 10801)
F 18.68 n2	Operating A Chop Shop: Receiving As Lesser
F 18.68 n3	Operating A Chop Shop: Tampering With/False Verification Of VIN Numbers
F 18.68 n4	Operating A Chop Shop: Aider And Abettor Liability
F 18.68 n5	Operating A Chop Shop: Misdemeanor Vehicle Identification Number Tampering (VC 10750(a)) Not A Lesser Included Offense Of Tampering With VIN To Misrepresent And Prevent Identification (VC 10802)
F 18.69 n1	Terrorism By Sign, Symbol, Burning Or Desecration Of Religious Symbols: Defenses Not Viable (PC 11411(c))
F 18.70 n1	Possession Of Silencer: Knowledge Element (PC 12520)
F 18.71 n1	Obtaining Telephone Service By Fraud: Whether Offense Is Felony Or Misdemeanor (PC 502.7)
F 18.72 n1	Worker’s Compensation Fraud (Ins C 1871.4) Requires Specific Intent To Obtain Or Deny Benefits But Not To Defraud
F 18.73a	Definition Of Obstructing A Telephone Line Or Apparatus (PC 591)
F 18.74 n1	Possession Of Valium Without A Prescription: May Be Prosecuted As A Misdemeanor Under BP 4060
F 18.75 n1	Possession Of Burglary Tools: Items Used To Cut Or Break Glass (PC 466)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

F 18.76 n1 Possession Of Motor Vehicle Master Key: Knowledge Not An Element (PC 466.5(b))
F 18.77 THIS ENTRY INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.
F 18.78 n1 False Claim Of Police Misconduct (PC 148.6): Whether Statute Violates
First Amendment
F 18.79 n1 Definition Of Switchblade Knife (PC 653k)
F 18.80a Eavesdropping On Or Recording Confidential Communication:
Definition Of Confidential Conversation (PC 632)

Checklists

CHK I California Statutes and Rules of Court Relevant to Jury Instructions §CH-1
A. Evidence Code CH-1.1
B. Penal Code CH-1.2
C. Rules of Court CH-1.3
CHK II Sua Sponte Instructions CH-2
CHK III
A. Terms With A Specialized Or Technical Legal Meaning CH-4
B. Definition May Be Required Of Non-Technical Term If Jury
Expresses Lack Of Understanding CH-5
C. Reference To Jury Service Materials When A Term Is Not Defined CH- 6
D. Checklist CH-7
CHK IV Rules of Statutory Construction CH-8
Introduction CH-9
A. Must Be Construed In Favor Of The Defendant CH-10
B. Fundamental Rules Of Statutory Interpretation CH-11
C. Examples Of Extrinsic Aids CH-12
1. No Implied Amendment To Portions Of Statute Not Amended CH-13
D. Construction Of Words And Phrases CH-14
E. Amendment of Statute CH-15
F. Reenactment Of A Statute CH-16
G. Repeal By Implication Is Disfavored CH-17
H. Construction of Specific Words CH-18
I. Legislation By Implication Is Disfavored CH-19
J. Rules Of Statutory Construction: Two Laws On The Same Subject CH-20
K. Interpretation Of Language Of Voter Initiatives CH-21
L. Criminal Statutes Should Normally Be Construed To Require Mens
Rea Even If Statutory Language Is Silent CH-22
CHK V PC 1122(a) Checklist re: Preinstruction (See also, CJ 0.50) CH-23
CHK VI THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.
CHK VII THIS ENTRY HAS BEEN DELETED.

Lesser Included Offenses

LIO I Determining LIO §LIO-1
LIO I(A) General Rules LIO-2
LIO I(B) Testimony As To Lack Of Intent Not Necessary LIO-3
LIO I(C) Saille Does Not Relieve Trial Court Of Its Sua Sponte Burden To Instruct
Upon Intoxication Based Lesser Included Offenses LIO-4
LIO I(D) Enhancements LIO-5
LIO I(E) Enhancement Allegations Not Considered In Determining Whether Lesser
Offense Is Charged LIO-6
LIO I(F) Applicability Of Adult Criminal Law Principles To Juvenile Proceedings LIO-7
LIO I(G) Specific Developments LIO-8
LIO II Duty to Instruct LIO-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIO II(A)	General Rules LIO-10
LIO II(A)(1)	Upon request LIO-11
LIO II(A)(2)	Decision Rests With Defendant LIO-12
LIO II(A)(3)	Sua sponte LIO-13
LIO II(A)(4)	Lesser Enhancements Must Be Requested LIO-14
LIO II(B)	Testimony as to Lack of Intent Not Necessary for Instruction on Lesser Offense LIO-15
LIO II(C)	Due Process Underpinnings LIO-16
LIO II(D)	Duty Of Court To Instruct On Lesser Included Offense Over Defense Objection LIO-17
LIO II(E)(1)	Time-Barred Lesser Offenses LIO-18
LIO II(E)(2)	Forfeiture Of Statute Of Limitations For Failure To Object LIO-19
LIO II(F)	No Lesser Instruction Required For Felony Which Is Basis For Special Circumstance Allegation But Is Not Separately Charged LIO-20
LIO II(G)	Appellate Court May Reduce Charge To Lesser Included To Which Defense Successfully Objected Below LIO-21
LIO II(H)	New Charges Or Theories During Deliberations LIO-22
LIO III	Attempts LIO-23
LIO III(A)	Whether An Attempt Is Always A Lesser Included Of The Completed Offense LIO-24
LIO III(B)	Are There Any Necessarily Included Lessers To Attempted Murder LIO-25
LIO III(C)	Degree Of Attempted Murder LIO-26
LIO III(D)	PC 459 -- Burglary LIO-27
LIO III(E)	HS 11352 -- Possession of Controlled Substance for Sale LIO-28
LIO III(F)	VC 23152(a) -- Attempted Driving Under the Influence May Be a Lesser Included Offense of Driving Under the Influence LIO-29
LIO III(G)	HS 11379.6(a) -- Manufacture Of Controlled Substance LIO-30
LIO IV	Standard Of Prejudice For Failure To Instruct On Lesser Included Offenses LIO-31
LIO V	Double Jeopardy LIO-32
LIO V(A)	Conviction Of Both Greater And Lesser Included Offenses LIO-33
LIO V(B)	Reduction of Degree LIO-34
LIO V(C)	Waiver Of Double Jeopardy LIO-35
LIO V(D)	Conviction Of Lesser Offense -- Impact On Greater Offense LIO-36
LIO VI	LESSER INCLUDED CHECKLIST (LIO CHK) LIO-37
LIO VI(A)	Introduction And Caveats LIO-38
LIO VI(B)	Penal Code (PC) Violations LIO-39
	(1) PC 69 - Deterrence Or Obstruction Of Executive Officer's Duties LIO-40
	(2) PC 71 - Threat Against Public Officer LIO-41
	(3) PC 118 - Perjury LIO-42
	(4) PC 136.1(c) - Felony Intimidation By Force Or Threat LIO-43
	(5) PC 137(b) - Inducing a False Statement By Force, Threat Or Fraud LIO-44
	(6) PC 148 - Resisting Arrest LIO-45
	(7) PC182 - Conspiracy LIO-46
	(8) PC 186.22 - Gang Crime LIO-47
	(9) PC 187/PC 664 - Attempted Murder LIO-48
	(10) PC 187 - Murder LIO-49
	(11) PC 189 - First Degree Murder LIO-50
	(12) PC 189 - First Degree Felony Murder LIO-51
	(13) PC 189 - Second Degree Murder LIO-52
	(14) PC 189 - Unpremeditated Second Degree Murder LIO-53
	(15) PC 189 - Second Degree Felony Murder LIO-54
	(16) PC 191.5 - Gross Vehicular Manslaughter While Intoxicated LIO-55
	(17) PC 192(a) - Voluntary Manslaughter LIO-56
	(18) PC 192(b) - Involuntary Manslaughter LIO-57
	(19) PC 192(c)(1) - Vehicular Manslaughter With Gross Negligence LIO-58
	(20) PC 192.5 - Vehicular Manslaughter LIO-59
	(21) PC 203 - Mayhem LIO-60

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
(22) PC 205 - Aggravated Mayhem	LIO-61
(23) PC 206 - Torture	LIO-62
(24) PC 207(a) - Kidnapping	LIO-63
(25) PC 208(d) - Kidnapping To Commit Rape	LIO-64
(26) PC 209(a) - Kidnapping For Ransom, Reward, Or Extortion	LIO-65
(27) PC 207(b)/PC 664 - Attempted Kidnapping To Commit Robbery	LIO-66
(28) PC 209(b) - Kidnapping To Commit Robbery	LIO-67
(29) PC 209.5 - Kidnapping During The Commission Of A Carjacking	LIO-68
(30) PC 211 - Robbery	LIO-69
(31) PC 215 - Carjacking	LIO-70
(32) PC 217 - Assault With Intent To Commit Murder	LIO-71
(33) PC 220 - Assault With Intent To Commit Specified Offenses (Mayhem, Rape, Sodomy, Oral Copulation)	LIO-72
(34) PC 236/PC 237 - Felony False Imprisonment	LIO-73
(35) PC 240 - Assault	LIO-74
(36) PC 242 - Battery	LIO-75
(37) PC 242/PC 243, PC 243(b), PC 243(c), PC 243.1 - Battery On Peace Officer, Firefighter, Custodial Officer, et al.	LIO-76
(38) PC 243(d) - Battery With Serious Bodily Injury	LIO-77
(39) PC 243(e) - Battery On Former Spouse, Cohabitant, Etc.	LIO-78
(40) PC 243.4(a), PC 243.4(b), PC 243.4(c) - Sexual Battery	LIO-79
(41) PC 245(a)(1), PC 245(a)(2) - Assault With A Deadly Weapon Or By Means Of Force Likely To Produce Great Bodily Injury Or With A Firearm	LIO-80
(42) PC 245(a)(3), (b) - Assault With Semi-Automatic Firearm, Machine Gun, Or Assault Weapon	LIO-81
(43) PC 245(c), PC 245(d)(1), PC 245.2, PC 245.5(a), PC 245.5(b) - Assault With A Deadly Weapon Upon A Peace Officer, Firefighter, et al.	LIO-82
(44) PC 245(d)(2)-(3) - Assault With Semi-Automatic Firearm, Machine Gun, Or Assault Weapon On Peace Officer, Etc.	LIO-83
(45) PC 246 Shooting At Occupied Dwelling/Vehicle	LIO-84
(46) PC 261(2)/PC 264.1 - Forcible Rape	LIO-85
(47) PC 261.5 - Unlawful Sexual Intercourse	LIO-86
(48) PC 264.1 - Rape Acting In Concert	LIO-87
(49) PC 266i - Pandering	LIO-88
(50) PC 273a(1) - Child Abuse/Neglect/Endangerment Felony	LIO-89
(51) PC 273ab - Assault On Child Under Eight Resulting In Death	LIO-90
(52) PC 273d - Child Beating	LIO-91
(53) PC 273.5 - Spouse Or Cohabitant Beating	LIO-92
(54) PC 274 - Abortion	LIO-93
(55) PC 278.5 - Child Detention	LIO-94
(56) PC 285 - Incest	LIO-95
(57) PC 286 - Unlawful Sodomy	LIO-96
(58) PC 286(b)(1) and PC 286(b)(2) - Unlawful Sodomy With A Person Under Eighteen Years/Sixteen Years	LIO-97
(59) PC 288(a) - Lewd Act With A Child Under 14 Years (Non-Forcible)	LIO-98
(60) PC 288(b) - Lewd Act With Child Under 14 Years – Force Or Threat	LIO-99
(61) PC 288a - Unlawful Oral Copulation	LIO-100
(62) PC 288a(b)(1) and PC 288(b)(2) - Unlawful Oral Copulation With Person Under Eighteen Years/Sixteen Years	LIO-101
(63) PC 288.5 - Continuous Sexual Abuse Of A Child	LIO-102
(64) PC 289(a) - Penetration With A Foreign Object	LIO-103
(65) PC 314 - Indecent Exposure	LIO-104

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	(66) PC 314.1 - Indecent Exposure With An Unlawful Entry	LIO-105
	(67) PC368(a)/PC 273a(a) - Chile, Elder Or Dependent Adult Abuse, Neglect Or Endangerment	LIO-106
	(68) PC 405a - Lynching	LIO-107
	(69) PC 417.3 - Felony Brandishing In Presence Of Motor Vehicle Occupant	LIO-108
	(70) PC 417.8 - Brandishing Weapon To Prevent Arrest	LIO-109
	(71) PC 422 - Terrorist Threats	LIO-110
	(72) PC 422.7 - Felony Interference With Civil Rights	LIO-111
	(73) PC 424 - Embezzlement And Falsification Of Accounts/Grand Theft	LIO-112
	(74) PC 451/PC 452 - Arson	LIO-113
	(75) PC 459 - Burglary	LIO-114
	(76) PC 464 - Burglary With Explosives	LIO-115
	(77) PC 470 - Forgery By False Signature Or Counterfeiting	LIO-116
	(78) PC 470 - Forgery	LIO-117
	(79) PC 470b - Possession Of False Identification	LIO-118
	(80) PC 476a - Uttering A Forged Check With Intent To Defraud	LIO-119
	(81) PC 487 - Theft By Larceny	LIO-120
	(82) PC 487 et seq./PC 488 - Grand And Petty Theft	LIO-121
	(83) PC 484, PC 486, PC 487 - Grand And Petty Theft (When Property Is Taken From The Person)	LIO-122
	(84) PC 484, PC 486, PC 487 - Grand And Petty Theft (Theft Of Specified Property(Code section depends on specified property))	LIO-123
	(85) PC 487 - Grand Theft (Sales Of Automobiles By False Representations)	LIO-124
	(86) PC 487(d)/PC 487h - Grand Theft Of An Automobile	LIO-125
	(87) PC 496 - Receiving Stolen Property	LIO-126
	(88) PC 529 - False Personation	LIO-127
	(89) PC 548 - Destruction Of Insured Property With Intent To Defraud	LIO-128
	(90) PC 626.9 - Possessing/Carrying Loaded Firearm At School	LIO-129
	(91) PC 646.9 - Felony Stalking	LIO-130
	(92) PC 666 - Punishment For Petty Theft After Prior Conviction	LIO-131
	(93) PC 4500 - Aggravated Assault By Life Prisoner	LIO-132
	(94) PC 4501.5 - Battery On Nonprisoner By Prisoner	LIO-133
	(95) PC 4532 - Escape By Prisoner	LIO-134
	(96) PC 12020, PC 12021, PC 12021.1, PC 12023, PC 12024, PC 12025, PC 12303 - Deadly Weapons and Firearms - Possession Offenses	LIO-135
	(97) PC 12021 - Possession Of A Firearm By A Felon	LIO-136
	(98) PC 12022.3/PC 12022.5 - Use Of A Firearm Enhancement	LIO-137
	(99) PC 12034 - Firearms In Motor Vehicles	LIO-138
	(100) PC 12303.2 Reckless Or Malicious Possession Of A Destructive Device	LIO-139
	(101) PC 12303.3 - Possession Etc. Of Destructive Device With Intent To Injure Or Intimidate	LIO-140
LIO VI(C)	Health and Safety Code (HS) Violations	LIO-141
	(1) HS 11350, HS 11357, HS 11377 - Possession of Controlled Substances	LIO-142
	(2) HS 11351, HS 11351.5, HS 11378, HS 11378.5 - Controlled Substances; Possession For Sale Or Purchase For Sale	LIO-143
	(3) HS 11351. - Possession Or Sale Of Base Cocaine	LIO-144
	(4) HS 11352, HS 11379 - Controlled Substances – Transportation, Sale, Administration, Etc.	LIO-145
	(5) HS 11357 - Possession Of Marijuana	LIO-146

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
	(6) HS 11359 - Possession Of Marijuana For Sale	LIO-147
	(7) HS 11360 - Marijuana – Transportation, Sale, Administration, Etc.	LIO-148
	(8) HS 11370.1 - Possession Of Methamphetamine While Armed	LIO-149
	(9) HS 11378.5 - Possession Of PCP, Precursors/Analogues For Sale	LIO-150
LIO VI(D)	Vehicle Code (VC) Violations	LIO-151
	(1) VC 2800.1, VC 2800.3 - Flight From Pursuing Peace Officer Causing Death/Serious Bodily Injury	LIO-152
	(2) VC2800.2 - Willful And Wanton Flight From A Peace Officer	LIO-153
	(3) VC 2800.2 - Evasion Of A Police Officer With Reckless Driving	LIO-154
	(4) VC10801 - Owing/Operating A Chop Shop	LIO-155
	(5) VC 10802 - Tampering With VIN To Misrepresent And Prevent Identification	LIO-156
	(6) VC 10851 - Unlawful Vehicle Taking	LIO-157
	(7) VC 14601 - Driving On Suspended/Revoked License	LIO-158
	(8) VC 20003 - Failure To Stop After Accident Resulting In Death Or Injury	LIO-159
	(9) VC 23110 - Throwing Substances At A Vehicle	LIO-160
	(10) VC 23152 - Driving Under The Influence (Misdemeanor)	LIO-161
	(11) VC 2315(a) - Causing Bodily Injury While Driving Under The Influence	LIO-162
	(12) VC 23153(b) - Causing Bodily Injury While Driving With Blood Alcohol Level Of .10 (.08) Or More	LIO-163
	(13) VC 23566(b) - DUI; Repeat Convictions	LIO-164
LIO VI(E)	Harbor and Navigation Code (HN) Violations	LIO-165
	(1) HN 655 Felony Drunk Boating	LIO-166
LIO VI(F)	Revenue and Taxation Code (California) (RT) Violations	LIO-167
	(1) RT 19705 - Willful Failure To File Tax Return/Filing False Return	LIO-168
LIO VI(G)	Miscellaneous Rules and Codes	LIO-169
	Judicial Conduct/Performance - Willful Judicial Misconduct	

Lesser Related Offenses

LRO I	General Rules	§LRO-1
	A. Due Process Violated By Instruction On Uncharged Related Offense Over Defense Objection	LRO-2
LRO II	Theories For Instruction On Lesser Related Offenses Notwithstanding <u>Birks</u>	LRO-3
	A. Background	LRO-4
	B. Conflict Between <u>Birks</u> and the Right to Present a Defense	LRO-5
	C. Right to Lesser Related Instructions When the Prosecution Opens the Door	LRO-6
	D. The Jury Should at Least Be Informed about the Uncharged Related Offenses So It Can Meaningfully Represent the Conscience of the Community	LRO-7
	E. Using Instruction on a Related Offense to Clarify the Charged Offense	LRO-8
	F. Instruction On Lesser Related Offenses Is Still Permissible By Stipulation	LRO-9
	G. <u>Birks</u> Demonstrates That Improper Overcharging Is Prejudicial	LRO-10
	H. Retroactivity of <u>Birks</u> — Changing the Rules in the Middle of the Game	LRO-11
	I. Renewed Importance Of Considering Lesser Included Based On The Charging Language	LRO-12
	J. The Defendant Should Be Permitted To Move For Amendment Of The Information Under PC 1009 To Add Uncharged Offenses	LRO-13
	K. Even If Granting A Defense Motion To Amend Violates Separation Of Power Principles, Federal Constitutional Principles Require The Court To Consider A Discretionary Motion To Amend By The Defendant	LRO-14
LRO III	Tests For A Lesser Related Offense	LRO-15
LRO III(A)	Requirement That Some Basis Exist, Other Than An Unexplainable Rejection	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
	Of Prosecution Evidence, For Jury To Find An Offense To Be Less Than That Charged (<u>Geiger</u>) LRO-16
LRO(III)(B)	Evidentiary Test LRO-17
LRO(III)(B)(1)	Test For Relatedness LRO-18
LRO III(C)	Requirement That Defendant’s Rebuttal Of The Charged Offense Be Consistent With Conviction For The Lesser Related Offense LRO-19
LRO III(D)	When Alibi Or Identity Defense Does Not Preclude Lesser Related Offense LRO-20
LRO III(E)	Determining Whether A Related Offense Is “Lesser” LRO-21
LRO IV	Defendant Must Object To Instruction On Lesser Related Offense LRO-22
LRO V	Does Defendant’s Request For Instruction On A Particular Unrelated Offense Allow The Prosecutor To Request Instruction On Other Lesser Related Offenses? LRO-23
LRO VI	Time-Barred Lesser Related Offenses LRO-24
LRO VII	Standard Of Prejudice LRO-25
LRO VIII	Impact Of Lesser Related Offenses On Case Disposition LRO-26
LRO VIII(A)	Conviction Of Both Charged And Lesser Related Offenses LRO-27
LRO VIII(B)	Refiling After Reversal LRO-28
LRO VIII(C)	Trial Court May Not Reduce Charged Offense To A Lesser Related LRO-29
LRO IX	Specific Developments LRO-30
LRO IX(A)	Accessory Involvement (PC 32) LRO-31
LRO IX(B)	Assault LRO-32
LRO IX(C)	Battery LRO-33
LRO IX(D)	Brandishing Or Exhibiting A Firearm LRO-34
LRO IX(E)	Controlled Substance Offenses LRO-35
LRO IX(F)	Driving Under The Influence LRO-36
LRO IX(G)	Joyriding (PC 499b) LRO-37
LRO IX(H)	Petty Theft LRO-38
LRO IX(I)	Receiving Stolen Property LRO-39
LRO IX(J)	Torture (Prop. 115) (PC 206) LRO-40
LRO IX(K)	Weaving LRO-41
LRO IX(L)	Willful Cruelty To Child (PC 273) LRO-42
LRO IX(M)	Felony Murder Special Circumstance LRO-43
LRO IX(N)	Trespass LRO-44
LRO IX(O)	Arson LRO-45
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	
	General
	By CALJIC Number